

THE EFFECT OF PEER FEEDBACK ON STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT AT MAS PONPES AT-TAUFIQURRAHMAN

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teachers Training State Islamic

University of North Sumatera Medan as a Partial Fulfillment of The

Requirements

for the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan (S-1)

By:

HOTNA DEWI

34.15.3.118

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION FACULTY OF TARBIYAH SCIENCE AND TEACHERS TRAINING STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY OF NORTH SUMATERA UTARA MEDAN

2020



THE EFFECT OF PEER FEEDBACK ON STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT AT MAS PONPES AT-TAUFIQURRAHMAN

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teachers Training State Islamic

University of North Sumatera Medan as a Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements

for the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan (S-1)

By:

HOTNA DEWI

34.15.3.118

Advisor I

Dr. Abdillah, M.Pd

NIP.19680805 199703 1002

Advisor II

Emeliya Sukma Dara, M.Hum

NIP. 19810926 2009122004

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION

FACULTY OF TARBIYAH SCIENCE AND TEACHERS TRAINING

STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY OF NORTH SUMATERA UTARA

MEDAN



KEMENTERIAN AGAMA UNIVERSITAS ISLAM NEGERI SUMATERA UTARA FAKULTAS ILMU TARBIYAH DAN KEGURUAN

Jl. Williem Iskandar Psr. V Medan Estate 20371 Telp. 6622925, Fax. 6615683

SURAT PENGESAHAN

Skripsi yang berjudul: "The Effect of Peer Feedback on Students' Achievement in Writing Narrative Text At Mas Ponpes At-taufiqurrahman" oleh Hotna Dewi, yang telah dimunaqasyakan dalam sidang munaqasah Sarjana Strata (S1) Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara Medan pada tanggal:

30 Juli 2020 M 30 Dzulga'idah 1441 H

dan telah diterima sebagai persyaratan untuk memperoleh gelar Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd) pada jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara Medan.

Panitia Sidang Munaqasyah Skripsi

Fakultas Ilmu, Tarbiyah dan Keguruan UIN SU Medan

Ketua

Dr. Sholihatul Hamidah Daylay, M.Hum

XIP. 19750622 200312-2-002

Sekretaris (

Reflina, M.Pd

NIP. 1100000078

Anggota Penguji

1. Dr. Abdillah, M.Pd

NIP.19680805 199703 1002

2. Emeliya Sukma Dara, M.Hum

NIP. 19810926 2009122004

3. Dr. M. Dalimunthe, S.S. M.Hum

NIP. 19710328 199903 1003

4. Rahmah Fithriani, Ph.D NIP. 19790823 20080112009

1411. 19790000 200001

Mengetahui tas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan

miruddin Siahaan, M.Po

. 19601006 199403 1 002

Nomor: Istimewa

Medan, 20 Juni 2020

Lamp :

Kepada Yth;

Perihal : Skiripsi

Bapak Dekan Fakultas Tarbiyah UINSU

A.n.Hotna Dewi

di-

Tempat

Assalamu'alaikum Wr, Wb

Setelah membaca, meneliti, dan memberikan saran-saran perbaikan seperlunya terhadap skiripsi mahasiswi:

Nama

: Hotna Dewi

Nim

: 34.15.3.118

Jur/prodi

: Pendidikan bahasa inggris

Judul

: "The Effect of Peer Feedback on Students' Achievement in writing

Narrative Text at MAS PONPES AT-TAUFIQURRAHMAN"

Maka kami menilai bahwa skiripsi ini sudah dapat diterima untuk di Munaqosyahkan dalam sidang munaqosyah skiripsi Fakultas Tarbiyah UIN-SU

Demikian kami sampaikan , atas perhatian Bapak kami ucapkan terima kasih. Wassalamu'alaikum, Wr, Wb.

Advisor I

Advisor II

Dr.Abdillah, M.Pd

NIP. 19680805 1997031 00 2

Emeliya Sukma Dara, M.Hum

Nip. 19810926 200912 2 004

PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN SKIRIPSI

Saya yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini:

Nama

: Hotna Dewi

NIM

: 34.15.3.118

Jur/Prodi

: Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Judul

"The Effect of Peer Feedback on the Students' Achievement in Writing

Narrative Text At Mas Ponpes At - Taufiqurrahman"

Menyatakan dengan sebenarnya bahwa skiripsi yang saya serahkan ini benarbenar merupakan hasil karya sendiri kecuali kutipan-kutipan dari ringkasan-ringkasan yang semuanya telah saya jelaskan sumbernya.

Apabila kemudian hari terbukti atau dapat dibuktikan skiripsi ini hasil jiplakan, maka gelar dan ijazah yang diberikan Universitas batal saya terima.

