Volume 7, Nomor 2, Desember 2023
Numerical: Jurnal Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika
http://journal.iaimnumetrolampung.ac.id/index.php/numerical
DOI:https://doi.org/10.25217/numerical.v7i2.

Influence of Problem Based Learning Model on Students' Critical Thinking Ability and Learning Motivation in Mathematics Learning

Mayshe Baidannur Siregar[1], Suci Dahlya Narpila[2]

[1, 2] Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
Correspondence: baidannursiregar1705@gmail.com

Article Info
Article History:
Received: 30-08-2023
Revised: 31-08-2023
Accepted: 01-09-2023

Keywords:
Critical Thinking;
Learning Motivasion;
Mathematics Learning;
Problem Based Learning.

Abstract

This study aims to determine the effect of the problem-based learning (PBL) model on critical thinking skills and students' motivation to learn mathematics. This type of research is a quasi experiment. The design model uses a pretest posttest control group design. The population of this study was all students of class VII SMP Kesatria Medan in the 2023/2024 academic year consisting of 30 students. Sampling was carried out using random sampling technique and the research sample was obtained, namely VII-1 totaling 16 students as the control class and 14 students VII-2 as the experimental class. The research instrument used tests in the form of essays. Data analysis techniques used descriptive analysis which included normality tests, homogeneity tests and hypothesis tests, namely the t-test (paired sample t-test). The results of the study show the sig. (2-tailed) of 0.000 < 0.05, it can be concluded that students who get the problem-based learning model are better than students who use conventional learning models.

INTRODUCTION

Education is a basic human activity that is constructive in human life. This means that education plays an important role in determining the development and improvement of the quality of human resources. Therefore, as educators, we must be able to hold learning activities in the form of assessments for students to be accountable for the actions done, namely educating and being educated. The quality of an individual is very dependent on the quality of education he obtains and sees the current condition of education, namely the weak learning process (Nurlaeli, 2018). As stated by Mudyahardjo (2001) education is all learning experiences that take place in all environments and throughout life. Education is any life situation that affects individual growth".

In of education, mathematics is one of the basic sciences that is important to be taught to students because mathematics can train a student to think logically, and responsibly, have a good personality, and problem-solving skills in everyday life. This shows that mathematics plays an important role in efforts to improve human resources (Kamarullah, 2017). Mathematics is a science that underlies technological developments that play an important role in various disciplines and advance human thinking. Mathematics exists at every level of education from elementary school to college. As Yusril (2018) said mathematics lessons need to be given to all students from elementary school to college to equip them with logical, analytical, systematic,

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

critical, and creative thinking skills as well as the ability to work together. Therefore, mathematics plays an important role in various aspects of life (Nova, 2021).

One of the thinking skills demanded in higher-order learning is the ability to think critically. The ability to think critically is a competency that must be achieved through learning (Anastasia, 2018). The ability to think critically mathematically not only emphasizes students on the ability to solve problems, but also the ability of students to evaluate problem solving. Critical thinking is very important in solving a problem and making the right decision by thinking about the impact that will result from the actions taken (Agus, 2019). In addition, critical thinking can bring up creative ideas to face life so that they can make the right decision every time they act. The importance of critical thinking is also conveyed by Ormord (2009), critical thinking is thinking rationally about something and gathering as much information as possible before making a decision or taking an action (Willia, 2020). Furthermore, critical thinkers are characterized by someone who can connect ideas systematically, formulate ideas briefly and precisely, and evaluate each argument carefully or a critical thinker can think openly and see gaps in gray areas (Agus, 2019).

However, the fact shows that mathematics learning in Indonesia is generally carried out mechanisically and tends to be taught using practical formulas, so it does not involve a construction process that can improve students' critical thinking skills (Arisetyawan &; Supriadi, 2020). The results of PISA 2018 and TIMSS 2015 show the same results, the level of ability of students, especially in mathematics in Indonesia, is still at the level of understanding until application but has not yet reached the aspect of critical thinking skills (Tohir, 2019). Similarly, at SMPS Kesatria Medan, after being given a critical thinking questionnaire from 24 students, only 6 students had high critical thinking skills, from these results, it can be stated that mathematics learning tends to be one-way (teacher-oriented), so that student involvement during learning is very minimal, which has an impact on their critical thinking skills. Another indication can be seen in the evaluation questions given that they are still understanding the application (Imaludin, 2022).

