THE COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' INTEREST IN LEARNING ENGLISH SPEAKING SKILL THROUGH DIRECT INSTRUCTION AND EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING METHOD

A SKRIPSI

Submitted to the Faculty of Tarbiyah Science and Teacher Training, State Islamic
University of North Sumatra as a Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan

By:



DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION

FACULTY OF TARBIYAH SCIENCE AND TEACHER TRAINING

STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY OF NORTH SUMATRA MEDAN

2022



KEMENTERIAN AGAMA UNIVERSITAS ISLAM NEGERI SUMATERA UTARA FAKULTAS ILMU TARBIYAH DAN KEGURUAN

SUMATERA UTARA MEDAN Jl. Williem Iskandar Psr. V Medan Estate 20371 Telp. 6622925, Fax. 6615683

SURAT PENGESAHAN

Skripsi yang berjudul: "THE COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' INTEREST IN LEARNING ENGLISH SPEAKING THROUGH DIRECT INSTRUCTION AND EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING METHOD" oleh Rizky Harianti, yang telah dimunagasyakan dalam sidang munaqasah Sarjana Strata (S1) Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara Medan pada tanggal:

> 3 Agustus 2022 M 5 Muharram 1444 H

dan telah diterima sebagai persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd) pada jurusan Tadris Bahasa Inggris Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara Medan.

> Panitia Sidang Munagasyah Skripsi Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan UIN SU Medan

Ketua Sekretaris

Yani Lubis, S.Ag., M.Hum NIP. 19700606 200003 1 006 Ernita Daulay, S.Pd., M.Hum NIP. 19801201 200912 2 003

Anggota Penguji

- 1. Dr. Muhammad Dalimunte, S.Ag., S.S., M.Hum 2. Siti Ismahani, M.Hum NIP. 19710328 199903 1 003
- NIP. 19690503 199903 2 003

3. Ernita Daulay, S.Pd., M.Hum NIP. 19801201 200912 2 003

4. Drs. Achmad Ramadhan, MA NIP. 19660115 199403 1 002

Mengetahui Dekan Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan

> Dr. Mardianto, M.Pd NIP. 19671212 199403 1 004

APPROVED AND VALIDATED

Advisor I	Advisor II	
Dr. Muhammad Dalimunte, S.Ag., S.S., M.Hum.	Siti Ismahani, M.Hum.	
NIP. 19710328 199903 1 003	NIP. 19690503 199903 2 003	
Head of English Education Department	Secretary of English Education Department	
Yani Lubis, S.Ag., M.Hum.	Ernita Daulay, S.Pd., M.Hum.	
NIP. 19700606 200003 1 006	NIP. 19801201 200912 2 003	
The Dean of Faculty of Tarbiyah Science and Teacher Training State Islamic University of North Sumatra Medan 2022		
Dr. Mardianto, M.Pd		
NIP. 19671212 199	403 1 004	

UNIVERSITAS ISLAM NEGERI SUMATERA UTARA MEDAN

PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN

Saya menyatakan dengan sesungguhnya bahwa skripsi yang berjudul "The Comparison of Students' Interest in Learning English Speaking Skill Through Direct Instruction and Experiential Learning Method" adalah karya saya sendiri. Pengutipan yang terdapat dalam skripsi ini dilakukan dengan cara- cara yang sesuai dengan etika keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya bersedia menerima sanksi sesuai dengan peraturan perundang-undangan yang berlaku apabila suatu hari nanti ada pihak lain yang keberatan terhadap keaslian skripsi saya ini atau ditemukan bukti yang sangat kuat adanya unsur plagiasi atau penciplakan atau pengutipan yang melanggar etika keilmuan.



ABSTRACT

The research that has been conducted entitled "The Comparison of Students' Interest in Learning English Speaking Skill Through Direct Instruction and Experiential Learning Method," with the background that students have a low interest in learning English speaking skills, and the learning method used by the teacher is not appropriate, teacher-centred focus. Therefore, in choosing the learning method to be used, it must focus on what students are interested in or enjoyed in the learning process. Because it can have a significant impact on the learning outcomes of each student. The research methodology using descriptive quantitative method. The writer conducted this research at MTS Al-Ittihadiyah Medan. The population of this study was all students of class VIII, which amounted to 119 students consisting of 5 classes. The sample in this study was obtained from the results of simple random sampling, class VIII-2, which amounted to 27 students. Data collection techniques with treatment, and questionnaires. Data analysis processing used percentage formula. The result of this study from descriptive analysis test revealed that (1) the mean score of learning English speaking skill using direct instruction is 25.1852, with a standard deviation of 4.01954, the minimum value achieved by students is 22, the maximum value is 39, and the number of students who are motivated are 2 students with a percentage of 7.41, (2) the mean score of learning English speaking skill using experiential learning method is 34.7407, with a standard deviation of 5.02756, the minimum value achieved by students is 21, the maximum value is 42, and the number of students who are motivated are 24 students with a percentage of 88.89, (3) the t-test using the IBM SPSS 16 program found that the value of sig (2- tailed) was 0.00 < 0.05. Thus, the students' interest in learning English speaking skill through direct instruction and experiential learning method had a significant difference.