Medan, 20 juni 2020

Yang membuat pernyataan

EC87EAFF144287169

HOTNA DEWI 34.15.3.118

ABSTRACT

Hotna Dewi, The Effect of Peer Feedback on Students' Achievement in Writing Narrative Text. A Thesis, English Education Program, Faculty of Tarbiyah Science And Teacher Training, State Islamic University of North Sumatera, 2020

This study found that peer feedback effect on students' achievement in writing narrative text. It was conducted by using experimental design. The population was X-1 and X-2 students of Mas Ponpes At-taufiqurrahman. There are consist 40 students. The sample of two classes devided into two groups, experimental group and control group which were chosen random sampling by using lottery technique. The grade X-1 as experimental group was taught by using peer feedback and grade X-2 as control group was taught conventional menthod. The instruments used in this research was written test. After analyzing the data, the writer got; The students achievement in writing narrative text by peer feedback got the mean 77.700 and standar deviation was 8.985; The students' achievement in writing narrative text by using conventional strategy got the mean 56.600 and standard deviation was 6.269. The value of t-observed was 3.207 and that of t-table was 1.671. So the value of t-observed was higher than that of t-table. It showed that Ho rejected and Ha accepted. There was significant effect of using peer feedback strategy on students' achievement in writing narrative text.

Keywords:Peer Feedback, Writing and Narrative Text

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise to be Allah, lord of the words, who has given his loving and blessing until the writer can finish her skiripsi. Peace and gratitude may always be upon the Prophet Muhammad, who has guided all creatures from the darkness to the lightness, from stupidly era to the cleverness within islamic regional.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the help and contribution to all of lectures, family, friends, and institution who have contributed in the different ways hence this thesis processed until it becomes a complete writing which will be presented to the faculty of tarbiyah and teachers' training in partial fulfillment of the requirements for degree S,Pd in English Language Education.

Alhamdulillah, the writer has finished this skripsi. She realized that I never finish this thesis without help from numerous people. Therefore would like to thanks to the following people:

- 1. Prof. Dr.H. Syahrin Harahap, M,Ag the best Head of State Islamic University of North Sumatera Medan.
- 2. Dr. Amiruddin Siahaan, M.Pd, the Dean of Tarbiyah Science and Teacher Training State Islamic University of north Sumatera Medan.
- 3. Dr. Sholihatul Hamidah Daulay, S.Ag. M.Hum, the Head of English Education Department.
- 4. Miss Reflina, M.Pd as secretary of the English education department who has provided adviced and direction in carrying out the lecture process.
- 5. Dr. Abdillah, M.Pd, as my first advisor, for her time, valuable guidance, helps correction, suggestion, and kindness during completing this skiripsi.
- 6. Emeliya Sukma Dara, M.Hum, as the writer's second adviser who has given her guidance, dedication, motivation, and support in the process of writing this skiripsi.
- 7. All lectures of the Department of English Education who advised and guided me during the academic time and the Library at State Islamic University North Sumatra.
- 8. My beloved ayak; TUAN GURU KHALIFAH Mukti Munthe and my beloved Mother Neni Irawan Br Regar

9. My beloved friends in BFF Until Jannah (Cristina Suriani Debataraja, Fitri Aprilia, Aminur Hammi Simanjuntak, Wita Widia Pinem, Annur Risqi Harahap, Zuaida Fitriani, Annisa Humaira), All my classmates in PBI -5 2015.

10. My Best friends (chairul azmi lubis, mustafa kamal ray, m. Arif suhada tambusai, wiwit sundari hsb, tulang sapril siregar.

11. My beloved friends in Kost(anie lee, winda, maya, selia), thanks for your helping and your prayers for me.

Finally, the writer realizes that this thesis is still has some weakness and mistakes. Therefore, the writer would like to accept any constructive suggestion to make this thesis is be better. The writer hopes that this research will give an important contribution to the Department of English Education.

Medan, 2020

Hotna Dewi 34.15.3.118

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACTi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTii
TABLE OF CONTENTv
LIST OF TABLEvii
LIST OF APPENDIXviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION1
A. Background of Study3
B. Identification of Problems
C. Limitation of Study3
D. Formulation of Study4
E. Objective of Study4
F. Significances of Study4
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW6
A. Review of Literature6
A .1. Writing6
A. 1.1.The Process of Writing7
A. 1.2. Definition of Genre8
A. 2. Narrative text9
A. 2. 1. Definition of Narrative Text
A .2. 2. The Purpose of Narrative Text
A. 2. 3. The Type of Narrative Text
A.2.4.The Generic Sturucture of Narrative Text

A.2.5. Language Features of Narrative Text
A2.6. The Example of Narrative Text
A.3. Peer Feedback
A.3.1. The Definiton of Peer Feedback
A.3.2.The Procedure of Peer Feedback
A.3.3. The Advantages and Disadvantages of peer feedback
B. Related Study
C. Conceptual Framework
D. Hyphothesis
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY25
A. Location of Research
B. Research Design
C. Population and Sample
D. Instruments of Collecting Data
1. Pre-test
2. Treatment
3. Post- test
E. Scoring The Test
F. Data Analysis
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION
A. Description of Data
B. Data analysis
b.1.The Students' Writing Score of Pre-Test And Post-Test

in Experimental Class	34
b.1.2. The Students' Writing Score of Pre-Test And Post-Test	
Control class	37
b.2.2. Normality Testing Pre-Test and Postest of Experimental	Group
And Control Group	40
b.3.3. Homogeneity Testing pre-test and postest	51
C. Testing Hyphothesis	53
B. Discussion	54
CHAPTER V CONCLUSSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	55
A. ConclussionS	55
B. Suggestions	55
REFERENCES	57
APPENDIXES	60

LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1 The Number of Population and Sample
Table 3.2 The Number of Research Design
Table 3.3. the score of pre-test and postest in experimental
Table 3.4.the score of pretest and postes of control group
Table 3.5. Frequency didtribution of of pretest and postest in experimental
Table 3.6. frequency distribution of pretes and postes of control group 40
Table 3.7 Normality Testing of pretest and postest of experimental group 43
Table 3.8 Normality Testing pre test of control group
Table 3.9 Mean of Post Test Pre Test in Experimental Group
Table 4.0.Mean of Post Test- Pre Test in Control Group

LIST OF APPENDIXIES

Appendix 1 Lesson Plan of Experimental Group		49	
Appendix 2	Lesson Plan of Control Group	57	
Appendix 3	The Test Pretes and Pos-tes	67	
Appendix 3	Assesment of Writing Skill	68	
Appendix 4	Table of T Distribution	70	
Appendix 5	Table L	72	
Appendix 6	Documentation	. 73	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

A. Background of Study

Language is succinctly defined in our Glossary as a "human system of communication that uses arbitrary signals, such as voice sounds, gestures, or written symbols". It is similar like Nishanthi's statement that Language is the significant source of communication, it also separates us from animals and makes us human. From the statement, it can be known that Language is the communication tool for the human by using the signals.

Every country has their own language but there is a common language spoken universally. It is English. English is the most commonly spoken language in the world. English has been playing the main part in several sectors including medicine, engineering, and education, etc.³ English in Indonesia from Independence to the present would involve information about the role that English has played in society at large, and particularly in business, politics, education and the media.⁴

In Education, English is included by the government into the curriculum and considered as a first foreign language to be taught in junior high school,

¹ Sholihatul Hamidah Daulay, (2011), *Introduction to General Linguistics*, Medan: La Tansa Press, p.12

² Rajathirai Nishanthi, (2018), *The Importance of Learning English in Today World*, International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD), Vol. 3.(1), p.871

 $^{^3}$ *Ibid*,

⁴ Allan Lauder, (2008), *The Status and Function of English in Indonesia: A Review of Key Factors*, Sosial Humaniora, Vol.12.(1), p.10

senior high school, and universities level.⁵ It shows that English is the important language that the students need to learn. Based on curriculum, the students must able four language, the students must able speaking, reading, listening and writing.

Writing is one of the skills that must be mastered by the students. Writing is produced written of message that is can be media for communicate in spoken. From writing the students can express their knowledge, feeling, idea and given information in written formThefore, writing is one of the skills that considered essential significance in second language learning because it serves as both a tool communication and a means of learning, thinking, and organizing idea.⁶

However, the students in Indonesia faced the problem in writing because of the difficulties in writing itself. According to Murray et.al in Rahmatunisa, they stated that writing is one of the difficult skills, requiring an intricate combination of neurological, physical, cognitive and affective competencies. It can be concluded that the writer should analyze whether his/her writing is good and understandable or not.⁷ In analyzing the writing, one of the right method that can be applied is peer feedback.

Peer feedback refers to engaging learners in the process of sharing their ideas and receiving as well as offering constructive comments and suggestions for

⁶ Rahmah Fithriani, 2018. Cultural influences on students perceptions of written feedback in L2 writing. Journal of foreign language teaching and learning.p.1-2

.

⁵ Viktor Parsaulian Hasibuan, et.al, An Analysis on Factors Causing English Learning Difficulties, Tanjungpura University

⁷ Wulan Rahmatunisa, (2014), *Problem Faced by Indonesian EFL Learners in Writing Argumentative Essay*, Journal of English Education, Vol. 3.(1), p. 41

improving a piece of writing.⁸ Refers to previous study, Peer feedback give the significant effect on students' writing ability. Astuti in her research stated that there is significant difference in the writing ability between the students who were taught by using peer feedback and those who were taught without using it.

Based on the background above, the researcher would like to take the study on writing under the tittle: "The Effect of Peer Feedback on Students' Achievement In Writing Narrative Text at Mas Ponpes At-Taufiqurrahman"

B. The Identification Problem

Based on the researcher observation at Mas ponpes At-taufiqurrahman, the identification of problems involve;

- 1. The students faced the difficulties in writing.
- 2. The students are still not interested in learning process.
- 3. The students need someone to analyze their writing.

C. The Limitation of Problem

Based on the identification of the probem above, the research question: focus on students' achievemen in writing narrative text.