Several factors cause low critical thinking skills & student learning motivation, including learning that occurs in schools is still teacher-centered or commonly called teacher-centered. According to Supandi & Nugroho (2019), the results of the study stated that students' critical thinking skills are still relatively low, which is caused by mathematics teachers who still apply the principle of transfer of knowledge. This results in one-way learning, namely teachers as informers and students as recipients of information so that learning becomes rigid and teacher-centered and students become passive (Usman, 2021). In research conducted Cristillo explained that in this teacher-centered learning model, almost 48.7% of educators in Indonesia do it and it is not surprising that this can hinder the development of students' understanding of the next level of cognitive abilities. Of course, many students have low critical thinking skills (Mongwaketse, 2020).

Many things such as desire or motivation in learning affect learning outcomes, in this case, student success in learning mathematics. Students who have strong motivation tend to be easier in developing their thinking skills in solving problems, especially those related to mathematics. The function of learning motivation was also expressed by Emda (2017) who explained that the function of motivation is a driving force to achieve achievements because people will try to

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

encourage their desires and determine the direction of action taken to achieve their goals (Junaidi, 2017).

But, departing from these problems, teachers need to innovate habits, perceptions, pleasures, interests, social adjustments, types of skills, ideals, desires, and expectations, not just mastery of subject theory concepts (Rusman, 2017). This is following government policy regarding the duties of teachers regulated in Law No. 14 of 2005 Calm Teachers and Lecturers, which states that teachers must be active in planning learning, preparing teaching models and methods, implementing quality learning processes, and assessing and evaluating learning outcomes (Jogloabang, 2019).

A strategy in learning that can improve students' ability to solve problems, especially in mathematics lessons, is the problem-based learning (PBL) model. Problem-based learning is a student-centered learning approach that forms small learning groups so that the learning process runs focused and active. Students are exposed to real problem situations in this problem-based learning approach in small groups. Teachers can help students focus on real problem-solving contexts, motivating them to consider the right situation in determining solutions (Suci Dahlya, 2018). This follows the PBL theory expressed by Winahyu (2018) that PBL can optimize students' thinking skills through a systematic group work process so that students can empower, hone, test, and develop thinking skills on an ongoing basis. Problem-based learning (PBL) is a suitable learning model to achieve mathematics learning goals, which can solve problems creatively (Winarti, 2020).

Various problems related to learning models on critical thinking skills and student learning motivation are common problems experienced by schools in Indonesia. One of them is research conducted by Dino Steven at SMPN 2 Kendari which was published in September 2019 some factors can cause low critical thinking skills in students, one of which is the use of less innovative learning models. A learning model that can be used to help students related to mathematical critical thinking skills is the Problem-Based Learning model or problem-based learning. The learning process using the Problem-Based Learning model in grade VIII of SMP Negeri 2 Kendari is categorized as good to very good. Overall, the percentage of learning implementation rate by teachers in 6 consecutive meetings was 88%, 88%, 92%, 96%, 92%, and 96%. While the percentage of student activity rate in 6 consecutive meetings is 80%, 80%, 88%, 88%, 84%, and 88%. This shows that the Problem-Based Learning model has a positive influence on students' mathematical critical thinking skills (La Ndia, 2019).

Based on this description, researchers are interested in being able to determine the influence of problem-based learning (PBL) learning models on students' critical thinking skills and learning motivation in mathematics learning.

METHOD

This research was carried out in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year at SMPS Kesatria Medan. The population in this study was all students of grades VII-3. According to Sugiyono (2017: 81), Population is the object of this study by determining the population, the researcher will be able to process data. To facilitate data processing, the author will take part in the number and characteristics possessed by the population called the sample. By using samples, researchers will more easily process data and the results obtained will be more credible. The

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

sampling technique in this study is simple random sampling. According to Sugiyono (2017: 82), "Probability sampling is a sampling technique that provides equal opportunities or opportunities for each element or member of the population to be selected as a sample". Probability sampling consists of simple random sampling, proponate stratified random sampling, disproportionate stratified random, and sampling area (cluster) sampling. In this study, researchers used simple random sampling, then According to Sugiyono (2017: 82) Simple Random Sampling is the taking of sample members from a population that is carried out randomly without paying attention to the strata in that population.

