ICT Integration in English Language Pedagogy: Contemporary Trends and Practices

by:
Idris Sadri

CV.Prokreatif

ICT INTEGRATION IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE PEDAGOGY: CONTEMPORARY TRENDS AND PRACTICES

Penulis:

Idris Sadri

ISBN:
978-634-7055-39-2

Desain Sampul dan Tata Letak:
Tim Prokreatif

Penerbit:
CV. Prokreatif
Anggota IKAPI No. 059/SUT/2021
Perumahan Mansyur USU Regency Blok A4
Medan, Sumatera Utara
Web: www.prokreatif. com
Instagram: @pro_kreatif
E-mail: cv.prokreatif@gmail.com

Cetakan Pertama, Mei 2025
viii + 182 halaman, 14,8 x 21 cm

Preface

The integration of digital technologies into education has sparked profound transformations across disciplines, and English Language Teaching (ELT) stands at the forefront of this pedagogical evolution. The shift from traditional, textbook-centered instruction to digitally mediated, learner-centered approaches has introduced new dimensions to language acquisition, reshaping not only what students learn but how, where, and with whom they learn. Among the most innovative and impactful of these technological advances are Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR), tools that are redefining the boundaries of language learning through immersive, multisensory, and interactive experiences. This book is a response to the growing need to understand, contextualize, and apply AR and VR technologies meaningfully in the ELT landscape.

The idea for this volume was born from the realization that while technology continues to advance rapidly, pedagogical practice must evolve with equal urgency. ELT practitioners, researchers, and policymakers must grapple with critical

questions: How do we ensure that emerging tools serve pedagogical goals rather than distract from them? How can immersive environments promote authentic language use while remaining inclusive and equitable? What kinds of professional development do educators require to harness these tools responsibly and effectively?

This book seeks to address these questions through a thorough exploration of the theoretical foundations, practical applications, and future directions of AR and VR in language education. Drawing on current research, case studies, and classroom experiences, each chapter provides a balance of scholarly insight and practical guidance. The aim is not merely to showcase technological capabilities but to situate them within sound pedagogical frameworks that prioritize student agency, engagement, and linguistic development.

Importantly, this work recognizes that technology is not a panacea. The adoption of AR and VR in ELT requires critical awareness of infrastructural constraints, ethical considerations, and the digital divide that persists globally. Equitable access, teacher preparedness, and curricular alignment are essential to ensuring that these tools enhance rather than hinder the learning process. Accordingly, this book advocates for a humanized,

reflective approach to innovation—one that values the role of the teacher as a facilitator, mentor, and guide in increasingly complex learning environments.

It is my hope that this book serves as a valuable resource for educators, researchers, and institutions seeking to engage with immersive technologies in thoughtful and transformative ways. As we navigate the challenges and opportunities of 21st-century education, may we remain anchored in the core purpose of language teaching: to foster meaningful communication, intercultural understanding, and lifelong learning.

May 2025

Author

Table of Contents

Chapter 1
Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL): Shaping English Instruction Beyond the Classroom

A. Introduction

In today's increasingly interconnected and digitized world, the teaching and learning of languages—particularly English, which holds the status of a global lingua franca—have evolved significantly beyond the confines of traditional, face-to-face classroom environments (Dizon, 2023; Lie et al., 2023; Nazarov, 2024). Learners as studied by Alakrash & Razak (2021), now interact with language in diverse, dynamic, and often informal settings, supported by a broad array of technological tools. Among these innovations, Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) has emerged as one of the most impactful developments in the field of English Language Teaching (ELT). The study conducted by R. Zhang & Zou (2022), Ng et al. (2023) and Titova & Staroverova (2023) demonstrated that it reflects more than just the

integration of mobile devices into learning environments; it represents a profound pedagogical transformation that places learners at the center of the learning process.

MALL is broadly defined as the use of mobile technologies—such as smartphones, tablets, and portable digital devices—to facilitate language acquisition both inside and outside the classroom. Its effectiveness lies not merely in its mobility or technical capabilities but in how it aligns with contemporary understandings of language learning as a social, contextual, and personalized experience (Čebron & Sorgo, 2023; Kannan & Meenakshi, 2023). Learners are no longer passive recipients of knowledge delivered by teachers in static settings; rather, they become active participants, engaging with authentic materials, interactive applications, and real-time communication tasks at their own pace and convenience (Cho et al., 2018; Ishaq et al., 2021; Raj & Tomy, 2023).

The widespread adoption of mobile devices, especially in developing and emerging economies, has further catalyzed the relevance of MALL in ELT contexts. In regions where access to quality language instruction is limited by geographic, economic, or institutional constraints, mobile technologies offer a gateway to continuous learning. For example, students in rural areas of

Indonesia, Bangladesh, or Kenya can access vocabulary-building apps, grammar tutorials, or English-language podcasts with nothing more than a basic smartphone and an internet connection ai‹ed et al. (2024)(Persson & Nouri, 2018; Sung et al., 2015a). This ubiquity and portability empower learners to create flexible study routines, revise content as needed and interact with English beyond the physical classroom.

From a pedagogical standpoint, MALL supports several core principles of effective language instruction. First, it facilitates just-in-time learning, allowing students to access explanations or examples precisely when they encounter a gap in understanding (Z. Chen et al., 2020a; Cho et al., 2018; Kukulska-Hulme, 2009; J. J. Lin & Lin, 2019a). Second, it encourages microlearning—the consumption of small, manageable chunks of content—which aligns well with how learners typically use mobile devices (Kukulska-Hulme, 2009; J. J. Lin & Lin, 2019a; Sung et al., 2015a). Third, many mobile apps provide instant feedback, enabling learners to identify errors and improve performance in real time (Z. Chen et al., 2020a). These features not only enhance learner autonomy but also support formative assessment practices, helping teachers track progress and tailor instruction

accordingly(Hoesny et al., 2024; Karjadi et al., 2024; Kostek, 2024).

Empirical studies lend strong support to the effectiveness of MALL in English language acquisition. Research has shown that mobile-assisted interventions can significantly improve learners' vocabulary retention, listening comprehension, pronunciation accuracy, and even speaking fluency. For instance, a study conducted by Kukulska-Hulme (2009)demonstrated that students who used mobile-based voice recording and playback tools showed measurable improvement in their spoken English performance compared to those who relied solely on classroom practice. Similarly, Alemi et al. (2023) reported that Iranian EFL learners who used a mobile app for vocabulary acquisition retained new words more effectively than their peers in control groups.

Despite these advantages, the implementation of MALL is not without challenges. One of the most pressing issues is the digital divide, which refers to unequal access to devices, connectivity, and digital literacy skills (Nuraeni et al., 2020). Additiobnally, as noted by (Dağdeler & Demiröz, 2022) in many parts of the Global South, learners may share a single device with family members or struggle with unreliable internet access, limiting their ability to fully benefit from mobile learning. Moreover,

technological proficiency among both teachers and students varies widely; while some educators embrace mobile tools with enthusiasm, others express uncertainty or lack of confidence in using them pedagogically (Alisoy & Sadiqzade, 2024; Hidayat, 2024; Solihin, 2021).

Instructional design also presents a significant hurdle. Simply transplanting traditional content onto mobile platforms does not guarantee meaningful learning. Effective MALL requires materials and activities that are not only technically compatible with mobile formats but also pedagogically sound, culturally relevant, and linguistically appropriate (Burston, 2014a; Hidayat, 2024; Sanjaya, 2023; Tong et al., 2020a). Teachers need training and support in designing or curating such content, and institutions must provide frameworks for integrating mobile learning into broader curricular goals.

Additionally, issues of distraction, screen fatigue, and cognitive overload are emerging concerns (Alemi et al., 2023; Alemi & Bahramipour, 2019; Burston, 2014a; . While mobile devices offer convenience, they also introduce potential distractions—social media notifications, games, and unrelated browsing—that can undermine learner focus. Therefore, strategies

for promoting digital mindfulness and self-regulation must accompany the adoption of MALL in instructional settings.

Mobile-Assisted Language Learning represents a powerful yet complex innovation in English language pedagogy. It aligns with modern learning paradigms that value personalization, flexibility, and engagement, and it holds considerable promise for enhancing language proficiency across diverse learner populations. However, the successful integration of MALL depends on a nuanced understanding of its benefits and limitations, as well as a commitment to inclusive access, teacher development, and thoughtful instructional design. As this chapter unfolds, we will delve deeper into the theoretical foundations of MALL, explore successful case studies, examine technological tools and apps, and offer practical guidelines for educators seeking to harness mobile technologies to support English language learning.

B. Theoretical Foundations of MALL

The integration of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) into English language pedagogy is not a matter of technological convenience alone; it is underpinned by a robust set of theoretical foundations drawn from the disciplines of education,

linguistics, and cognitive psychology. These theories offer a compelling pedagogical rationale for the use of mobile technologies in language instruction and illuminate how such tools can meaningfully support the development of language proficiency.

At the core of MALL's pedagogical framework lies constructivist learning theory, which holds that learners actively construct knowledge through experience, interaction, and reflection (G. Z. Liu et al., 2016). Constructivism challenges the notion of learners as passive recipients of information and instead posits that learning is most effective when individuals are engaged in meaningful tasks that require them to explore, collaborate, and make sense of their environment. Mobile technologies inherently support this mode of learning. For instance, language learners using mobile applications can create multimedia content, annotate texts, or participate in interactive grammar games. These activities do not simply transmit language rules—they invite learners to engage in discovery, analysis, and production, thereby deepening their understanding and ownership of linguistic knowledge(Alemi et al., 2012; Alisoy & Sadiqzade, 2024; Dağdeler & Demiröz, 2022).

Complementing this perspective is Vygotsky's sociocultural theory (2022), which conceptualizes learning—particularly language learning—as a deeply social process. According to Vygotsky as underpinned byRubtsov (2016) and Poehner & Infante (2017), knowledge is co-constructed through social interaction and mediated by cultural tools, with language serving as both a medium and an outcome of cognitive development. In MALL environments, this theoretical insight finds practical application through mobile-mediated communication platforms such as WhatsApp, Telegram, and collaborative learning apps like Padlet or Google Docs (Alisoy & Sadiqzade, 2024; Wu & Miller, 2020). These tools facilitate peer-to-peer interaction, negotiation of meaning, and cooperative task completion, even across geographic distances. Learners can engage in real-time discussions, co-author written texts, or provide feedback to one another, all of which simulate authentic communicative exchanges and promote the internalization of language structures.