D. Formulation of Problem

Based on limitation of the study above, the researcher formulated the research question as follow: is there any effect of peer feedback on students achievement in writing narrative text.

⁸ Mohammed Farah, (2012), *The Impact of Peer Feedback on Improving the Writing skills among Hebron University Students*, An - Najah Univ. J. Res. (Humanities). Vol. 26(1), p.182

E. Objectives of The Study

Based on the formulation of the problem above, The objective of the research is to find out whether the effect of peer feedback on students achievement in writing narrative text at eleventh grade of mas ponpes Attaufiqurrahman.

F. Significance Of This Study

The result of this study will give benefit and informative inputs in teaching and learning English as a foreign language especially in practical and theoritical aspect.

1. Theoritical significance

Theoritically, the result of this study is expected to give contribution of a new knowledge and to support the theories to the next study about the teaching writing narrative text.

2. Practical significance

Practically, this study presents the real picture of how the learning method used in the classroom. These findings are expected to contribute as information for students to improve their writing especially in writing narrative text. This study expected to provide meaning for students and researcher further.

a. The students: it is expected to usefull to students, to resolve problem in writing narrative text and using this strategy make the students more active and interest.

- b. The teacher; it is expected to give information to the teacher about the effect of peer feedback on students achievement in writing narrative text. So, from the findings the teachers can using it alternative strategy.
- c. The researcher; can be use as reference to in the future.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Theoritical Framework

A.1.WRITING

Writing was defined as the one of four language skills that was considered as the most powerfull communication tools for sharing thought and ideas in our daily life. Writing is important skill for the students because it also was a good tool in communication besides speaking. From writing, the people can express their feelings, ideas and announcements to another people as indirect message. 10

According to Dewi, writing was expressed as indirect language because it was delivered through letter, symbols, and word. Appropriate with the definition, writing has the aim as communication tool. In communicating through writing, someone can use some of the tools, such as pens, typewriter, pencils, and computers. Then, for the result, it can be seen in cave wall, a piece of paper, and computer screen. There are some types of writing that learned in the school, such as narrative, descriptive, recount text, persuasive, etc.¹¹

Regardles of language, writing have some rules, including grammar, punctuation and spelling. Everyone using the tools to help write them as a dictionary and thesaurus.¹²

⁹ J. A. Carrol, (2002) Writing And Grammar, New Jerse; Prentice Hall, P.3

¹⁰ Tiur Asih Siburian, *Improving Students Achievement On Writing Descriptive Text Throught Think Pair Share*, Vol.3,2013, **Www.1jillalw.Org,P.31**

¹¹ Utami Dewi, *How To Write* (Medan: La-Tansa Press, 2013),P.2

¹² *Ibid p.3*

Other expert say that writing is a skill that most probably hestitate to be taught by a local English ttteeeacher. According to jeremy Harmer, writing is basicly intended as a value that is countrolled carefully to be made by the students on paper or on the board when they can understand and produced, fairly well, some basic mmmaterial of English at the level of maturity and their learning. The explanation about writing is not only from the expert, the Holy Qur'an also explain how important the writing skill. It can be seen in Surah Al-Alaq verses 4-5:

Meaning: Who taugh human (the writing) by the pen,he have tought man that whic he knew not. Based on the verse by writing it can express what in the mind or felling to form text. and the aims of writing is to communication.

Then, it also can be seen in Surah Al-qolam verse 1:

Meaning: Nun, by the pen and by what they (that angels) write (in the records of men).

Based on the verse above, by pen people can transfers their knowledge to the next generation.

-

 $^{^{13}}$ Jeremy Harmer, *How To Teach Writing* (Malaysia:Stenton Associates, 2004), 2nd Edition, P.155

A.3.1. Genre in writing

In writing, there are some of text types, such as narrative text, descriptive text, recount text, report text, procedure text, etc. Narrative text has the function to entertaint the readers like folklore and fairytale and contains morality on it. The second is recount text, recount text was viewed as a story that happened in the past. The third is descriptive text, descriptive text is the text that describe about something, like someone, place and event. The fourth is report text, report text is a text that tell the present information about something. The fifth is explanation text, explanation text is the text that explains the process involved in the formation or working of natural or social phenomena. The sixth is procedure text, procedure text is a text that tell the reader how to do or how to make something that consist of the step of making something. The seventh is anecdote, anecdote is the text that share with others an account of an amusings or unusial incident. The ninth is spoof text, spoof text is a text that tell an event with humorious and entertaind reader. The tenth is hortatory exposition, hortatory exposition is a text that has a purpose to persuade the readers that something. And the last, new items text, news item text is the text that inform reader about event of the day that is considered.¹⁴

A.3. Definition of Narrative Text

Narrative text was defined as an imaginative story like folklore and fairy tale that has the aim to entertain the reader. Based on Thomas, narrative text is incidents that tell in words. The narrative text has meaning which serves to make

¹⁴ Pradiyono, Pasti Bisa! Teaching Genre- Based Writing . Yogyakarta: ANDI. 2007 .P.2

evaluation to the content of the story. The evaluation is seen by author as response from incident of story. ¹⁵

Narrtive is kind form of writing which report events. Narrative is that tell a story or or pas event based on the time the story occurred. Here, using type words and pharase to indicate the chronological order. We use a time sequence signal like, first, second, next, finally etc. To provide clarity of the situation that event choronologically.¹⁶

Narrative is tell about the story but write in written form. Like as telling a story in a sound, the narrative aims to entertaint the reader but it must be provided by someone set way. Storytelling with narrative, readers cannot see the expression from characters and interpret only meaningful words. The students has biggest imagination, but they require a media to texpress it. writing narrative as a media to open up students imaginations.

