

UNIVERSITAS ISLAM SUMATERA UTARA 2nd ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-786991, 081260449749, 081269649443 Website: www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id E-mail: aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id

REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Reviewers' Initial	mg			
Title of The Manuscript	USING FACEBOOK IN EFL WRITING CLASS: ITS EFFECTIVENESS FROM STUDENTS' PERSPECTIVE			
Name of the Author(s)	 Rahmah Fithriani Utami Dewi Sholihatul Hamidah Daulay Maryati Salmiah Widia Fransiska 			
Evaluation Criteria		Yes	Partially	No
ARTICLE: Are the concerns in this article important to the field of language, literature or language teaching?		\checkmark		
TITLE: Is the title clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript?		\checkmark		
ABSTRACT: Does the abstract represent the article clearly and effectively, and give insight to the research?		\checkmark		
INTRODUCTION: Does the introduction provide meaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript?		\checkmark		
LITERATURE REVIEW: Is the literature review appropriate and adequate?		\checkmark		
METHODOLOGY:		\checkmark		
Is the research method set systematically? Are the techniques used appropriate for the collection and analysis of the data?		\checkmark		
FINDINGS/RESULTS: Is (Are) The finding(s)/result(s) exposed clearly?		\checkmark		
Are the tables and figures, if any, arranged and explained approriately?		\checkmark		
DISCUSSION: Is the discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted?		\checkmark		
CONCLUSION: Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?		\checkmark		
REFERENCES: Are the references current and sufficient? Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 th Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?		✓ 		
GRAMMAR AND COHESION:		\checkmark		
Is the language clear and understandable? Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?		· √		
FORMAT: Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2019 submission guidelines?		\checkmark		
DECISION FROM REVIEWER (tid	k where appropriate):			
Accepted without revision			✓	
Accepted with minor revision				
Accepted with major revision Rejected due to poor quality				
Rejected due to poor quality	e conference fields.			
Rejected due to ethical issues				
REVIEWER'S COMMENTS (if any	/):			