Keywords: Direct Instruction Method, Experiential Learning Method, Interest, Speaking

ubiversitas islam negeri SUMATERA UTARA MEDAN

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises belong to Allah Subhanahu wa ta'ala the Lord of the world, who has given the writer chance, power, and willingness to accomplish this Skripsi. Peace and salutation be upon the prophet Muhammad Shallallahu 'alaihi wasallam as the great servant of Allah Subhanahu wa ta'ala.

This Skripsi is submitted as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of sarjana pendidikan at English Education Department, Faculty of Tarbiyah Science and Teacher Training. The writer completely realizes that this Skripsi could not have done without supports, advices, and helps from a lot people. Therefore, the writer would like to express her thankful from the deepest heart to all those people, especially to:

- Prof. Dr. H. Abu Rokhmad, M.Ag, as the acting rector of the State Islamic University of North Sumatra Medan.
- 2. **Dr. Mardianto, M.Pd**, as the Dean of Faculty Tarbiyah Science and Teacher Training.
- 3. Yani Lubis, S.Ag., M.Hum., and Ernita Daulay, S.Pd., M.Hum, as the Head, and secretary of English Education Department.
- 4. **Dr. Muhammad Dalimunte, S.Ag., S.S., M.Hum.**, and **Siti Ismahani, M.Hum** as the writer's first, and second advisor, for their valuable time, guidance, as well as positive input for guiding the writer patiently in completing this skripsi.
- 5. Dr. Muhammad Dalimunte, S.Ag., S.S., M.Hum., Siti Ismahani, M.Hum., Ernita Daulay, S.Pd., M.Hum., and Drs. Achmad Ramadhan, MA., as the writer examiner in Munagosyah examination.
- 6. All lecturers of Department of English Education who always helps, give their motivation and knowledge, and unforgettable experience during her study at Faculty of Tarbiyah Science and Teacher Training, State Islamic University of North Sumatra Medan.

7. Drs. Asriyanto, as the Headmaster of MTS Al-Ittihadiyah Medan who has allowed the writer to conduct the research and collect the data.

8. Jurmiani Harahap, S.Pd, as the English teacher for her guidance and helps during the research process.

9. All the eight-grade students of MTS Al-Ittihadiyah Medan for their kind participation to be the participant in this research.

10. The writer's beloved parents; for the great man, Harianto Lubis, S,Sos, my heaven, Almh. Fatimah Sahara Pulungan, who asked me to took this major, and my angel Henni Puspita, SE, for their loves, prays, helps, supports, and everything which has given to the writer.

11. The writer herself, Rizky Harianti, for her patience, strength, and ambition in undergoing the learning process, until the process of writing this skripsi.

12. The writer's younger sister, Rizka Fadilah Lubis, who always support the writer in unexpected ways.

13. Ibrahim Lubis, and Rosmina Rangkuti, the writer's Uwak. For their help, advice, and who always asked the progress of this skripsi.

14. The writer's classmate of TBI-1 2018, for all the kindness, happiness, support, and unforgettable moments.

15. And everyone who has helped the writer in finishing this thesis and whose names cannot be mentioned one by one.

The writer realizes that this skripsi is not completely perfect it needs some critics and suggestions from the expert to make it far better. Last but not the least, the writer hopes this skripsi may useful for the readers particularly for the next related-research.

Medan, 21 April 2022 Writer

RIZKY HARIANTI

Reg. No. 0304181028

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background of the Study	1
1.2. Identification of the Problem	
1.3. Limitation of the Problem	3
1.4. Formulation of the Problem	3
1.5. Objective of the Study	4
1.6. Significance of the Study	
1.6.1. Theoretically	
1.6.2. Practically	4
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Theoretical Framework	5
2.1.1. Interest	5
2.1.1.1. Definition of Interest	5
2.1.1.2. The Indicators of Learning Interest	8
2.1.1.3. Factors Affecting Interest	9
2.1.1.3. Factors Affecting Interest	10
2.1.2.1. The Definition of Learning	10
2.1.2.2. The Theory of learning	13
2.1.3. Speaking Skill	14
2.1.3.1. The Definition of Speaking Skill	14
2.1.3.2. Speaking Competence	16
2.1.3.3. Criteria of Good Speaking	19
2.1.3.4. Teaching English Speaking in Junior High School	20
2.1.4. Method	22