By narrative allows the students can tell stories or event well. They can express their ideas and emotions with narrative writing. They also enjoyed writing narrative through their own imaginations. So, its very fun way to putting the imagination of students. It provide thingking and learning skills to students. ¹⁷

¹⁶ Alice Oshima And Anne Hogue, *Introduction To Academic Writing Third Edition*, (New York:Pearson Education Inc, 2007) P.27

¹⁵ Thomas S.Kane, *The Oxford Essential Guide To Writing*, (New York:Oxford University Press Inc. 2000) P.366

¹⁷ Jennifer Rozines Roy, *Sharpen Your Story Or Narrative Writing Skill*, (Usa: John Wiley And Sons, Inc. 2014)P.9

A.3.1. The Purpose of Narrative Text

People write the narrative stories only to entertain readers so that readers are happy and to attract readers from the narrative. In additionally, they like to write any story because they want to tell what has happened in their life. He argued that reader may have something in common with the story written by the writer. In line with barbara stating; the purpose of narrative is: provided entertaining, to express opinion or felling, sharing expreince, inform something or event to reader, give they a lesson, to excite their emotio, inform something that can be taken as a lesson and persuade in addition, According to anderson, narrtive is a text that told a story and entertaining the audiences. 18

A.3.2. The type of narrative text

There are such of type narrative text, busemi divided in to two categories: fiction and nonfiction

Narrative fiction is written based of imagination by writer. It has the purpose is to informing people about develop exiciting or affect them. The example of the narrative fiction magazine article, legends,drama, novel, newspaper, horor stories adn adventure stories

Another type is nonfiction, nonfiction narrative written by personal people through their experience. In this nonfiction the story is a real event that both the author and someone experience.

¹⁸ Mark Anderson and Kathy Anderson, *Text Types in English* 3, (Sydney: Mcmillan), 1997, p. 3.

A.3.3. Generic Sturucture of Narative

According to Knapp P. And Watkins M (2005) Generic structure of narrative text is constituded by the generic structures of orientation, evalution, complication, resolution, and re-orientation. ¹⁹

1. Orientation

In this step, the writer introduces the participants and personal attitude, the set the scene of the story

2. Evalution

A stepping back to evaluate the plight, usually to make the story more interesting.

3. Complication

In this step, the writer tell the problem of the story

4. Resolution

The last step where the problem in the story was solved.

A.3.4. Language of features

There are some language features of narrative text:²⁰

- a. Specific charater
- b. Time words that connect events, tell when they occured
- c. Verbs showing the actions that occur in the story
- d. Adjective that provided accurate description of character and setting.

¹⁹ Knapp, p and M. Watkins. *Genre, text*, grammar. Technology for teaching and assessing writing. University of new south wales press, 2007. P.220.

²⁰ Mark and kathy anderson, op.cit., p.8

A.3.5. The Example Of Narrative

1. Orientation

Once upon a time, there was a young girl named cinderella. She lived with her step mother and two step sister. The step mother and sisters were concited and bad tempered. They treated cinderella very badly.

2. Complication

On day, the two step sisters received an invitation to the party that the king's son was going to give at the palace. They were exited about his and spent much time choosing the dress they would wea. At last, the day of the party came, and the step sister went away to the party. Cinderella couldn't stop criying after they had left.

3. Resolution

Magically, the fairy godmother changed a pumpkin into a fine coach and mice into a cachman and two footmen. Her godmother tapped cinderella's raged dress with her wand, and it bacame a beautiful gown. Then she gave her a pair of pretty glass slippers. Now, cinderella, she said; if you must leave before midnight. Then away she drope in her beautifull coach.

4. complication

Cinderella was having a wonderfully good time. She began to strike twelve, she ran toward the door as quickly as she8 could. In her hurry, one of her glasss slipper was left behind.

5. Resolution

A few days later, the king's son proclaimed that he would marry the girl whose feet fitted the glass slipper. Her step sisters tried on the slipper but it was too small for them, no matter how hard they squeezed their toes into it.in the end, the king's page let cinderella try on the slipper on. It fitted perfectly.

Finally, she was driven to the palace. The king's son was overjoyed to see her again. They were married and live happily ever after.