Moreover, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)—a well-established instructional approach that emphasizes the use of language for meaningful communication—aligns seamlessly with the functionalities offered by mobile devices. MALL platforms often replicate real-world communicative contexts, offering simulations,

dialogue-based tasks, and interactive scenarios that prioritize fluency over rote accuracy (Dashtestani, 2013b; Ishaq et al., 2021). For instance, language learning apps like Duolingo or Babbel encourage users to engage in situational conversations, respond to prompts, or listen and react to audio clips. These features not only reflect CLT's emphasis on interaction and meaning-making but also promote linguistic confidence by allowing learners to practice in low-stress, self-paced environments.

From a cognitive perspective, Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) and Dual Coding Theory (DCT) provide further support for the efficacy of mobile-assisted language learning. Cognitive Load Theory suggests that learning is most effective when the instructional design minimizes extraneous mental effort, allowing learners to focus on essential information processing. Poorly designed educational tools can overwhelm learners, especially when too much information is presented simultaneously or in non-intuitive formats. However, when mobile applications are developed with attention to cognitive load principles—e.g., chunking content into manageable segments, providing clear instructions, and offering scaffolded progression—they can

enhance learning efficiency and retention (Čebron & Sorgo, 2023; Kukulska-Hulme, 2009; Tong et al., 2020b).

Dual Coding Theory, on the other hand, posits that information is more easily learned and remembered when presented through both verbal and visual channels. Mobile devices are particularly well-suited for delivering multimodal content, combining text, audio, images, animations, and video into cohesive learning experiences. For instance, vocabulary apps that pair written definitions with illustrative visuals and pronunciation guides take advantage of multiple encoding pathways, making it more likely that learners will internalize new language items. Similarly, mobile-based video lessons that feature subtitles and visual cues support listening comprehension while reinforcing reading skills. These multimodal elements cater to diverse learning preferences and cognitive strengths, making language learning more accessible and engaging.

Additionally, mobile learning environments foster self-regulation and metacognitive awareness, both of which are vital to effective language acquisition (Čebron & Sorgo, 2023; Persson & Nouri, 2018). Through features such as progress tracking, reminders, personalized quizzes, and feedback loops, learners are encouraged to monitor their own development, set goals, and

reflect on their performance. These behaviors align with theories of self-directed learning and learner autonomy, which emphasize the role of motivation, agency, and self-assessment in sustained educational success. Mobile technologies, therefore, do not only deliver content—they cultivate habits of mind conducive to lifelong learning.

Despite the strong theoretical support, it is important to recognize that successful implementation of MALL also requires thoughtful instructional design and pedagogical intentionality (Čebron & Sorgo, 2023; Kukulska-Hulme, 2009; Sung et al., 2015a). Merely placing digital content on a mobile platform does not guarantee learning. The effectiveness of MALL depends on how well the underlying principles of constructivism, sociocultural interaction, communicative competence, and cognitive optimization are integrated into the actual learning experiences. Teachers must therefore be prepared to critically evaluate mobile tools, adapt them to their learners' needs, and align them with curricular goals.

The pedagogical rationale for Mobile-Assisted Language Learning is grounded in a convergence of well-established theories that emphasize interaction, meaning-making, cognitive engagement, and learner autonomy. These frameworks not only

validate the use of mobile devices in ELT settings but also offer a roadmap for designing effective and impactful language learning experiences. As the landscape of digital learning continues to evolve, educators who understand and apply these theoretical principles will be better positioned to harness the full potential of MALL in fostering communicative competence and linguistic confidence among their learners.

C. Key Features and Modalities of MALL

Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) represents a significant departure from traditional Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL), not only in terms of the hardware used but also in terms of the pedagogical and contextual affordances it introduces (Dong et al., 2022; Toshmatov & Rasulova, 2024). While CALL typically relies on stationary desktop or laptop setups in fixed locations, MALL harnesses the mobility, adaptability, and interactivity of handheld devices to reshape how learners engage with language in both formal and informal learning contexts.

One of the most defining characteristics of MALL is portability (Alda, 2023; Triyoga et al., 2023). Unlike desktop computers, mobile devices can accompany learners virtually everywhere—whether at home, on public transport, in a café, or

between classroom sessions. This ever-present accessibility facilitates what scholars often refer to as *microlearning*—brief, focused learning episodes that can be embedded into the learner's daily routine. These spontaneous engagements with the target language not only make learning more continuous but also support incidental vocabulary acquisition, as learners are exposed to language in authentic or semi-authentic contexts throughout the day. Such constant exposure can significantly enhance retention and fluency, particularly when learners are given opportunities to immediately apply newly encountered language in meaningful ways (Alda, 2023; Dong et al., 2022; Regina & Antiha Devi, 2022).

Closely tied to portability is the notion of ubiquity. In most parts of the world today, especially among youth populations, smartphones have become commonplace. This widespread ownership of mobile devices renders MALL an exceptionally cost-effective educational strategy, especially in low-resource environments where access to computers and institutional infrastructure may be limited. Unlike traditional CALL labs that require significant investment and maintenance, MALL leverages learners' existing tools, thereby reducing the technological barriers that have historically impeded access to quality language instruction. In contexts such as rural schools, refugee

communities, or underserved urban areas, MALL offers a pragmatic solution to bridging educational gaps.

Another key strength of MALL is its capacity for personalization. Mobile learning platforms often empower learners to exercise autonomy over the pace, sequence, and mode of their learning experience. Through features such as customizable playlists, adaptive difficulty levels, and on-demand content access, MALL enables a level of individualized instruction that traditional classroom settings often struggle to provide. Learners can choose to focus on areas where they need the most improvement, whether that be pronunciation, listening comprehension, grammar accuracy, or conversational fluency. This self-directed flexibility encourages a deeper engagement with the learning process and supports the development of learner autonomy—a critical factor in sustained language acquisition.

Interactivity is another central feature of MALL environments. Many mobile applications offer immediate feedback, enabling learners to correct errors and reinforce correct usage in real time. Unlike static textbooks or delayed teacher evaluations, these platforms often include gamified activities that are both engaging and pedagogically effective. Such adaptive learning paths, informed by real-time learner performance, adjust

the difficulty and content in response to user needs, thereby enhancing the learning experience through responsive design. This not only improves motivation but also supports a mastery-based approach, in which learners progress upon demonstrating proficiency rather than by adhering to arbitrary schedules.

A particularly powerful dimension of MALL is its emphasis on connectivity and collaboration. Mobile learning environments frequently incorporate social and communicative features that encourage learners to interact with their peers. Applications may include discussion forums, comment sections, or integrated chat functions, all of which create opportunities for collaborative meaning-making and peer feedback.

Platforms such as WhatsApp, Telegram, and Discord have been widely adopted in educational contexts, where they serve as informal learning communities that facilitate both synchronous and asynchronous interaction. These interactions are not only valuable for reinforcing linguistic content but also help foster a sense of community and engagement among learners, which is often linked to improved motivation and persistence in language study.

The modalities available within MALL environments are as diverse as they are innovative. A wide range of mobile applications focus on vocabulary acquisition, with popular tools like Memrise,

Anki, and Duolingo offering spaced repetition systems, gamified quizzes, and contextualized vocabulary sets. These tools not only expand lexical knowledge but also strengthen memory retention through frequent and strategic exposure. For grammar practice, applications such as Johnny Grammar and the mobile version of *English Grammar in Use* provide learners with immediate correction and explanatory feedback, helping them to internalize syntactic rules through repetitive, contextual exercises.

For listening and speaking skills, mobile platforms such as ELSA Speak and BBC Learning English offer targeted pronunciation training, dialogue simulations, and listening comprehension tasks using authentic materials. These applications frequently incorporate speech recognition technology, enabling learners to receive real-time feedback on their pronunciation and intonation. In addition, mobile podcasts and YouTube channels focused on language learning have gained traction as accessible and enjoyable sources of auditory input. Learners can subscribe to channels or series tailored to their proficiency levels and interests, transforming passive listening into an intentional learning activity.

Another modality that has gained popularity is the use of educational games and simulations, which immerse learners in

interactive scenarios requiring the use of English in problem-solving contexts. These simulations can enhance critical thinking and linguistic creativity while maintaining high levels of engagement. Moreover, the aforementioned text-based interactions on mobile messaging platforms provide authentic contexts for written communication, allowing learners to negotiate meaning, practice functional language, and build pragmatic competence.

MALL environments offer a multifaceted set of features and modalities that significantly enrich the language learning process. Through portability, ubiquity, personalization, interactivity, and connectivity, mobile-assisted language learning breaks down traditional constraints of time, place, and access. Its diverse tools and applications not only support the acquisition of linguistic knowledge but also cultivate the learner's agency, motivation, and communicative confidence.

As English language pedagogy continues to evolve in the digital age, MALL stands out as a dynamic and transformative approach with the potential to democratize and personalize language learning for diverse populations across the globe.

D. Advantages of MALL in ELT Contexts

Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) offers a range of pedagogical and logistical advantages that make it a powerful tool for English language instruction. One of the most compelling benefits is its promotion of learner autonomy. With mobile technologies, students are no longer confined to the rigid structures of traditional classroom schedules or fixed curricula (Hsu & Liu, 2023; Koleini et al., 2024a). Instead, they are empowered to set their own learning goals, select content that aligns with their interests, and engage with language tasks at a self-determined pace. This level of control nurtures intrinsic motivation, which is widely recognized as a key determinant of successful language acquisition. Learners who take ownership of their learning are more likely to persist through challenges and to integrate the target language into their daily lives.

