



# 1<sup>ST</sup> ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia  
Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-7869911, 081260449749, 081269649443  
Website: [www.aicll2018.sastra.uisu.ac.id](http://www.aicll2018.sastra.uisu.ac.id), E-Mail: [aicll2018@sastra.uisu.ac.id](mailto:aicll2018@sastra.uisu.ac.id)

## REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT

<b>Reviewer's Code</b>	AN		
<b>Title of The Manuscript</b>	DISCRIMINATION BEHIND NEST AND NNEST DICHOTOMY IN ELT POFESIONALISM		
<b>Name of the Author(s)</b>	1. Rahmah Fithriani		
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Partially</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>ARTICLE:</b> The concerns in this article are important to the field of language, literature or language teaching.	✓		
<b>TITLE:</b> The title is clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript.	✓		
<b>ABSTRACT:</b> The abstract represents the article clearly and effectively, and gives insight into the research.	✓		
<b>INTRODUCTION:</b> The introduction provides meaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript.	✓		
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW:</b> The literature review is appropriate and adequate.	✓		
<b>METHODOLOGY:</b> The research method is set systematically. The techniques used are appropriate for the collection and analysis of the data.	✓ ✓		
<b>FINDINGS/RESULTS:</b> The finding(s)/result(s) is exposed clearly. The tables and figures, if any, are arranged and explained appropriately.	✓ ✓		
<b>DISCUSSION:</b> The discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted?	✓		
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	✓		
<b>REFERENCES:</b> Are the references current and sufficient? Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 <sup>th</sup> Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?	✓ ✓		
<b>GRAMMAR AND COHESION:</b> The language is clear and understandable? Cohesion is achieved throughout the article?	✓ ✓		
<b>FORMAT:</b> Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2018 submission guidelines?		✓	
<b>DECISION FROM REVIEWER</b> (tick where appropriate):			
<i>Accepted without revision</i>			
<i>Accepted with minor revision</i>	✓		
<i>Accepted with major revision</i>			
<i>Rejected due to poor quality</i>			
<i>Rejected due to unrelated to the conference fields.</i>			
<i>Rejected due to ethical issues</i>			
<b>REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:</b>			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Your paper is very interesting, but the writing format needs to be slightly revised according to AICLL Template.</li><li>2. Papers are strictly limited to a minimum of 5 and to maximum of 10 pages (including tables and figures)</li></ol>			

## REBUTTAL LETTER OF AICLL 2018 UISU

9/2/2018


Dear the Committee of AICLL 2018 UISU,

I have amended my article as suggested by the Reviewer as the following:

Comment No.	Reviewer's Corrected Items	Corrections Made
1	Your paper is very interesting, but the writing format needs to be slightly revised according to AICLL Template.	The article was revised in accordance with AICLL Template.
2	Papers are strictly limited to a minimum of 5 and to maximum of 10 pages (including tables and figures)	The number of pages was reduced from previously 11 pages to 10 pages (including tables and figures but excluding references).

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Rahmah Fithriani, Ph.D.