This type of research is quasi-experimental research. According to Sugiyono (2015: 114), pseudo-experiments are research that is close to real experiments. This study aims to directly test the influence of one variable on other variables and test the hypothesis of cause-and-effect relationships. Pseudo-experimental research is conducted to test hypotheses about the presence or absence of influence on an action. This study was conducted to determine the critical thinking skills and motivation of students who apply the Problem-Based Learning learning model. The research design used is a Matching post-test Control Group Design. In the Matching pretest and Post-test Control Group Design two classes are selected directly, and then given a pre-test to find out the initial state, Is there a difference between the experimental class and the control class (Sugiyono, 2009: 113). The experimental class was treated using Problem-Based Learning (PBL), while the control class continued to use the lecture method. After completion of treatment, both classes were given a post-test.

The steps in this study start with research preparation, namely preparing the necessary learning tools such as RPP, LKS, Learning Motivation Questionnaire, and Critical Thinking Ability Questions. This activity is continued with the implementation of initial research on providing pretest questions to students, where this test is given before teaching begins, and aims to find out the extent of students' mastery of the teaching material (knowledge and skills) to be taught. In this case, the function of the pretest is to see to what extent the effectiveness of teaching, after the results of the pretest will be compared with the post-test. Then learning activities are carried out using the PBL model. It then ends with the administration of a posttest, which is given at the end of the teaching unit program. The purpose of this post-test is to determine the extent to which students achieve teaching materials (knowledge and skills) after experiencing a learning activity.

A research instrument is a tool used to collect data or information that is useful to answer research problems. Instruments as tools at the time of research that use a method. Sukmadinata (2010: 230) states that the research instrument is a test that is measurable because it contains questions and statements whose alternative answers have certain answer standards, true and false, and answer scales. An instrument that contains scale answers, in the form of questions or statements whose answers are in the form of descriptive scales or line scales Meanwhile, according to Sugiono (2009: 76) research instruments are tools used to measure observed natural and social phenomena, specifically, this phenomenon is called a research variable. The data collection instrument used in this study is a question of critical thinking skills and questionnaires of student learning motivation, the test equipment used is a question of critical thinking skills in the form of a fill-in writing test (pretest and posttest), and the data collection technique I use is in the form of tests given to students and questionnaires answered by students.

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

Data analysis was carried out from the beginning of the study. On every aspect of research activities. Researchers can also directly analyze what is observed, the situation and atmosphere of the classroom or field, and the relationship of educators with students and other friends. According to Susilo (2011: 100), "Data analysis is an effort to summarize the data that has been collected in a reliable, accurate, reliable and correct manner". From the description above, it can be concluded, that data analysis is an effort to summarize the data that has been collected in research and can be done with quantitative and qualitative data descriptive techniques that are interpreted in the form of descriptions. According to Sugiyono (2009: 80), Descriptive analysis is data analysis in the form of respondents' identities and decision-making processes. This analysis is grouped based on the same answer, then percentage based on the number of respondents. The largest percentage is the dominant factor of each variable studied. This analysis is the activity of collecting, processing and describing the collected data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Researchers tested the validity of 20 statements from critical thinking and learning motivation questionnaires. The result obtained from the validity test is that out of 20 statements, all statements are considered valid. Data processing through SPSS found valid statement results as follows:

Table 1. Validity Test Results

Variable		R count		R correlation	Information
Critical Thinking	$X_{1.1}$	0,435	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.2}$	0,533	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.3}$	0,528	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.4}$	0,664	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.5}$	0,526	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.6}$	0,521	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.7}$	0,551	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.8}$	0,608	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.9}$	0,461	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{1.10}$	0,567	>	0,300	Valid
Learning Motivation	$X_{2.1}$	0,491	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.2}$	0,522	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.3}$	0,546	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.4}$	0,601	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.5}$	0,621	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.6}$	0,467	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.7}$	0,644	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.8}$	0,589	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.9}$	0,673	>	0,300	Valid
	$X_{2.10}$	0,591	>	0,300	Valid

Based on Table 1 of the validity test results, it can be seen that all question items or variable indicators of critical thinking and learning motivation are declared valid because of the

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

correlation results between the results of respondents' answers to each question item or indicator with a total score, significant results are obtained, namely calculated > correlation values. (0,300).