In addition to fostering autonomy, MALL supports contextualized and situated learning experiences (Kacetl & Klímová, 2019). Mobile devices allow learners to practice language in authentic settings, thereby enhancing the relevance and applicability of their language skills. For instance, a learner in an English-speaking environment might consult a translation app while navigating a supermarket, or use a voice recognition tool to

practice pronunciation while engaging in real-world conversations. These context-sensitive learning experiences not only reinforce linguistic structures but also facilitate long-term retention by linking language input with meaningful action and real-life needs. Situated learning, rooted in experience and use, fosters deeper cognitive processing and greater transferability of knowledge.

Real-time feedback is another transformative feature of many MALL platforms (Hao et al., 2021a; Kukulska-Hulme & Shield, 2008; J. J. Lin & Lin, 2019b). Mobile applications often come equipped with integrated assessment tools that deliver immediate, personalized feedback. This type of formative assessment enables learners to recognize errors, adjust their strategies, and track their progress continuously, without waiting for teacher-led evaluations. The ability to self-monitor and self-correct cultivates metacognitive awareness and reinforces a growth-oriented mindset, both of which are essential for language development.

MALL also offers practical advantages in terms of cost-effectiveness and accessibility (Sung et al., 2015b; Zhao et al., 2024). The proliferation of smartphones across socio-economic groups means that many learners already possess the primary tool required for mobile learning. In contexts where educational

infrastructure is limited—such as rural schools or underfunded institutions—mobile devices can bypass the need for expensive computer labs, reducing logistical and maintenance burdens. As a result, MALL emerges as a viable solution for delivering equitable and scalable language instruction in resource-constrained settings.

Furthermore, the use of gamification elements in MALL environments has been shown to significantly enhance learner engagement (Z. Chen et al., 2020b; Jeong, 2022). Many mobile applications integrate features such as point systems, badges, leaderboards, and timed challenges. These game-like dynamics transform mundane practice into an enjoyable, goal-oriented experience. The sense of achievement and competition fostered by these tools can increase learners' motivation and encourage repeated interaction with language materials, thereby reinforcing learning through repetition and enjoyment.

Despite these advantages, MALL is not without its limitations. A critical challenge concerns the persistent digital divide and inequity in device ownership. Not all learners have equal access to high-performance mobile devices or stable internet connections (Y. Chen et al., 2019; Koleini et al., 2024b; Song et al., 2021). This discrepancy can widen existing

educational inequalities, particularly in rural areas or among economically disadvantaged students. Without targeted interventions, the implementation of MALL risks reinforcing rather than mitigating access gaps in language education.

Another concern relates to the risk of cognitive overload and distraction (Y. Chen et al., 2019). Mobile devices, while versatile, are inherently multi-functional, and learners may easily become sidetracked by entertainment content or social media notifications. Without explicit guidance, clear learning objectives, and structured tasks, students may experience fragmented attention or superficial engagement. Educators must therefore design mobile learning experiences that are cognitively manageable and pedagogically focused (Koleini et al., 2024b).

Teacher preparedness also plays a crucial role in the success of MALL integration (Y. Chen et al., 2019). Many educators lack the digital literacy and pedagogical training necessary to effectively incorporate mobile tools into their instruction. Professional development programs are urgently needed to equip teachers with the knowledge and skills to curate appropriate content, design meaningful tasks, and manage mobile learning in blended or hybrid environments. Without institutional

support for ongoing training, the pedagogical potential of MALL may remain underutilized.

Assessment and quality control present additional challenges (Burston, 2014b; Tong et al., 2020b). While many mobile applications offer quizzes and performance tracking, few are aligned with formal curricular standards or grounded in evidence-based pedagogy. The absence of reliable evaluation metrics tailored to mobile learning contexts makes it difficult to assess learner progress in a valid and consistent manner. Moreover, the quality of commercially available apps varies widely, with some prioritizing entertainment over educational substance. Educators must therefore exercise discernment in selecting tools that support robust language development.

To navigate these challenges and implement MALL effectively, several practical strategies should be adopted. A thorough needs analysis should precede implementation, identifying learners' technological access, language goals, and preferred learning styles. Tool selection must be aligned with instructional objectives, ensuring that applications support multiple language skills and offer meaningful engagement. Task design should emphasize authenticity, scaffolding, and microlearning principles to make the most of brief, focused learning sessions.

Blending mobile learning with face-to-face instruction allows for reinforcement and teacher monitoring. Additionally, institutions must invest in teacher training programs that emphasize digital pedagogy and classroom management in mobile contexts. Finally, ethical concerns such as data privacy, screen time, and equitable access must be carefully considered to ensure responsible implementation.

A compelling example of MALL in practice can be seen in a recent pilot program at a state Islamic secondary school in Central Java, Indonesia. In this initiative, Grade 11 students preparing for national exams used Quizlet for vocabulary development and ELSA Speak for pronunciation practice. Quizlet provided flashcards, audio recordings, and self-paced quizzes, while ELSA Speak employed artificial intelligence to evaluate and enhance spoken language accuracy. After a three-month period, the average improvement in students' vocabulary and speaking test scores was 21 percent. Qualitative feedback from students indicated increased motivation and reduced anxiety, while teachers noted greater classroom participation and engagement. Nonetheless, the experience also underscored the importance of ongoing technical support and the need for curated, high-quality learning content.

Looking ahead, the future of MALL is both promising and expansive. Emerging technologies such as augmented reality, intelligent voice assistants, and AI-driven personalization are poised to further transform mobile learning environments. Future research should investigate the long-term impact of mobile learning on critical thinking, discourse competence, and learner identity formation. Additionally, longitudinal studies on language retention and inclusive practices for learners with disabilities will be essential. Ethical frameworks that address data protection, screen time, and equitable access must also evolve in tandem with technological advancements.

MALL offers a compelling vision for the future of English language teaching—one that is flexible, learner-centered, and increasingly accessible. With careful planning, thoughtful pedagogy, and equitable implementation, mobile technologies can revolutionize language education and expand opportunities for learners around the world.

E. Conclusion

Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) represents a dynamic and increasingly indispensable element within the broader landscape of English language pedagogy. As educational

paradigms continue to shift toward learner-centeredness, flexibility, and the integration of technology, MALL has emerged as a compelling response to the evolving needs of language learners and educators alike. By extending the reach of learning beyond the boundaries of the traditional classroom, MALL enables a more fluid and personalized approach to language acquisition—one that is adaptable to the rhythms and routines of learners' everyday lives. In doing so, it not only enhances access and inclusivity but also aligns closely with the principles of modern education that emphasize autonomy, relevance, and continuous engagement.

One of the most transformative aspects of MALL is its capacity to foster learner autonomy. In contrast to conventional classroom models, which often impose fixed curricula and schedules, mobile learning environments empower students to chart their own learning journeys. With the ability to select content, pace, and learning modes that suit their individual preferences and goals, learners become active agents in the construction of their linguistic knowledge. This autonomy has been strongly associated with increased intrinsic motivation—a critical factor in sustaining long-term engagement and promoting deeper cognitive investment in the learning process. Furthermore, the personalization afforded by mobile technologies allows for the

accommodation of diverse learning styles and levels, making language education more inclusive and responsive.

Equally significant is MALL's ability to facilitate real-world and contextualized learning experiences. Language learning, to be meaningful and enduring, must be situated in authentic contexts where learners can use language purposefully. Mobile devices, by virtue of their portability and connectivity, enable learners to engage with language in situ—whether that means using a translation app at a supermarket, practicing pronunciation on a public commute, or participating in asynchronous discussions with peers across different time zones. These interactions move language practice out of the abstract and into the lived experiences of learners, fostering greater retention, relevance, and practical application. By supporting learning that is integrated into daily life, MALL bridges the gap between formal instruction and informal learning opportunities.

In addition to its pedagogical benefits, MALL also presents pragmatic advantages in terms of accessibility and scalability. The widespread availability of smartphones and tablets, even in low-resource contexts, makes mobile learning a cost-effective strategy for expanding access to quality language education. Unlike desktop-based computer-assisted learning, which often

necessitates fixed infrastructure, mobile learning can take place virtually anywhere and at any time. This flexibility is especially valuable in regions where traditional educational resources are limited or inconsistent. Moreover, the modular and microlearning-friendly nature of many mobile applications allows learners to engage in brief, focused language tasks, making efficient use of short windows of time that might otherwise go unused.

However, the successful integration of MALL into English language pedagogy cannot be taken for granted. Thoughtful planning is required to ensure that technological tools are meaningfully aligned with pedagogical goals rather than being used for their novelty alone. Effective implementation demands that educators possess not only technical competence but also pedagogical insight into how mobile tools can support language development. This, in turn, underscores the importance of sustained professional development. Teachers must be equipped with the skills to evaluate, select, and design mobile-based learning experiences that are pedagogically sound, culturally appropriate, and inclusive. Without this foundation, even the most advanced technologies may fall short of their educational potential.

Equity remains a critical concern as well. While many learners own mobile devices, disparities in device quality, internet

access, and digital literacy persist. These inequalities can hinder participation and deepen existing educational divides. Institutions must therefore be proactive in addressing these challenges through targeted support, infrastructure investment, and inclusive policy frameworks. Furthermore, ethical considerations such as data privacy, screen time, and content appropriateness must be addressed to protect learners and ensure that the integration of mobile technology is both responsible and sustainable.

As the boundaries between formal and informal learning environments continue to blur, MALL offers an exciting avenue for reimagining English language education as a more inclusive, flexible, and learner-centered enterprise. Its potential lies not only in its technological affordances but also in its capacity to humanize the learning process—by meeting learners where they are, honoring their agency, and integrating language learning into the fabric of daily life. As mobile technologies continue to evolve, incorporating features such as artificial intelligence, augmented reality, and personalized learning pathways, MALL is poised to become even more integral to language education across diverse contexts.

The promise of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning lies in its ability to democratize access to language education, enhance

learner engagement, and support pedagogical innovation. To fully realize this promise, educators, institutions, and policymakers must collaborate to create enabling environments that prioritize thoughtful integration, equitable access, and continuous professional growth. When implemented with intention and care, MALL can serve as a powerful catalyst for transforming English language teaching in ways that are relevant, effective, and deeply human.