A.4. Definition of Feedback

Feedback was defined as a correction notes that was given to the students to improve their performances.²¹ Feedback usually got from classmates, teacher parents, and other people. In giving feedback, there are some purposes that will be received by the students, such as:²²

- 1. To confident
- 2. To increase performancem
- 3. To tailor the explain for particulaer problem.
- 4. To give certainns award

A.4.1. Peer Feedback

Peer can be categorized as a person that usually come from the same age or has same potential in a group. Then feedback is some correction notes which is filled information or statement about the writing whether it was successful or

²¹ Rahmah fithriani, 2018. *Indonesian students' perceptions of written feedback in l2 languge*. Mexico; university of new mexico .p.26

²²Catherine Haines, (2004), Assessing Students Writing Work- Marking Essays and Reports, New York: Taylor & Français E-Library, p.19

not.²³ in the classroom, peer feedback was done by the students in pair. In their, after they write their writing, they exchange their writing to their pairs. So, they have to read and give comments, suggestions, criticism their pair as a feedback.

Atay and kurt stated that peer feedback was seen as way of giving more control to students since it allows them to make active decisions about whether or not to use their peers' comments as opposed to a passive reliance on teachers' feedback. Peer feedback aims at encouraging the development of students' writing and is regarded as critical in improving and consolidating learning.

Rollinson stated that by its potentially high level of response and interaction between reader and writer, encourages a collaborative dialogue in which two-way feedback is established and meaning is negotiated between the two parties.

A.4.2. Stages in Peer Feedback Activity

There are steps in peer feedback in order to make the teaching and learning process become effectively: ²⁴

- a. The teacher explain the material to the student. In this case, the material is about narrative text, the teacher could introduce to the students about social function, generic structure, and the example of narrative text. So, the students has the basic information about narrative text itself.
- b. After giving the explanation to the students, the teacher asked the students to make their own story about narrative text based on the explanation before.

-

 ²³ Cambridge advance learner's dictionary,cmbridge university press,2008,p.973
 ²⁴ Hyland, K. 2002. *Teaching And Researching Writing*, Great Britia: Longman, p.203

- c. Then, after they finished their writing, the teacher explain how to give feedback in a good way.
- d. If the students was understood about giving feedback in a good way, the teacher divided the classroom into some groups.
- e. The teacher control the class that make sure each groups will work cooperatively.
- f. For the last, the student can share their writing to group members as a feedback in their writing.

A.4.3. The Advantages and Disadvantages of Peer Feedback

There are some the advantages and disadvantages of peer feedback such as follow;²⁵

a. Advantages

- 1. The learning process in the class more active
- 2. It can incraese students confident
- 3. Peer feedback help students to take more responsibilities in learning
- 4. It give students more useful life skill.

 25 ibid

- a) Disadvantages of peer feedback
 - 1. The ability of readers is limited, because they have difficulty understanding the errors contained in the text.
 - 2. The reader not confident when give comment on the text
 - 3. Feedback only present technical problems, only a few the reader comment on the subtance of the writing.
 - 4. The weakness knowledge of the reader

B. Related Study

Improving Students' Writing Achievement of The Tenth Grade Students at SMA Swasta Muhammadiyah 8 Kisaran that made by Susi Atmawati State (Islamic University of North Sumatera). The appliance for collecting data was test. After analyzing the data, the writer got; (1) The students' writing achievement by using peer feedback technique got the mean 62,5 (2) The students' writing achievement by using teacher technique (no treatment) got the mean 56,12. The value of tobserved was 3,32 and the value of tt from the df (62) on the degree of significance of 5% is 2,00. It means that to (t observation) is higher than tt (t table). So, the alternative hyphothesis is accepted. It means that there was an effect of peer feedback in improving students' writing achievement of tenth grade students at SMA Swasta Muhammadiyah 8 Kisaran.

2. This study related to a research made by Anastasia Puji Astuti (State University Yogyakarta). Entilted" The Effectiveness Of Peer Feedback To Improve The Writing Ability Of The Tenth Grade Students Of Sma Kanisius Harapan Tirtomoyo. The result of the research showed that there is a significant difference in the writing ability between the students who were taught by using peer feedback and those who were taught without using it. It can be seen in the result of the hypothesis testing through Independent-Sample of T-Test. The significance value of 0.000 is less than the significance level of 0.05 (0.000 < 0.05), which means that the data of this study are considered to have a significant difference. Therefore, the hypothesis of this study is accepted. It means that the peer feedback technique significantly improves the students' writing ability in the English teaching and learning process at SMA Kanisius Harapan Tirtomoyo.

C. Conceptual Framework

Writing is one of language skill in English that was considered as a difficult skill among another skills. It was caused many factor such as the process, grammar, vocabulary, etc. In MAS At-Taufiqurrahman, there are several factors that influenced the problem, such as the teacher, students, and learning process.

In teaching and learning process of writing narrative text, teacher uses conventional strategy. Teacher just explains material, gives an example and asks students to do exercise in textbook. This way cannot improve students' achievement in writing.