Then for the question test instrument, a reliability test is carried out to find out that the question has been reliable. Data processing using Cronbach Alpha with SPSS 26. The results of the reliability test can be seen in the following table:

Table 2. Reliability Test Results

Variable	***Cronbach's Alpha***	**Intepretation**
Critical Thinking	0,756	High
Learning Motivation	0,722	High

The results of the reliability test show that the value of Cronbach's Alpha for all variables is 0.700 with high interpretation, so it can be concluded that the variables Critical Thinking, and Learning Motivation are reliable or reliable to be used as variable measuring tools. The data from this study were obtained from pre-test and post-test scores which showed an increase in students' critical thinking skills and mathematical learning motivation. The data will be analyzed using normality tests, homogeneity tests, and hypothesis tests, while the data results regarding pre-test and post-test critical thinking skills and mathematical motivation of students from each class can be seen in the following table:

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Pre-Test and Post-Test Data (Critical Thinking)

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Pre-Test Eksperimen	30	35	75	62.34	6.685
Pos-Test Eksperimen	30	65	95	87.41	4.102
Pre-Test Kontrol	30	38	75	64.73	6.096
Pos-Test Kontrol	30	50	60	56.91	5.675
Valid N (listwise)	30				

Based on the table above, it can be seen from the results of the analysis that the experimental and control class pre-test minimum value is 35 and the maximum is 75. Analysis of the post-test results of the experimental class minimum value is 65 and the maximum is 95. Meanwhile, the results of the post-test control class have a minimum value of 50 and a maximum of 60.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Pre-Test and Post-Test Data (Learning Motivation)

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Pre-Test Eksperimen	30	30	75	60.56	6.432
Pos-Test Eksperimen	30	60	85	72.89	4.087
Pre-Test Kontrol	30	35	70	59.78	5.921
Pos-Test Kontrol	30	40	65	61.88	6.277
Valid N (listwise)	30				

In the table above, it shows that the experimental and control class pre-test has a minimum value of 30 and a maximum of 75. Analysis of the post-test results of the experimental

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

class minimum value is 60 and the maximum is 85. Meanwhile, the results of the post-test control class have a minimum value of 40 and a maximum of 65.

Before conducting the t-test analysis technique, researchers ensure that the data is or is not normally distributed through a normality test. The normality test used in this study used Kolmogorov Smirnov with SPSS 26. The normality test in this study was carried out to understand whether the data obtained was distributed normally or not (Sugiyono, 2018). The normality test was run on the pretest and posttest values of the control class as well as the experimental class utilizing Kolmogorov Smirnov.

Table 5. Kolmogorov Smirnov Normality Test

	Class	Kolmogorov-Smirnov[a]		
		Satistic	df	Sig.
Students' Mathematical Critical Thinking	Pre-Test Eskperimen (PBL)	.153	30	.073
	Post-Test Eksperimen (PBL)	.159	30	.052
	Pre-Test Kontrol (Konvensional)	.210	30	.002
	Pre-Test Kontrol (Konvensional)	.173	30	.022

Based on the table above, it shows the value of sig. Pre-test experimental class 0.120 > 0.05 and SIG scores. Post-test experimental class 0.200 > 0.05. So is the sig value. pre-test and post-test control class 0.200 > 0.05 then Ho was accepted. In conclusion, pre-tests and post-tests in experimental classes and control classes are normally distributed. Because the research data is normally distributed, we can use the homogeneity test using the Levene Statistic test with the help of SPSS 26 Software to find out whether the data obtained is homogeneous or not.

After the pretest data is declared normal, then a homogeneity test is carried out. The homogeneity test is carried out to determine the similarity of two states or populations. In this study, the homogeneity test aims to determine whether the variance of experimental class post-test data (PBL) and control class post-test data (Conventional) is homogeneous or not.

Table 6. Homogeneity Test

Levene Statistic	Df1	Df2	Sig.
3.875	1	56.784	.54

Based on the table above, the value of sig is known. 0.250 > 0.05. So it can be concluded that the variance of experimental class post-test data and control class post-test data is homogeneous or equal. Next, test the hypothesis using a t-test (paired sample t-test).

The results of the hypothesis test are carried out after the normality test and homogeneity test. Test the hypothesis in this study using paired sample t-test which is used to determine whether there is an average difference between two paired samples. In this study, the paired sample t-test test is to answer the formulation of the problem, namely whether the problem-based learning model affects improving critical thinking skills and mathematical motivation of students of SMP Kestaria grade VIII.

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

Table 7. Uji Paired Sample T-Test

	Std. Deviation	T	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pre Test Eksperimen-Post Test Eksperimen	8.061	-13.386	29	.000

Based on the table above, the sig value is obtained. (2-tailed) of 0.000 < 0.05, it can be concluded that there is a difference in the average mathematical critical thinking of students of SMP Kesatria class VII with students who get a problem-based learning model better than students who use conventional learning models.