	2.1.4.1	. The Definition of Teaching Method	. 22
	2.1.4.2	. Kinds of Teaching Method	. 23
	2.1.5.	Direct Instruction Method	. 25
	2.1.5.1	. The Definition of Direct Instruction Method	. 25
	2.1.5.2	. Advantages and Disadvantages of Direct Instruction Method	. 27
	2.1.5.3	. The procedure of Using Direct Instruction Method	. 28
	2.1.6.	Experiential Learning Method	. 29
	2.1.6.1	. Definition of Experiential Learning Method	. 29
	2.1.6.2	. Game	. 32
	2.1.6.3	. Guessing Game	. 33
	2.1.6.4	. Advantages and Disadvantages of Guessing Game with	
		Picture	. 34
		. The procedure of Using Guessing Game with Picture	
		elated Study	
		Conceptual Framework	
CF	IAPTEF	R III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	. 40
	3.1. L	ocation of the Research	. 40
	3.2. P	opulation and Sample	. 40
	3.3. C	Operational and Definition of Variables	. 41
		esearch Design	
	3.5. II	nstrument for Collecting Data	. 43
	3.6. T	he Procedure of Collecting Data	. 43
	3.7. T	The Technique of Data Analysis	. 44
CF	IAPTEF	R IV RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION	. 45
	4.1. T	he Description of the Data	. 45
	4.2. D	Oata Analysis	. 45
	4.2.1.	Analysis of the Questionnaire of the Direct Instruction Method	. 45
	4.2.2.	Analysis of the Questionnaire of the Experiential Learning	
		Method	. 51
	4.2.3.	Descriptive Analysis Test	. 56
	4.2.4.	Chi Square Test	. 56

4.3.	Discussion	57
CHAPT	ER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	59
5.1.	Conclusion	59
5.2.	Suggestion	59
REFERENCES		61
APPENDICES		
CURRICULUM VITAE		



LIST OF TABLES

Tables		Page
3.1	The total population of eight grade students of MTs	
	Al-Ittihadiyah, Medan	40
3.2	The score for the Questionnaire	44
4.1	During the teaching and learning English speaking with direct	
	instruction method, I pay attention to the material delivered by	
	my English teacher	46
4.2	I prefer learning English speaking with direct instruction method.	46
4.3	Because my English teacher taught English speaking skill by	
	using direct instruction method, I am easier to mastering English	
	speaking skill	47
4.4	With using direct instruction method, I am more active in the	
	classroom	47
4.5	I feel sleepy when taught by my English teacher because it uses	
	the direct instruction method	48
4.6	I prefer to do English speaking practice with the direct instruction	ı
	Method	
4.7	I prefer to learn English Speaking with other methods	49
4.8	I am very excited when I learn English Speaking with the direct	
	instruction method	49
4.9	I like learning English Speaking with the direct instruction metho	d
	because I get many opportunities to practice	50
4.10	I don't like learning English Speaking with the direct instruction	
	method because I don't get many opportunities to practice	50
4.11	During the teaching and learning English speaking with	
	experiential learning method, I pay attention to the material	
	delivered by my English teacher	51
4 12	I prefer learning English speaking with experiential learning	

	method	51
4.13	Because my English teacher taught English speaking skill by using	
	experiential learning method, I am easier to mastering English	
	speaking skill	52
4.14	With using experiential learning method, I am more active in the	
	classroom	52
4.15	I feel sleepy when taught by my English teacher because it uses the	
	experiential learning method	53
4.16	I prefer to do English speaking practice with the experiential learning	ng
	Method	53
4.17	I prefer to learn English Speaking with other methods	54
4.18	I am very excited when I learn English Speaking with the experient	ial
	learning method	54
4.19	I like learning English Speaking with the experiential learning	
	method because I get many opportunities to practice	55
4.20	I don't like learning English Speaking with the experiential	
	learning method because I don't get many opportunities to	
	practice	55
4.21	Descriptive Analysis Test	56
4.22	Chi Square Test	56



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appen	ndices 1	Page
1.1 1.2	Rencana Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran (Direct Instruction Method) Rencana Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran (Experiential Learning	. 67
	Method)	. 77
1.3	Questionnaire for Direct Instruction Method	. 88
1.4	Questionnaire for Experiential Learning Method	.91
1.5	Table Data Questionnaire	. 94
1.6	Result of IBM SPSS 16	
1.7	Research Documentation	. 104
1.8	Research Letter	. 105
1.9	Response Letter	
1.10	Curriculum Vitae	. 107
	SUMATERA UTARA MEDAN	