

UNIVERSITAS ISLAM SUMATERA UTARA

2nd ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-786991, 081260449749, 081269649443 Website: www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id E-mail: aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id

REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Reviewers' Initial	mg					
Title of The Manuscript	SUGGESTING CRITICAL-THINKING AND P	SUGGESTING CRITICAL-THINKING AND PROBLEM-SOLVING METHOD INTO				
	TEACHING ENGLISH READING TO EFL STU	DENTS IN IN	IDONESIA			
Name of the Author(s)	1. Idris Sadri					
	2. Rahmah Fithriani					
	3. Saidurrahman					
	4. Maryati Salmiah					
	5. Sholihatul Hamidah					
Evaluation Criteria		Yes	Partially	No		
ARTICLE:	- Internal		- Carelany			
	nportant to the field of language, literature	•				
TITLE:			✓			
Is the title clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript?						
ABSTRACT:		\checkmark				
Does the abstract represent the article clearly and effectively, and give						
insight to the research?						
INTRODUCTION:		\checkmark				
	eaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript?					
LITERATURE REVIEW: Is the literature review appropriate and adequate?				√		
METHODOLOGY:				√		
Is the research method set systematically?						
	iate for the collection and analysis of the					
data?						
FINDINGS/RESULTS:			√			
Is (Are) The finding(s)/result(s) exposed clearly? Are the tables and figures, if any, arranged and explained approriately?						
	, arranged and explained appropriately?					
DISCUSSION:		\checkmark				
Is the discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted? CONCLUSION:		√				
Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?						
REFERENCES:						
Are the references current and sufficient?		<u> </u>				
Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 th Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?			√			
GRAMMAR AND COHESION:						
Is the language clear and understandable?		· .				
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?		✓				
FORMAT:			√			
Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2019 submission						
guidelines?						
DECISION FROM REVIEWER (tick where appropriate):					
Accepted without revision						
Accepted with minor revision						
Accepted with major revision			✓			
Rejected due to poor quality						
Rejected due to unrelated to						
Rejected due to ethical issues	5					
REVIEWER'S COMMENTS (if a	ny):			_		