Discussion

Based on the results of the analysis conducted, namely the effect of using the problem-based learning model on critical thinking and student learning motivation in mathematics lessons of grade VII students of Kestaria Junior High School for the 2023/2024 academic year, it shows that respondents' responses regarding problem-based learning variables have a moderate level of (21.52) and learning motivation variables also have a medium level of (21.20).

The results of the normality test using chi-square tests from the pretest and post-test data of critical thinking variables show normally distributed residuals, this normality test can be said to be normal because the significant level of $\alpha = 5\%$ is known to be the value of Asymp. Sig (2-sided) Pearson chi-square from the pretest and posttest data of learning motivation variables is 0.000 < 0.05. Therefore, it can be concluded that the pretest and posttest data of critical thinking variables used in this study are normally distributed. Critical thinking skills can be improved with the Problem-Based Learning model. According to Duch (Aris, 2017) the Problem Based Learning model is a teaching model characterized by real problems as a context for students to learn critical thinking and problem-solving skills and acquire knowledge. The results of this study show that the Problem-Based Learning model can improve students' critical thinking skills in Mathematics learning, and it can also increase students' understanding of the material studied.

The results of the analysis with the paired sample t-test showed a positive count value of 22.710 > table 13.386 and the Sig, (2-tailed) value from the pretest and posttest data of critical thinking variables was 0.000 < 0.05, then H0 was rejected and H1 was accepted. So it can be concluded that there is an average difference between pretest and posttest critical thinking, which means that there is an effective increase in the use of problem-based learning models in increasing critical thinking and learning motivation of grade VII students of SMP Kesatria for the 2023/2024 academic year. So the hypothesis that reads "There is an influence of the Problem-based learning model on critical thinking and student learning motivation in mathematics lessons", can be accepted.

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

Based on the results of research that show that the problem-based learning model can improve critical thinking and student learning motivation and the problem-based learning model has a significant influence on student's critical thinking, this means that problem-based learning can create activities that stimulate students' curiosity when participating in classroom learning by providing related problems With daily life in students, with group work, making works or making reports and being able to present them. With this activity, the problem-based learning model is preferred by students so that students are more motivated to follow the learning process when learning in class.

Students become interested in the activities carried out during the learning process and in the problems given by the teacher making students challenged to solve these problems. Students and their groups try their best to do the assignment because they want to succeed in doing the task given by the teacher. When teachers provide opportunities for presentations, representatives of the group scramble to present their work in front of the class. Students who can respond to presentations from other groups will be given their rewards and grades by the teacher. Here the teacher always chooses students in turn so as not to cause jealousy in students. This problem-based learning model can increase student activity in learning, Besides that it is also more fun and liked by students, students can develop their ability to think critically, and can provide opportunities for students to apply their knowledge to the real world.

The problem-based learning model can increase student motivation because the learning utilizes the effects of curiosity, challenge, authentic assignment, and engagement. In addition, working together in solving a problem can motivate one to engage in a task, and can increase opportunities for joint inquiry and dialogue, develop thinking skills, and give rise to a high sense of sociality. The results of this study are relevant to the results of research conducted by Tomas and Prasetyo (2020) which showed that the problem-based learning model can increase student learning motivation and the problem-based learning model has a significant influence on student learning motivation.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research from the discussion above, the sig value can be obtained. (2-tailed) of 0.000 < 0.05, then there is a difference in the average mathematical critical thinking of students of SMP Kesatria Medan grade VII. The conclusion is that the results show that there is a significant influence of the problem-based learning model on improving the mathematical critical thinking skills of grade VII students of SMP Kesatria Medan.

The suggestion from this study is for educators to pay more attention to things in learning, especially mathematics such as material that is easy for students to understand, learning methods, and strategies to attract students' attention and be pleased. In learning, teachers must also be able to involve students so that learning is not only centered on the teacher while students only receive lessons passively. Researchers also provide advice to further researchers to be able to apply problem-based learning models to improve students' mathematical creative thinking skills.

REFERENCES

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

[1] Amir, M. Taufiq. (2009). *Inovasi Pendidikan Melalui Problem Based learning*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.

[2] Anastasia, N. Firosalia, K., & Indri, A. (2018). *Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Dan Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas 4 Sd*. Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika, 5(1).

[3] Ayuningrum, & Susilowati. (2015). *Pengaruh Model Problem Based Learning terhadap Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Peserta didik SMA pada Materi Protista*. Jurnal of Biology Education, 4(2).

[4] Barus, E. L., & Sani, R. A. 2018. *Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Latihan Inkuiri Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Materi Pokok Usaha dan Energi di Kelas X Semester II. INPAFI (Inovasi Pembelajaran Fisika)*, 5 (4), 16-22.