Peer feedback strategy is one of the effective ways to improve students' writing achievement. In this strategy, students can exchange their ideas and thought without being afriad to make mistakes. Students are expected to have oppurtunities to work collaboratively with peers and to improve their writing abilities. It is expected that students' anxiety becomes lower and learning motivation can be higher.

Sharing opinions with peers in this techniques also help students to build their confidence. Some students are too afraid to state whwt in their mind in class, they tend to keep it and just share it with their close friend. Peer feedback helps the students to learn how to express their opinions in a small group and strengths their confidence. By appling peer feedback, it also expected to make the students take more respondibilities in learning process. Not only doing their assignment, students also have to read and listen their friends work crefully to be able to give feedback.

D. Hypothesis

The research hypothesis is formulated as follow:

- Ha = "There is any effect of peer feedback on the students' achievement in writing narrative text".
- Ho= "There no effect of peer feedback on the students' achievement in writing narrative text".

CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY OF RESEARCH

A. Place and Time of Study

The research was conducted at Mas At-taufiqurrahman, which located Jl.lintas sumatera utara Desa Gunung Melayu, kec. Kualuh selatan. The researcher choosed this school because two reason; the first, the school not far from the house of researcher. And the second, the teacher never using strategy peer feedback.

B. Population and Sample.

1. Population

Population is all cases, situation or individual who share one or more characteristic.²⁶ The population of this study were students of grade X of ponpes At-taufiqurrahman which were divided in two classes. The total of students are 40. The population can be seen in the the following table:

Table 3.2. Number of Population

No	Class	Number of Students	
1	X-1	20	
2	X-2	20	
Total			

27

²⁶ Nunan, david. 1991. Language teaching methodology. Sydney: prentice hall.p-

2. Sample

Sample is a small population selected for observation and analysis. According to sugiyono, sample as part of the number and characteristics of the population. the sample of this research were X-1 X-2 which consisted 40 of class. In this research, the writer used random sampling by using lottrey technique. The samplen was divided in two groups, Experimental class and control class. Experimental class got the treatment by using Peer Feedback strategy and control class dused conventional method.

C. Research Design

This research applied quantitative data. According to sugiono Quantitative is the form of number and quantitative analysisi using statistics²⁷. This study used experimental design. Experimental is research conducted by holding manipulation of the object research and the existence of the control.²⁸ In collecting the data, the sample was divided into two groups, namely experimental group and control group. The experimental group was used Peer Feedback strategy while the control group will be used conventional strategy.

-

²⁷ Sugiono. 2016. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Dan Kualitatif*,Bandung , Alfabeta, P.13

²⁸ Moch. Nazir, 2005. Metode Penelitian, Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia. P.63

Research design

Table 3.1

Group	Pre-test	Teaching	Post-test
Experimental Group	V	Peer feedback	√
Control group	V	Conventional method	√

D. Instrument For Collecting Data

The instrument of the research is an essay test. The test is about Narrative text. There are Pre-Test and Post-test. The research used the same pre-test anda post-test but the theme is different. Pre-test is about Cinderella and Post-test is about Snow white. There is only one direction in the test. The direction is about writing narrative text based on the theme. The test was given before treatment and Post-test was given after the treatment.

E. Technique of Collecting Data

1. Pre-Test

Pretes was given before treatment. The test was given to know students writing ability especially writing narrative text. The pre- test was given for both of experimental class and control class. The students just answer the test in the test sheet.

2. Treatment

After the pre-test was conducted, the treatment was given for the students. The experimental group was taught by using peer feedback, while the control group was taught conventional method.

3. Post-Test

The post-test was same like pre-test but the theme of the test is different. Both group were given a post-test after treatment. It was conducted to know the effect of Peer Feedback strategy on the students' achievement in writing narrative text.

F. The Technique of Data Analysis

1. Normality Test

To test the normality of data, it used liliefors test by doing some steps as follows:

- a. Observations X1,X2,X3...Xn are made standart value Z1,Z2,Z3...Zn with the formul $zi=\frac{x1-x}{s}$ where the average $x=\frac{x^2}{n}$ and the standard deviations $s^2=\sum \frac{(xi-x)^2}{n}$
- b. The standards coefficient, using absolute normal standard distribution, then count the frequency F(zi) = p(Z < Zi)
- c. Then count the proportion Z1,Z2,Z3..Zn which smaller equals to Zi.
- d. Count the difference F(Zi)-S(Zi) then determine the obsolute value.

e. Take the higher value among the diffrence absolute value; say that the value is1..if. Lo< Lt, which is got from critical value of the lilifors test at real level $\alpha = 0.05$ data distribution is normal.

