

## UNIVERSITAS ISLAM SUMATERA UTARA 2nd ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-786991, 081260449749, 081269649443 Website: www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id E-mail: aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id

## **REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

Reviewers' Initial	mg			
Title of The Manuscript	USING FACEBOOK IN EFL WRITING CLASS: ITS EFFECTIVENESS FROM STUDENTS' PERSPECTIVE			
Name of the Author(s)	<ol> <li>Rahmah Fithriani</li> <li>Utami Dewi</li> <li>Sholihatul Hamidah Daulay</li> <li>Maryati Salmiah</li> <li>Widia Fransiska</li> </ol>			
Evaluation Criteria		Yes	Partially	No
ARTICLE: Are the concerns in this article important to the field of language, literature or language teaching?		$\checkmark$		
<b>TITLE:</b> Is the title clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript?		$\checkmark$		
<b>ABSTRACT:</b> Does the abstract represent the article clearly and effectively, and give insight to the research?		$\checkmark$		
<b>INTRODUCTION:</b> Does the introduction provide meaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript?		$\checkmark$		
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW:</b> Is the literature review appropriate and adequate?		$\checkmark$		
METHODOLOGY:		$\checkmark$		
Is the research method set systematically? Are the techniques used appropriate for the collection and analysis of the data?		$\checkmark$		
<b>FINDINGS/RESULTS:</b> Is (Are) The finding(s)/result(s) exposed clearly?		$\checkmark$		
Are the tables and figures, if any, arranged and explained approriately?		$\checkmark$		
<b>DISCUSSION:</b> Is the discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted?		$\checkmark$		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?		$\checkmark$		
<b>REFERENCES:</b> Are the references current and sufficient? Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 <sup>th</sup> Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?		✓ 		
GRAMMAR AND COHESION:		$\checkmark$		
Is the language clear and understandable? Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?		· √		
<b>FORMAT:</b> Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2019 submission guidelines?		$\checkmark$		
DECISION FROM REVIEWER (tid	k where appropriate):			
Accepted without revision			✓	
Accepted with minor revision				
Accepted with major revision Rejected due to poor quality				
Rejected due to poor quality	e conference fields.			
Rejected due to ethical issues				
REVIEWER'S COMMENTS (if any	/):			