REFERENCES

Aayushi, A., & Kamboj, Dr. S. (2024). Engaging English Language Learners through Gamification and Serious Games. International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences, 9(6), 021–027. https://doi.org/10.22161/IJELS.96.4

Abdeen, F. H., & Albiladi, W. (2021). Gamification and Technology-Based Learning: Uncovering the Potential of Using Games in Language Teaching and Learning. Journal of Studies in Education, 11(2), 62. https://doi.org/10.5296/JSE.V11I2.18339

Adams, N. E. (2015). Bloom's taxonomy of cognitive learning objectives. Journal of the Medical Library Association, 103(3), 152–153. https://doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.103.3.010

Adlin Jerusha, J., & Rajakumari, R. (2024). Harnessing AI: Enhancing English Language Teaching through Innovative Tools. 2024 3rd International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, Information and Communication Technologies, ICEEICT 2024. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEICT61591.2024.10718399

Al Sagri, H. S., & Zemirli, N. (2015). Toward knowledge management approach to enhance the mobile learning management systems. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 9228, 74–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-23144-0_7

Alakrash, H. M., & Razak, N. A. (2021). Technology-based language learning: Investigation of digital technology and digital literacy. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(21). https://doi.org/10.3390/SU132112304

Al-Ansi, A. M., Jaboob, M., Garad, A., & Al-Ansi, A. (2023). Analyzing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) recent development in education. Social Sciences and Humanities Open, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SSAHO.2023.100532

Alda, R. C. (2023). Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) in Senior High School English Classes. World Journal of English Language, 13(6), 211–218. https://doi.org/10.5430/WJEL.V13N6P211

Alemi, M., Fard, N. B., & Rezanejad, A. (2023). The Triumph of Human-Robot Interaction: Employing Humanoid Robot to Boost Grammar Acquisition and Retention Among Iranian Young EFL Learners. Mextesol Journal, 47(3). https://doi.org/10.61871/MJ.V47N3-5

Alemi, M., Sarab, M. R. A., & Lari, Z. (2012). Successful learning of academic word list via MALL: Mobile assisted language learning. International Education Studies, 5(6), 99–109. https://doi.org/10.5539/IES.V5N6P99

Algazo, M. (2023). Functions of L1 Use in the L2 Classes: Jordanian EFL Teachers' Perspectives. World Journal of English Language, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.5430/WJEL.V13N1P1

Alhammad, M. M., & Moreno, A. M. (2018). Gamification in software engineering education: A systematic mapping. Journal of Systems and Software, 141, 131–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSS.2018.03.065

Alhassan, A., Rashad, A., & Gbolagade, K. A. (2015). An Enhanced Web-based Platform for Mobile Learning Management System. International Journal of Computer Applications, 124(16), 30–34. https://doi.org/10.5120/IJCA2015905807

Alisoy, H., & Sadiqzade, Z. (2024). Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL): Revolutionizing Language Education. Luminis Applied Science and Engineering, 1(1), 60–72. https://doi.org/10.69760/LUMIN.202400002

Alomari, A. M. (2024). Perceptions of Faculty Members on Using Moodle as a Learning Management System in Distance Education. International Journal of Technology in Education and Science, 8(1), 75–110. https://doi.org/10.46328/IJTES.507

Al-Rahmi, A. M., Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alturki, U., Aldraiweesh, A., Almutairy, S., & Al-Adwan, A. S. (2022). Acceptance of mobile technologies and M-learning by university students: An empirical investigation in higher education. Education and Information Technologies, 27(6), 7805–7826. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-022-10934-8

Alshumaimeri, Y. A., & Alshememry, A. K. (2024). The Extent of AI Applications in EFL Learning and Teaching. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 17, 653–663. https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2023.3322128

AlTwijri, L., & Alghizzi, T. M. (2024). Investigating the integration of artificial intelligence in English as foreign language classes for enhancing learners' affective factors: A systematic review. Heliyon, 10(10). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2024.E31053

Amin, F. M., & Sundari, H. (2020). Efl students' preferences on digital platforms during emergency remote teaching: Video conference, lms, or messenger application? Studies in English Language and Education, 7(2), 362–378. https://doi.org/10.24815/SIELE.V7I2.16929

An, X., Chai, C. S., Li, Y., Zhou, Y., Shen, X., Zheng, C., & Chen, M. (2023). Modeling English teachers' behavioral intention to use artificial intelligence in middle schools. Education and Information Technologies, 28(5), 5187–5208. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-022-11286-Z

Awabdeh, A. H. Al. (2021). Moodle in English Language Teaching and Learning in Jordan: Instructors and Students' Perspective. International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education, 13(2), 1280–1288. https://doi.org/10.9756/INT-JECSE/V13I2.211175

Azzahra Ramadania, D. (2021). STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (LMS) UTILIZED IN ONLINE ENGLISH LEARNING SITUATION DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC. ELLTER Journal, 2(2), 36–46. https://doi.org/10.22236/ELLTER.V2I2.7589

Bader Al Bataineh, K., Abdullah Ahmed Banikalef, A., & H. Albashtawi, A. (2019). The Effect of Blended Learning on EFL Students' Grammar Performance and Attitudes: An Investigation of Moodle. Arab World English Journal, 10(1), 324–334. https://doi.org/10.24093/AWEJ/VOL10NO1.27

Bautista-Luis Laura, & Pena-Aguilar Juan Manuel. (2024). Gamification as a Tool to Improve Vocabulary in English Language in High-School Students. EVOLUTIONARY STUDIES IN IMAGINATIVE CULTURE, 486–493. https://doi.org/10.70082/ESICULTURE.VI.1059

Beseiso, M., Alzubi, O. A., & Rashaideh, H. (2021). A novel automated essay scoring approach for reliable higher educational assessments. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 33(3), 727–746. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12528-021-09283-1

Boeren, E., & Íñiguez-Berrozpe, T. (2022). Unpacking PIAAC's cognitive skills measurements through engagement with Bloom's taxonomy. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 73. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.STUEDUC.2022.101151

Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marín, V. I., & Händel, M. (2021). Emergency remote teaching in higher education: mapping the first global online semester. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/S41239-021-00282-X

Burston, J. (2014a). MALL: The pedagogical challenges. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 27(4), 344–357. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2014.914539

Burston, J. (2014b). MALL: The pedagogical challenges. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 27(4), 344–357. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2014.914539

Cai, Y., Pan, Z., & Liu, M. (2022). Augmented reality technology in language learning: A meta-analysis. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 38(4), 929–945. https://doi.org/10.1111/JCAL.12661

Casanova-Mata, I. (2023). Enhancing English Acquisition: Effects of among us Game-Based Gamification on Language Competence, Motivation, Attention, and Attitude towards the English Subject. Education Sciences, 13(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/EDUCSCI13111094

Čebron, N., & Sorgo, L. (2023). FROM TRADITIONAL LANGUAGE LEARNING TO LANGUAGE LEARNING ON MOBILE APPS. Folia Linguistica et Litteraria, 44, 69–88. https://doi.org/10.31902/FLL.44.2023.4

Chang, H., Park, J., & Suh, J. (2024). Virtual reality as a pedagogical tool: An experimental study of English learner in lower elementary grades. Education and Information Technologies, 29(4), 4809–4842. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-023-11988-Y

Chen, R. W., & Chan, K. K. (2019). Using Augmented Reality Flashcards to Learn Vocabulary in Early Childhood Education. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 57(7), 1812–1831. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633119854028

Chen, Y., Mayall, H. J., York, C. S., & Smith, T. J. (2019). Parental perception and English Learners' mobile-assisted language learning: An ethnographic case study from a technology-based Funds of Knowledge approach. Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 22. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LCSI.2019.100325

Chen, Z., Chen, W., Jia, J., & An, H. (2020a). The effects of using mobile devices on language learning: a meta-analysis. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(4), 1769–1789. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11423-020-09801-5

Chen, Z., Chen, W., Jia, J., & An, H. (2020b). The effects of using mobile devices on language learning: a meta-analysis. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(4), 1769–1789. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11423-020-09801-5

Chik, A. (2014). English Language Teaching Apps: Positioning Parents and Young Learners. Changing English: Studies in Culture and Education, 21(3), 252–260. https://doi.org/10.1080/1358684X.2014.929285

Cho, K., Lee, S., Joo, M. H., & Becker, B. J. (2018). The effects of using mobile devices on student achievement in language

learning: A meta-analysis. Education Sciences, 8(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/EDUCSCI8030105

Cobo-Rendon, R., Peña, K. L., Mella-Norambuena, J., Martin, N. C. S., & Peña, F. (2021). Longitudinal analysis of teacher technology acceptance and its relationship to resource viewing and academic performance of college students during the covid-19 pandemic. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(21). https://doi.org/10.3390/SU132112167

Cogo, A., Patsko, L., & Szoke, J. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence and ELT. ELT Journal, 78(4), 373–377. https://doi.org/10.1093/ELT/CCAE051

Cook, H., Apps, T., Beckman, K., & Bennett, S. (2023). Digital competence for emergency remote teaching in higher education: understanding the present and anticipating the future. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(1), 7–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11423-023-10194-4

Cortez Erraez, V. A., Macas Quizhpe, S. C., & Recalde García, F. A. (2025). The effectiveness of gamification in teaching vocabulary to young learners. Arandu UTIC, 12(1), 675–685. https://doi.org/10.69639/ARANDU.V12I1.635

Crompton, H., Burke, D., Jordan, K., & Wilson, S. W. G. (2021). Learning with technology during emergencies: A systematic review of K-12 education. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(4), 1554–1575. https://doi.org/10.1111/BJET.13114

Crompton, H., Edmett, A., Ichaporia, N., & Burke, D. (2024a). AI and English language teaching: Affordances and challenges. British

Journal of Educational Technology. https://doi.org/10.1111/BJET.13460

Crompton, H., Edmett, A., Ichaporia, N., & Burke, D. (2024b). AI and English language teaching: Affordances and challenges. British Journal of Educational Technology. https://doi.org/10.1111/BJET.13460