[5] Budiyono. 2009. *Statistik untuk Penelitian Edisi Ke-2*. Universitas Sebelas maret Press. Surakarta.

[6] Choi, E., Lindquist, R., & Song, Y. (2014). *Effects of Problem-Based Learning vs. Traditional Lecture on Korean Nursing Students' Critical Thinking, Problem-Solving, and Self-Directed Learning.* Nurse Education Today, 34(1), 52–56.

[7] Daryati, D. (2018). *Pengaruh Penggunaan Metode Problem Posing terhadap Kemampuan Memecahkan Masalah.* Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmu Sosial UPI, vol.7, no.1, 31-42.

[8] Emda, A. (2017). *Kedudukan Motivasi Belajar Siswa dalam Pembelajaran*. Lantanida Journal, vol.5, no.2, 93-196.

[9] Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., and Chinn, C. A. 2007. *Scaffolding and Achievement in Problem-Based and Inquiry* Learning:A Response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). Educational Psychologist, 42(2), 99– 107.

[10] Irwan, Yusril. (2018). *Proses Pembelajaran Matematika dari SD-Perguruan Tinggi*. Pendidikan ITENAS.

[11] Lestari, N. N. S. 2012. *Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah (Problembased Learning) Dan Motivasi Belajar Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Fisika Bagi Siswa Kelas VII SMP*. Jurnal Teknologi Pembelajaran Indonesia, 1(2).

[12] Kamarullah. (2017). *Pendidikan Matematika di Sekolah Kita.* Al Khawarizmi : Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Matematika, 1 (1), 21-32.

[13] Nadila, Nova. (2021). *Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning terhadap peningkatan kemampuan berfikir kritis matematis siswa SMP kelas IX*. GAUSS : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 4 (1).

[14] Nursiti, N., & Barat, W. L. J. (2013). *Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis (Critical Thinking Skill) dalam Pembelajaran Ilmu Matematika.* Widyaiswara LPMP Jawa Barat.

[15] Nurlaeli, Noornia, A., & Wiraningsih, E.D. (2018). *Pengaruh model pembelajaran problem based learning terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis siswa ditinjau dari adversity quotient 1,2,3*. FIBONACCI : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan Matematika, 4 (2).

[16] Ormrod, Jeanne Ellis. (2009). *Psikologi Pendidikan Membantu Siswa Tumbuh dan Berkembang*. Jilid 1. Jakarta : Erlangga.

[17] Poerwadarminta, W.J.S. 1984. Kamus Umum Bahasa Indonesia. PN Balai Pustaka.

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437

[18] Rahayu, S., Rahayu, S., Hidayat, A., & Hidayat, A. 2017. *Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (Pbl) terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Kelas X IPA SMAN 1 Sukawangi Pada Materi Pencemaran Lingkungan*. ilib. uinsgd. ac. id, (1), 1-8.

[19] Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung : Alfabeta, CV.

[20] Sugiyono (2015). *Metode Penelitian Kombinasi (Mix Methods)*. Bandung: Alfabeta

[21] Sugiyono.(2009). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung : Alfabeta.

[22] Sukmadinata. (2010). *Metode penenelitian pendidikan*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.

[23] Susilo. (2011). *Perencanaan pembelajaran*. Bandung: Remaja Rosda Karya.

[25] Snyder, L. G. & M. J. Snyder. (2008). *Teaching Critical Thinking and Problem Solving Skills. The Delta Pi* Epsilon Journal Volume L, No. 2, Spring/Summer, 2008, p. 90 – 99.

[26] Sofyan, H., Komariah, K. 2016. *Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning dalam Implementasi Kurikulum 2013 di SMK*. Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi. 6 (3), 260-271.

[27] Usman, K., Uno, H. B., Oroh, F. A., & Mokolinug, R. (2021). *Analisis Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematis Siswa Pada Materi Pola Bilangan*. Jambura Journal of Mathematics Education.

[28] Wahyudi, W., & Dewi, S. A. (2017). *Analisis Kemampuan Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita Matematika Tentang Bangun Datar Ditinjau Dari Teori Van Hiele*. PRISMA, Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika, Vol 10, no 1, 481-494.

[29] Williya Novianti. (2020) *Urgensi Berfikir Kritis pada Remaja di Era 4.0*. Journal of Education and Counseling. 1(1)

Print ISSN: 2580-3573, Online ISSN: 2580-2437