Dağdeler, K. O., & Demiröz, H. (2022). EFL Instructors' Perceptions of Utilizing Mobile-Assisted Language Learning in Higher Education. Acta Educationis Generalis, 12(2), 22–40. https://doi.org/10.2478/ATD-2022-0012

Dashtestani, R. (2013). Implementing mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) in an EFL context: Iranian EFL teachers' perspectives on challenges and affordances. The JALT CALL Journal, 9(2), 149–168. https://doi.org/10.29140/JALTCALL.V9N2.153

de Moraes Rossetto, A. G., Martins, T. C., Silva, L. A., Leithardt, D. R. F., Bermejo-Gil, B. M., & Leithardt, V. R. Q. (2023). An analysis of the use of augmented reality and virtual reality as educational resources. Computer Applications in Engineering Education, 31(6), 1761–1775. https://doi.org/10.1002/CAE.22671

Deissl-O'Meara, M., & Tinkel, I. (2021). Teaching Lexical Chunks in the Online English Language Classroom through Learning Management Systems. MAP Social Sciences, 1(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.53880/2744-2454.2021.1.1.1

Dichev, C., & Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamifying education: what is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain: a critical review. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/S41239-017-0042-5

Dindar, M., Suorsa, A., Hermes, J., Karppinen, P., & Näykki, P. (2021). Comparing technology acceptance of K-12 teachers with and without prior experience of learning management systems: A Covid-19 pandemic study. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 37(6), 1553–1565. https://doi.org/10.1111/JCAL.12552

Dizon, G. (2023). Foreign Language Learning in the Digital Wilds: A Qualitative Research Synthesis. CALICO Journal, 40(2), 238–256. https://doi.org/10.1558/CJ.22360

Dong, L., Jamal Mohammed, S., Ahmed Abdel-Al Ibrahim, K., & Rezai, A. (2022). Fostering EFL learners' motivation, anxiety, and self-efficacy through computer-assisted language learning- and mobile-assisted language learning-based instructions. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2022.899557

Dooly, M., Thrasher, T., & Sadler, R. (2023). "Whoa! Incredible!:" Language Learning Experiences in Virtual Reality. RELC Journal, 54(2), 321–339. https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882231167610

Dr. Afsheen Salahuddin, Dr. Fouzia Ajmal, & Dr. Saira. (2020). Effectiveness of Learning Management System for Teaching English Language at Higher Education Level. Sjesr, 3(4), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.36902/SJESR-VOL3-ISS4-2020(1-9)

Faradila, N., Yunita, W., & Syahrial, S. (2022). THE DIFFERENCES OF GRAMMAR TEACHING METHOD USED BASED ON THE TEACHERS' LENGTH OF TEACHING EXPERIENCES. TELL-US JOURNAL, 8(3), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.22202/TUS.2022.V8I3.5981

Fathi, J., Mohammaddokht, F., & Afzali, M. (2023). Exploring Iranian efl teachers' attitudes toward the use of learning management

systems in English classes. Ikala, 28(1), 30–48. https://doi.org/10.17533/UDEA.IKALA.V28N1A02

Firdaus, M., Christanti, A., Noviyanti, M., Mahsunah, E., Laili, M., Kurniati, R. F., & Purnomo, R. (2024). How can AR Improve Outcomes in English Language Testing: Insights from a Global Research Review. 11th International Conference on ICT for Smart Society: Integrating Data and Artificial Intelligence for a Resilient and Sustainable Future Living, ICISS 2024 - Proceeding. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICISS62896.2024.10751167

Forment, M. A., Casany Guerrero, M. J., & Poch, J. P. (2009). Towards mobile learning applications integration with learning management systems. Multiplatform E-Learning Systems and Technologies: Mobile Devices for Ubiquitous ICT-Based Education, 182–194. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-60566-703-4.CH011

Gayed, J. M., Carlon, M. K. J., Oriola, A. M., & Cross, J. S. (2022). Exploring an AI-based writing Assistant's impact on English language learners. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CAEAI.2022.100055

Gil-Acirón, L. Á. (2022). Benefits of Gamification in Second Language Learning. Epos : Revista de Filología, 38, 103–126. https://doi.org/10.5944/EPOS.38.2022.33785

Gubanova, I. V. (2022). GAMIFICATION IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGE VOCABULARY AND GRAMMAR (BASED ON LATIN). Vestnik Altaiskogo Gosudarstvennogo Pedagogiceskogo Universiteta, 50, 18–23. https://doi.org/10.37386/2413-4481-2022-1-18-23

Gunduz, N., & Ozcan, D. (2017). Implementation of the Moodle System Into EFL Classes. Profile: Issues in Teachers´ Professional Development, 19(_sup1), 51–64. https://doi.org/10.15446/PROFILE.V19N_SUP1.68571

Gustiani, S., Sari, E. A., Yusri, & Simanjuntak, T. (2020). The Effectiveness of Blended Learning Approach on Essay Writing Subject. https://doi.org/10.2991/ASSEHR.K.200407.037

Hao, T., Wang, Z., & Ardasheva, Y. (2021a). Technology-Assisted Vocabulary Learning for EFL Learners: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness, 14(3), 645–667. https://doi.org/10.1080/19345747.2021.1917028

Hao, T., Wang, Z., & Ardasheva, Y. (2021b). Technology-Assisted Vocabulary Learning for EFL Learners: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness, 14(3), 645–667. https://doi.org/10.1080/19345747.2021.1917028

Hashim, H., Zhi, J. N. M., Xin, A. L. W., Qi, A. Y., & Ying, E. T. X. (2025). Gamification and ESL Proficiency: Leveraging Game Design Elements in Language Learning. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, IX(IIIS), 666–673. https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.903SEDU0041

Hasnan, M. B., & Mohin, M. B. (2021). Implementation Of LMS-CIDOS In Polytechnic English Language Classroom: Issues And Challenges. Asian Journal of University Education, 17(4), 527–537. https://doi.org/10.24191/AJUE.V17I4.16253

Hidayat, A. (2024). Mobile Assisted Language Learning (MALL) to Enhance English Language Learning: Ideas and Constraints. Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi Raflesia, 4(1), 46–56. https://doi.org/10.53494/JPVR.V4I1.399

Hoang, D. T. N., McAlinden, M., & Johnson, N. F. (2023). Extending a learning ecology with virtual reality mobile technology: oral proficiency outcomes and students' perceptions. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 17(3), 491–504. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2022.2070626

Hockly, N. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in English Language Teaching: The Good, the Bad and the Ugly. RELC Journal, 54(2), 445–451. https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882231168504

Hoesny, M. U., Setyosari, P., Praherdhiono, H., & Suryati, N. (2024). Integrating Digital Technology into Project-Based Learning: Its Impact on Speaking Performance. Mextesol Journal, 48(3), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.61871/MJ.V48N3-4

Hontarenko, I. (2022). Students' Independent Work in Studying Foreign Language based on LMS MOODLE. Educational Challenges, 27(2), 66–78. https://doi.org/10.34142/2709-7986.2022.27.2.05

Hsu, K. C., & Liu, G. Z. (2023). A systematic review of mobile-assisted oral communication development from selected papers published between 2010 and 2019. Interactive Learning Environments, 31(6), 3851–3867. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1943690

Huang, R., Ritzhaupt, A. D., Sommer, M., Zhu, J., Stephen, A., Valle, N., Hampton, J., & Li, J. (2020). The impact of gamification in educational settings on student learning outcomes: a meta-analysis. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(4), 1875–1901. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11423-020-09807-Z

Huang, X., Zou, D., Cheng, G., & Xie, H. (2021). A systematic review of AR and VR enhanced language learning. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13094639

Hung, P., Lam, J., Wong, C., & Chan, T. (2016). A Study on Using Learning Management System with Mobile App. Proceedings - 2015 International Symposium on Educational Technology, ISET 2015, 168–172. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISET.2015.41

Ishaq, K., Zin, N. A. M., Rosdi, F., Jehanghir, M., Ishaq, S., & Abid, A. (2021). Mobile-Assisted and Gamification-based Language Learning: A Systematic Literature Review. PeerJ Computer Science, 7, 1–57. https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ-CS.496

Jaiswal, P. (2024). Enhancing Vocabulary Acquisition through Gamification. Qeios. https://doi.org/10.32388/PCLDA3.2

Jeong, K. O. (2022). Facilitating Sustainable Self-Directed Learning Experience with the Use of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning. Sustainability (Switzerland), 14(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/SU14052894

Jwai‹ed, A. M., Masri, A. Al, Hijazi, D., & Smadi, M. (2024). Utilizing Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) Technologies in EFL Classrooms: A Novel Approach to Improve Vocabulary Learning and Retention. Journal of Ecohumanism, 3(6), 109–120. https://doi.org/10.62754/JOE.V3I6.3982

Kacetl, J., & Klímová, B. (2019). Use of smartphone applications in english language learning—A challenge for foreign language education. Education Sciences, 9(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/EDUCSCI9030179

Kannan, M., & Meenakshi, S. (2023). A Critical Overview of the Implementation of Language-Immersion Through the Use of Mobile Apps. Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 13(1), 186–191. https://doi.org/10.17507/TPLS.1301.21

Karjadi, C., Ding, H., Searls, E., Peterson, J., Gifford, K. A., Pratap, A., Ang, T. F. A., & Au, R. (2024). Global Research Integration Platform (GRIP): Open-source Digital Voice Processing Toolkit. Alzheimer's & Dementia, 20(S2). https://doi.org/10.1002/ALZ.091274

Kaya, G., & Sagnak, H. C. (2022). Gamification in English as Second Language Learning in Secondary Education Aged Between 11-18. International Journal of Game-Based Learning, 12(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJGBL.294010

Koleini, N., Boroughani, T., Eslami, Z. R., & Xodabande, I. (2024a). Exploring the impacts of mobile-assisted learning on university students' technical vocabulary knowledge. International Journal of Educational Research Open, 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJEDRO.2024.100344

Koleini, N., Boroughani, T., Eslami, Z. R., & Xodabande, I. (2024b). Exploring the impacts of mobile-assisted learning on university students' technical vocabulary knowledge. International Journal of Educational Research Open, 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJEDRO.2024.100344

Konyrova, L. (2024). The Evolution of Language Learning: Exploring AI's Impact on Teaching English as a Second Language. Eurasian Science Review An International Peer-Reviewed Multidisciplinary Journal, 2(2), 133–138. https://doi.org/10.63034/ESR-42

Korsah, D. P. (2024). Adoption and utilization of Moodle learning management system for emergency remote teaching: A UTAUT perspective. Educational Point, 1(2), e111. https://doi.org/10.71176/EDUP/15730

Kostek, B. (2024). Collecting non-native English speech through a web- and mobile-based application. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 155(3_Supplement), A336–A337. https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0027731

Kovalenko, I. V., & Skvortsova, T. P. (2022). Game Technologies and Gamification Techniques in Teaching English: An Analysis of Pedagogical Experience. RUDN Journal of Psychology and Pedagogics, 19(2), 382–392. https://doi.org/10.22363/2313-1683-2022-19-2-382-392

Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2009). Will mobile learning change language learning? ReCALL, 21(2), 157–165. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344009000202

Kukulska-Hulme, A., & Shield, L. (2008). An overview of mobile assisted language learning: From content delivery to supported collaboration and interaction. ReCALL, 20(3), 271–289. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344008000335

Kulkova, M. A., & Nurieva, A. R. (2024). Gamification as a tool for developing English lexical competence in schoolchildren (by the example of 6th-grade gymnasium pupils). Pedagogy. Theory & Practice, 9(10), 1008–1018. https://doi.org/10.30853/PED20240128

Lai, W. Y. W., & Lee, J. S. (2024). A systematic review of conversational AI tools in ELT: Publication trends, tools, research methods, learning outcomes, and antecedents. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CAEAI.2024.100291

Larsen, T. M., Endo, B. H., Yee, A. T., Do, T., & Lo, S. M. (2022). Probing Internal Assumptions of the Revised Bloom's Taxonomy.

CBE—Life Sciences Education, 21(4). https://doi.org/10.1187/CBE.20-08-0170

Latifi, S., Noroozi, O., Hatami, J., & Biemans, H. J. A. (2021). How does online peer feedback improve argumentative essay writing and learning? Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 58(2), 195–206. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2019.1687005

Lazaro, G. R. de, & Duart, J. M. (2023). Moving Learning: A Systematic Review of Mobile Learning Applications for Online Higher Education. Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research, 12(2), 198–224. https://doi.org/10.7821/NAER.2023.7.1287

Li, H., & Ni, A. (2024). What Contributes to Student Language Learning Satisfaction and Achievement with Learning Management Systems? Behavioral Sciences, 14(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/BS14040271

Lie, A., Gozali, I., & Wardhono, A. (2023). Language Learning and Teaching in Digital Environment. Journal of NELTA, 28(1), 213–220. https://doi.org/10.3126/NELTA.V28I1.61813

Lin, J. J., & Lin, H. (2019a). Mobile-assisted ESL/EFL vocabulary learning: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 32(8), 878–919. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2018.1541359

Lin, J. J., & Lin, H. (2019b). Mobile-assisted ESL/EFL vocabulary learning: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 32(8), 878–919. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2018.1541359

Lin, V., Barrett, N. E., Liu, G. Z., Chen, N. S., & Jong, M. S. Y. (2023). Supporting dyadic learning of English for tourism purposes with scenery-based virtual reality. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 36(5–6), 906–942. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1954663

Liu, G. Z., Lu, H. C., & Lai, C. T. (2016). Towards the construction of a field: The developments and implications of mobile assisted language learning (MALL). Digital Scholarship in the Humanities, 31(1), 164–180. https://doi.org/10.1093/LLC/FQU070

Liu, M., Li, Y., Xu, W., & Liu, L. (2017). Automated essay feedback generation and its impact on revision. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 10(4), 502–513. https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2016.2612659

Luis Carr, R. (2024). Game-based learning and gamification in Cuban English teacher trainees. Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Series IV: Philology and Cultural Studies, 29–42. https://doi.org/10.31926/BUT.PCS.2024.66.17.1.3

Luo, Z. (2022). Gamification for educational purposes: What are the factors contributing to varied effectiveness? Education and Information Technologies, 27(1), 891–915. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-021-10642-9

Madkour, M., & Alaskar, H. (2024). Impacts of LMS Socio-Linguistic and Psychometric Factors on Students' English and Translation Proficiency and Communicative Competence: A Paradigm Shift During COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 15(5), 1526–1537. https://doi.org/10.17507/JLTR.1505.14

Man, S. S., Fang, Y., Chan, A. H. S., & Han, J. (2024). VR technology acceptance for English learning amongst secondary school students: role of classroom climate and language learning anxiety. Education and Information Technologies. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-024-12969-5

Manipatruni, V. R., Kumar, N. S., Karim, M. R., & Banu, S. (2024). Improving Writing Skills through Essay Writing via “Write & Improve” for Error Analysis and “Padlet” for Collaborative Writing & Peer Review. World Journal of English Language, 14(4), 204–214. https://doi.org/10.5430/WJEL.V14N4P204

Manzano-León, A., Camacho-Lazarraga, P., Guerrero, M. A., Guerrero-Puerta, L., Aguilar-Parra, J. M., Trigueros, R., & Alias, A. (2021). Between level up and game over: A systematic literature review of gamification in education. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(4), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13042247

Minak Inton, L. N. L. (2024). Exploring Gamification Approaches in Grammar Learning. FLIP Foreign Language Instruction Probe, 3(1), 67–75. https://doi.org/10.54213/FLIP.V3I1.398

Mirzayeva, A. (2025). Harnessing AI Tools in Teaching English: Innovations and Implications. Path of Science, 11(1), 1001. https://doi.org/10.22178/POS.113-1

Mishu, A., Ahamed, M. M., Akan, M. F., Abdul-Rab, S. D., Chowdhury, G., Ahmad, J., & Sultana, I. (2025). How AI Is Ushering in a New Era in ELT: Teachers’ Perspectives. Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 15(2), 592–605. https://doi.org/10.17507/TPLS.1502.29

Mohammedid, M., & Omar, N. (2020). Question classification based on Bloom’s taxonomy cognitive domain using modified TF-IDF and

word2vec. PLoS ONE, 15(3). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0230442

Mostafa, N. M., & Alghamdi, J. (2022). EFFECTIVENESS OF LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS IN DEVELOPING ESSAY WRITING SKILLS AS A FORM OF CREATIVE WRITING FOR UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS IN SAUDI ARABIA. International Journal of Education and Practice, 10(4), 393–410. https://doi.org/10.18488/61.V10I4.3229

Moulieswaran, N., & Kumar, P. N. S. (2023). Investigating ESL Learners' Perception and Problem towards Artificial Intelligence (AI) -Assisted English Language Learning and Teaching. World Journal of English Language, 13(5), 290–298. https://doi.org/10.5430/WJEL.V13N5P290

Mustaqiim, A., & Azani, M. Z. (2024). Implementation of Curriculum Integration in The Boarding School Program. JIE (Journal of Islamic Education), 9(1), 295–308. https://doi.org/10.52615/JIE.V9I1.387

Namaziandost, E., Alekasir, S., Hassan Mohammed Sawalmeh, M., & Miftah, M. Z. (2020). Investigating the Iranian EFL learners' attitudes towards the implementation of e-portfolios in English learning and assessment. Cogent Education, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2020.1856764

Nazarov, V. (2024). The Problems of Teaching and Learning Foreign Languages Based on Digital Resources. International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding, 11(2), 352. https://doi.org/10.18415/IJMMU.V11I2.5587

Nazeer, I., Jamshaid, S., & Mushtaq Khan, N. (2024). Linguistic Impact of Augmented Reality (AR) on English Language Use. Journal of

Asian Development Studies, 13(1), 350–362. https://doi.org/10.62345/JADS.2024.13.1.30

Ng, D. T. K., Ng, R. C. W., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Engaging students in virtual tours to learn language and digital literacy. Journal of Computers in Education, 10(3), 575–602. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40692-023-00262-2

Ngo, N. T. D., & Vo, T. N. (2025). Augmented Reality in English Language Teaching: A Literature Review on Catering to Diverse Learning Styles. International Journal of TESOL & Education, 5(1), 71–87. https://doi.org/10.54855/IJTE.25514

Nimma, D., Arunadevi, V., Gupta, S. Sen, Kavitha, P., Mishra, A. K., & Muthuperumal, S. (2024). The Impact of AI on English Language Teaching Environments: A Comprehensive Analysis. 2024 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Quantum Computation-Based Sensor Applications, ICAIQSA 2024 - Proceedings. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAIQSA64000.2024.10882238

Njuguna, A. (2022). Using Videoconferencing to Augment Blended Learning for Synchronous and Asynchronous Teaching in Educational Emergencies. International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research, 11(07), 264–271. https://doi.org/10.7753/IJCATR1107.1003

Nkanginieme, K. E. O. (1997). Clinical Diagnosis as a Dynamic Cognitive Process: Application of Bloom's Taxonomy for Educational Objectives in the Cognitive Domain. Medical Education Online, 2(1), 4288. https://doi.org/10.3402/MEO.V2I.4288

Nuraeni, C., Carolina, I., Supriyatna, A., Widiati, W., & Bahri, S. (2020). Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL): Students' Perception and Problems towards Mobile Learning in English Language. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1641(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1641/1/012027

Oluwafemi Ayotunde, O., Jamil, D. I., & Cavus, N. (2023). THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNING USING LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW. Information Technologies and Learning Tools, 95(3), 215–228. https://doi.org/10.33407/ITLT.V95I3.5233

Omer, W. (2025). Modified Bloom's Taxonomy: Perspective of a Doctor of Philosophy Scholar. Journal of Rawalpindi Medical College, 28(4). https://doi.org/10.37939/JRMC.V28I4.2804

Oumekloul Tariq, & Aoujil Said. (2023). Moroccan students' acceptance and use of Learning Management Systems (LMS) platforms to enhance writing skills: Moulay Ismail University as a case study. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 19(3), 1064–1047. https://doi.org/10.30574/WJARR.2023.19.3.1916

p, R. J., & Akkara, Dr. S. (2024). Tech-Driven Transformation: Improving English Language Instruction With Technology. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice. https://doi.org/10.53555/KUEY.V30I4.2254

Palomino, Y. V. M., Vega, J. A. D., Elias, W. H. G., & Espinoza, H. E. M. (2022). LANGUAGE IN THE SOCIO-CULTURAL CONTEXT, FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF LEV VYGOTSKY. International Journal of Human Sciences Research, 2(32), 2–10. https://doi.org/10.22533/AT.ED.55823222141010

Parveen, S., Ikhtiar, A., & Iqbal, A. (2023). An Extensive Examination of Canvas Learning Management System (LMS) Integration within EnglishWorks! Assessing Efficacy in English Language Enhancement. Global Language Review, VIII(I), 360–369. https://doi.org/10.31703/GLR.2023(VIII-I).34

Peña-Acuña, B., & Corga Fernandes Durão, R. (2024). Learning English as a second language with artificial intelligence for prospective teachers: a systematic review. Frontiers in Education, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/FEDUC.2024.1490067

Persson, V., & Nouri, J. (2018). A systematic review of second language learning with mobile technologies. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 13(2), 188–210. https://doi.org/10.3991/IJET.V13I02.8094

Piayura, O., Boonmas, T., Wongphongkham, N., Sae-joo, P., Narongchai, W., Wongphongkham, H., Promphakping, B., & Rahman, M. A. (2025). Overcoming language barriers in film production: the role of VR-based learning in English proficiency. Frontiers in Education, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/FEDUC.2025.1493442

Poehner, M. E., & Infante, P. (2017). Mediated Development: A Vygotskian Approach to Transforming Second Language Learner Abilities. TESOL Quarterly, 51(2), 332–357. https://doi.org/10.1002/TESQ.308

Prasetya, R. E. (2021a). Effectiveness of Teaching English for Specific Purposes in LMS Moodle: Lecturers' Perspective. Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics, 6(1), 93. https://doi.org/10.21462/JELTL.V6I1.498

Prasetya, R. E. (2021b). Effectiveness of Teaching English for Specific Purposes in LMS Moodle: Lecturers' Perspective. Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics, 6(1), 93. https://doi.org/10.21462/JELTL.V6I1.498

Punar Özçelik, N., Yangin Ekşi, G., & Baturay, M. H. (2022). Augmented Reality (AR) in Language Learning: A Principled Review of 2017-2021. In Participatory Educational Research (Vol. 9, Issue 4, pp. 131–152). Ozgen Korkmaz. https://doi.org/10.17275/per.22.83.9.4

Qiu, X. bin, Shan, C., Yao, J., & Fu, Q. ke. (2024). The effects of virtual reality on EFL learning: A meta-analysis. Education and Information Technologies, 29(2), 1379–1405. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-023-11738-0

Qiu, X. yue, Chiu, C. K., Zhao, L. L., Sun, C. F., & Chen, S. jie. (2023). Trends in VR/AR technology-supporting language learning from 2008 to 2019: a research perspective. Interactive Learning Environments, 31(4), 2090–2113. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1874999

Radhakrishnan, M. (2021). Personalized mobile learning and course recommendation system. International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 13(1), 38–48. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2021010103

Rahmani, A., Asadi, V., & Xodabande, I. (2022). Using Mobile Devices for Vocabulary Learning Outside the Classroom: Improving the English as Foreign Language Learners' Knowledge of High-Frequency Words. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2022.899885

Raj, A., & Tomy, P. (2023). Mobile technology as a dependable alternative to language labs and to improve listening skills. International Journal of English Language and Literature Studies, 12(1), 17–32. https://doi.org/10.55493/5019.V12I1.4702

Rani, B. T. (2024). Artificial Intelligence tools in Learning English language and Teaching. How can be AI used for Language Learning. Edumania-An International Multidisciplinary Journal, 02(04), 230–234. https://doi.org/10.59231/EDUMANIA/9085

Regina, D., & Antiha Devi, V. (2022). A Review on Computer Assisted and Mobile Assisted Language Learning in Vocabulary Learning to Develop Retention of Learners in a Sustainable English Language Learning Environment. ECS Transactions, 107(1), 15859–15867. https://doi.org/10.1149/10701.15859ECST

Reynolds, B. L., & Shih, Y. C. (2019). The learning effects of student-constructed word cards as homework for the adolescent English Language classroom. System, 81, 146–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SYSTEM.2019.01.005

Rosyidah, U. J., & Anugerahwati, M. (2024). INTEGRATING AUGMENTED REALITY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING :TRENDS IN INDONESIA FROM 2019 TO 2023. English Review: Journal of English Education, 12(2), 483–498. https://doi.org/10.25134/ERJEE.V12I2.8992

Rubtsov, V. V. (2016). Cultural-Historical Scientific School: the Issues that L.S. Vygotsky Brought up. Cultural-Historical Psychology, 12(3), 4–14. https://doi.org/10.17759/CHP.2016120301

Rusmiyanto, R., Huriati, N., Fitriani, N., Tyas, N. K., Rofi'i, A., & Sari, M. N. (2023). The Role Of Artificial Intelligence (AI) In Developing English Language Learner's Communication Skills. Journal on

Education, 6(1), 750–757. https://doi.org/10.31004/JOE.V6I1.2990

Sabila, A. M., Arifin, S., & Humaidi, M. N. (2024). Islamic Boarding School in the Trajectory of Indonesian History: Origins, Characteristics, and Policy Dynamics. Al-Hayat: Journal of Islamic Education, 8(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.35723/AJIE.V8I1.440

Safitri, I. N., & Lestari, P. Y. (2021). Optimizing Learning Management System to Teach English Grammar. EDULINK EDUCATION AND LINGUISTICS KNOWLEDGE JOURNAL, 3(1), 51. https://doi.org/10.32503/EDULINK.V3I1.1490

Salama, R., Chiparausha, K., & Bsatar, F. (2022). E-learning system of teaching english language. Global Journal of Information Technology: Emerging Technologies, 12(1), 34–42. https://doi.org/10.18844/GJIT.V12I1.7108

Saleem, A. N., Noori, N. M., & Ozdamli, F. (2022). Gamification Applications in E-learning: A Literature Review. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 27(1), 139–159. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10758-020-09487-X

Sampson, D. G., & Zervas, P. (2011). Mobile learning management systems in higher education. Higher Education Institutions and Learning Management Systems: Adoption and Standardization, 162–177. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-60960-884-2.CH008

Samson, S., & Karthiga, S. V. (2020). Gamification as a Tool in English Language Teaching. International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education, 12(2), 99–102. https://doi.org/10.9756/INT-JECSE/V12I2.201061

Sanjaya, E. (2023). THE POTENTIALS AND CHALLENGES OF MOBILE-ASSISTED LANGUAGE LEARNING (MALL) FOR EFL/ESL LEARNERS. Edukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan, 21(2), 272–286. https://doi.org/10.31571/EDUKASI.V21I2.6054

Shadiev, R., & Liang, Q. (2024). A review of research on AR-supported language learning. Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 18(1), 78–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2023.2229804

Shao, J., Jiang, J., Shen, H., Zhang, J., & He, Q. (2022). Mobile Learning Application Integrated with Learning Management System. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, 411 LNNS, 1085–1093. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-96296-8_99

Sharadgah, T. A., & Sa'di, R. A. (2022). A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RESEARCH ON THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING AND LEARNING (2015-2021): WHAT ARE THE CURRENT EFFECTS? Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 21, 337–377. https://doi.org/10.28945/4999

Sharifuddin, S. S., & Abdullah, M. H. (2023). The Effectiveness of Gamification In Teaching and Learning English As a Second Language. Journal of Management Scholarship, 2(2). https://doi.org/10.38198/JMS/2.2.2023.25

Sharma, A. (2022). EFFECTIVENESS OF LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ON ACHIEVEMENT IN ENGLISH AT SECONDARY SCHOOL LEVEL. SCHOLARLY RESEARCH JOURNAL FOR HUMANITY SCIENCE AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE, 10(54). https://doi.org/10.21922/SRJHSEL.V10I54.11790

Shokrpour, N., Mirshekari, Z., & Moslehi, S. (2019). Learning vocabulary electronically: Does computer assisted language learning (CALL) instruction have any impacts on iranian efl learners? Cogent Education, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2019.1702827

Shukla, A., & Pandey, K. (2020). Endorsement of Individualized Instruction and Learning Performance through Mobile-Based Learning Management. The Role of Technology in Education. https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.88152

Sinthiya, B. (2023). English Language Learning in the Metaverse: Exploring the Potential of AR and VR. Shanlax International Journal of English, 12(S1-Dec), 161–166. https://doi.org/10.34293/RTDH.V12IS1-DEC.52

Solihin, S. (2021). Using Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL) to Teach English in Indonesian Context: Opportunities and Challenges. VELES Voices of English Language Education Society, 5(2), 95–106. https://doi.org/10.29408/VELES.V5I2.3150

Song, S. J., Tan, K. H., & Awang, M. M. (2021). Generic digital equity model in education: Mobile-assisted personalized learning (MAPL) through e-modules. Sustainability (Switzerland), 13(19). https://doi.org/10.3390/SU131911115

Spencer, S., Drescher, T., Sears, J., Scruggs, A. F., & Schreffler, J. (2019). Comparing the Efficacy of Virtual Simulation to Traditional Classroom Role-Play. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 57(7), 1772–1785. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633119855613

Srinivasa Rao Idapalapati. (2024). AI integration in ELT as a disruptive mechanism. International Journal of Science and Research

Archive, 12(1), 922–933. https://doi.org/10.30574/IJSRA.2024.12.1.0941

Subhash, S., & Cudney, E. A. (2018). Gamified learning in higher education: A systematic review of the literature. Computers in Human Behavior, 87, 192–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHB.2018.05.028

Sun, Z., Anbarasan, M., & Praveen Kumar, D. (2021). Design of online intelligent English teaching platform based on artificial intelligence techniques. Computational Intelligence, 37(3), 1166–1180. https://doi.org/10.1111/COIN.12351

Sundari, H., & Leonard, L. (2021). Exploring Needs of Academic Writing Course for LMS in the New Normal. JTP - Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan, 22(3), 140–150. https://doi.org/10.21009/JTP.V22I3.16073

Sung, Y. T., Chang, K. E., & Yang, J. M. (2015a). How effective are mobile devices for language learning? A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 16, 68–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EDUREV.2015.09.001

Sung, Y. T., Chang, K. E., & Yang, J. M. (2015b). How effective are mobile devices for language learning? A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 16, 68–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EDUREV.2015.09.001

Suriaman, A., Manurung, K., Mukrim, Apridayani, A., & Agussatriana. (2023). Effective or Impractical? Discussing Students' Perceptions Toward Learning Management Systems in English Language Learning. International Journal of Language Education, 7(2), 330–342. https://doi.org/10.26858/IJOLE.V7I2.43495

Terán Molina, D. V., Rosado Castro, M. del C., Quintuña Barrera, L. V., & González Velasco, Y. J. (2024). Gamification and its benefits for English vocabulary development in preschool children. Reincisol., 3(6), 5787–5802. https://doi.org/10.59282/REINCISOL.V3(6)5787-5802

Terzioğlu, Y., & Kurt, M. (2022). Elevating English Language Learners' Speaking Fluency and Listening Skill Through a Learning Management System. SAGE Open, 12(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221099937

Thurairasu, V. (2022). Gamification-Based Learning as The Future of Language Learning: An Overview. European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 2(6), 62–69. https://doi.org/10.24018/EJSOCIAL.2022.2.6.353

Titova, S. V., & Staroverova, M. V. (2023). THE STAGES OF DIGITALIZATION OF LANGUAGE EDUCATION IN THE XX-XXI CC. Moscow University Bulletin. Series 19. Linguistics and Intercultural Communication, 26(№3_2023), 25–45. https://doi.org/10.55959/MSU-2074-1588-19-26-3-2

Tong, P., An, I. S., & Zhou, Y. (2020a). Holistic and dynamic: teacher-researcher reflections on operating mobile-assisted learning tasks supported by WeChat for Chinese as a foreign language. Instructional Science, 48(6), 729–763. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11251-020-09524-3

Tong, P., An, I. S., & Zhou, Y. (2020b). Holistic and dynamic: teacher-researcher reflections on operating mobile-assisted learning tasks supported by WeChat for Chinese as a foreign language. Instructional Science, 48(6), 729–763. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11251-020-09524-3

Toshmatov, O., & Rasulova, M. (2024). Mobile-assisted means of developing ecologic awareness in contects of modern legislation. BIO Web of Conferences, 84. https://doi.org/10.1051/BIOCONF/20248404029

Tran, T. T. H. (2024). AI Tools in Teaching and Learning English Academic Writing Skills. Proceedings of the AsiaCALL International Conference, 4, 170–187. https://doi.org/10.54855/PAIC.23413

Triyoga, A., Rizaldy, A. C., & Wijayati, R. D. (2023). STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF THE USE OF MOBILE ASSISTED LANGUAGE LEARNING (MALL) TO LEARN ENGLISH. EXPOSURE : JURNAL PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS, 12(1), 47–61. https://doi.org/10.26618/EXPOSURE.V12I1.10012

Tsai, C. C. (2018). A comparison of EFL elementary school learners' vocabulary efficiency by using flashcards and augmented reality in Taiwan. New Educational Review, 51(1), 53–65. https://doi.org/10.15804/TNER.2018.51.1.04

Tulasi, L., & Rao, C. S. (2023). Integration of AI-Technologies into ELT: A Brief Study. Journal for Research Scholars and Professionals of English Language Teaching, 7(38). https://doi.org/10.54850/JRSPELT.7.38.003

Umar, U. (2024). Advancements in English Language Teaching: Harnessing the Power of Artificial Intelligence. FLIP Foreign Language Instruction Probe, 3(1), 29–42. https://doi.org/10.54213/FLIP.V3I1.402

Ustun, A. B., Simsek, E., Karaoglan-Yilmaz, F. G., & Yilmaz, R. (2022). The effects of AR-enhanced English Language Learning Experience on Students' Attitudes, Self-Efficacy and Motivation.

TechTrends, 66(5), 798–809. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11528-022-00757-2

Valūnaitė-Oleškevičienė, G., Čiuladienė, G., Tamošiūnienė, L., & Mockienė, L. (2024). TEACHER DIGITAL SKILLS IN ONLINE LANGUAGE TEACHING AND LEARNING: SURVEY IN LITHUANIA. Rasprave Instituta Za Hrvatski Jezik i Jezikoslovlje, 50(1), 157–177. https://doi.org/10.31724/RIHJJ.50.1.8

Vukšić, M., Borenić, V. K., & Stjepić, A. M. (2024). The Role of Game-Based Learning in Teaching English in Higher Education. 2024 47th ICT and Electronics Convention, MIPRO 2024 - Proceedings, 502–507. https://doi.org/10.1109/MIPRO60963.2024.10569538

Wafa, Z., & Sulistyaningsih, E. F. (2025). Exploring the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in English Language Teaching: Trends, Challenges, and Pedagogical Implications. English Education and Literature Journal (E-Jou), 5(01), 119–128. https://doi.org/10.53863/E-JOU.V5I01.1509

Wambsganss, T., Benke, I., Maedche, A., Koedinger, K., & Käser, T. (2024). Evaluating the Impact of Learner Control and Interactivity in Conversational Tutoring Systems for Persuasive Writing. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40593-024-00409-X

Wang, Y., Niu, Y., & Wang, X. (2022). Applying Game-Based Learning to Improve English Abilities: A Meta-Analysis Study. 2022 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Educational Technology, ICET 2022, 56–60. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICET55642.2022.9944489

Wang, Y., Wu, J., Chen, F., Wang, Z., Li, J., & Wang, L. (2024). Empirical Assessment of AI-Powered Tools for Vocabulary

Acquisition in EFL Instruction. IEEE Access. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3446657

Wen, X. (2023). The Effect of Gamification Learning on Primary School Students' Second Language Learning. Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences, 22, 492–501. https://doi.org/10.54097/EHSS.V22I.12510

Wihastyanang, W. D., Hentasmaka, D., & Anjarwati, R. (2015). ACTIVE LEARNING USING LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' COMPETENCE IN ARGUMENTATIVE WRITING. Journal on English as a Foreign Language, 4(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.23971/JEFL.V4I1.69

Wirantaka, A., Dearesty, U. A., & Darmi, R. (2024). English Education Department Students'? Attitude towards the Use of Learning Management System (LMS) in English Learning. E3S Web of Conferences, 594. https://doi.org/10.1051/E3SCONF/202459405007

Wirantaka, A., Syaharani, N., & Baharun, H. (2024). English Teachers' Pedagogical Competences in Using Learning Management System (LMS). SHS Web of Conferences, 202, 06006. https://doi.org/10.1051/SHSCONF/202420206006

Wu, J. G., & Miller, L. (2020). Improving English Learners' Speaking through Mobile-assisted Peer Feedback. RELC Journal, 51(1), 168–178. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688219895335

Xodabande, I., Pourhassan, A., & Valizadeh, M. (2022). Self-directed learning of core vocabulary in English by EFL learners: comparing the outcomes from paper and mobile application flashcards. Journal of Computers in Education, 9(1), 93–111. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40692-021-00197-6

Xu, T., & Wang, H. (2024). The effectiveness of artificial intelligence on English language learning achievement. System, 125. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SYSTEM.2024.103428

Yafaei, Y. Al, & Attamimi, R. (2019). Understanding Teachers' Integration of Moodle in EFL Classrooms: A Case Study. English Language Teaching, 12(4), 1. https://doi.org/10.5539/ELT.V12N4P1

Yan, W., Lowell, V. L., & Yang, L. (2024). Developing English language learners' speaking skills through applying a situated learning approach in VR-enhanced learning experiences. Virtual Reality, 28(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/S10055-024-01061-5

Yanes, N., & Bououd, I. (2019). Using gamification and serious games for english language learning. 2019 International Conference on Computer and Information Sciences, ICCIS 2019. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCISCI.2019.8716451

Yavuz, F. (2016). Do Smartphones Spur or Deter Learning: A WhatsApp Case Study. International Journal of Educational Sciences, 15(3), 408–415. https://doi.org/10.1080/09751122.2016.11890551

Zakaria, N. Z. S., & Mahat, H. (2024). EXPLORING GAMIFICATION ELEMENTS IN EDUCATION: A BIBLIOMETRIC STUDY. International Journal of Modern Education, 6(23), 769–784. https://doi.org/10.35631/IJMOE.623052

Zeybek, N., & Saygı, E. (2024). Gamification in Education: Why, Where, When, and How?—A Systematic Review. Games and Culture, 19(2), 237–264. https://doi.org/10.1177/15554120231158625

Zhang, R., & Zou, D. (2022). Types, purposes, and effectiveness of state-of-the-art technologies for second and foreign language learning. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35(4), 696–742. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2020.1744666

Zhang, T., Mao, H., Liu, H., Liu, Y., Yu, M., Wu, W., Yu, G., Wei, B., & Guan, Y. (2023). Parallel Prediction Method of Knowledge Proficiency Based on Bloom's Cognitive Theory. Mathematics, 11(24). https://doi.org/10.3390/MATH11245002

Zhao, M., Noordin, N., Ahmad, N. K. B., & Liu, L. (2024). Effectiveness of Mobile-assisted Language Learning in Developing Oral English in Higher Education: A Comparative Systematic Review. World Journal of English Language, 15(1), 56. https://doi.org/10.5430/WJEL.V15N1P56

Zhou, C., & Hou, F. (2025). How Do EFL Teachers Utilize AI Tools in Their Language Teaching? Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 15(2), 403–413. https://doi.org/10.17507/TPLS.1502.10

Zhou, Y. (2024). VR Technology in English Teaching from the Perspective of Knowledge Visualization. IEEE Access. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3022093