2. Homogenity Test

The variant of both sample are homogenity, it used two variant

Homogenity:

$$F = \frac{the\ biggest\ variant}{the\ small\ variant}$$

3. Hypothesis test

The test t-test formula used in analyzing the data. The formula is:

$$T = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s}{n_1} + \frac{s}{n_2}}}$$

Where:v

 x_{1bv} = Score of experimental group

 x_2 = Score of control group

s =Standard deviation

 n_1 = Total sample of experimental group

 n_2 =Total sample of control group

CHAPTER IV

RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Data Description

The data were collect used quantitative research with using experimental design. To collecting the data the research used tests. The test forms as a students narrative text (written test) which is analyzed based on the criteria. From the data were collect from experimental group in pre-test the highest score was 67 and the lowest was 48 and the mean of the pre-test on experimental group was 57.400 and then from the post-test of experimental group the hight score was 85 and the low was 69 and the mean of post-test in experimental group 77.700 and then data from control group in pre-test the hight was 62 and the low was 33 and mean of it was 41.650, and then from data of post-test the control group in protest the lhight 64 and the low score was 44 and mean of it 56.600, the following are the results of pre-test and post-test of both group.

B. Data Analyis

1. The result of students writing narrative text

Table 3.4

The students score of pre-test of experimental class

Number	Initial name	Experimental
		Class
		Pre-Test
1	AYM	53
2	ASRN	48
3	IRM	53

Mean		57.400
$\sum = 20$		∑= 1148
20	WS	67
19	NHP	59
18	UDT	66
17	AM	67
16	TN	61
15	FN	66
14	IMY	59
13	AST	61
12	AZP	58
11	ALM	48
10	SA	58
9	SM	48
8	FRN	54
7	FRN	48
6	RIM	54
5	SC	67
4	SAN	53

From the above table, it can bee seen that the high score of pre-test in experimental group was 67, while the low score was 48. The mean score pre-test in experimental group was 57.400.

Table 3.5

The students score of post-test of experimental class

Number	Initial name	Experimental Class
		Post-Test
1		72
2	ASRN	69
3	IRM	72
4	SAN	78
5	SC	78
6	RIM	80
7	FRN	74
8	FRN	77
9	SM	78
10	SA	85
11	ALM	69
12	AZP	77
13	AST	80
14	IMY	85
15	FN	85
16	TN	78
17	AM	79
18	UDT	79
19	NHP	74
20	WS	85
$\sum = 20$		∑= 1554
Mean		77,700

From the above table, it can be seen that the high score of post-test for experimental group was 85, while the low score was 69. Then mean score of post-test in experimental group was 77.700.

Table 3.6
The students score of pre-test of control class

Number	Initial name	Control classe
	_	Pre-test
1	HSM	51
2	AS	43
3	AC	34
4	SH	33
5	ISR	36
6	BP	34
7	FRN	35
8	MR	33
9	IM	39
10	AN	57
11	PMM	43
12	SM	35
13	SP	43
14	SRM	39
15	ASM	36
16	UA	43
17	AY	57
18	ZN	34
19	MM	57
20	RJ	51
∑n= 20		∑= 833
Mean		41.650

From the above table, it can be seen that the high score of bpre-test for control group was 62, while the low score was 33. Then the mean 41,650.

3.7
The students score of post-test of control class

Number	Initial name	Controll classs
	_	Post-test
1	HSM	63
2	AS	52
3	AC	53
4	SH	56
5	ISR	63
6	BP	53
7	FRN	52
8	MR	44
9	IM	56
10	AN	63
11	PMM	63
12	SRM	44
13	AKM	52
14	ASM	59
15	MD	63
16	MA	53
17	AY	59
18	ZN	56
19	MM	64
20	RJ	64
$\sum \mathbf{n} = 20$		∑= 1.132
Mean		56.600

From above the table, it can be seen the high score for post-test control group was 64, while the low score was 44. Then the mean of post-test control class was 56.600

Table 3.8

Frequency Disrtubution Of Pretest Of Experimental Class

N o	score Cxi)	Fi	Fixi	Xi ²	fiXi ²
1	48	4	192	2.304	9.216
2	53	3	159	2.809	8.427
3	54	2	108	2.916	5.832
4	58	2	116	3.364	6.728
5	59	2	118	3.481	6.962
6	61	2	122	3.721	7.442
7	66	2	132	4.356	8.712
8	67	3	201	4.489	13.467
	Total	20	1.148	27.440	66.786

The result of fixi² is 66.786 and fixi is 1.148. the following is the result calculation of mean and standar D in pretes experimental group.

Mean (x)

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum fixi}{\sum fi}$$

$$=\frac{1.148}{20}$$
$$=57,4$$

Variant (S2)²

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\sum fixi^{2} - (\sum fixi)^{2}}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{20x 66.786 - (1.148)^{2}}{7(7-1)}$$

$$= \frac{1.335.720 - 1.317.904}{380}$$

$$= \frac{17.816}{380}$$

$$= 46.884$$

Deviaton Standard

$$S = \sqrt{S^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{46.884}$$
$$= 6.847$$

Table. 3.9

Frequency distribution postest of the experimental classs

No	Score (xi)	fi	Fixi	xi ²	fixi ²
1	69	2	138	4.761	9. 522
2	72	2	144	5.184	10.368
3	74	2	148	5.476	10.952
4	77	2	154	5.929	11.858
5	78	4	312	6.084	24.336
	79	2	158	6.241	12.482
	80	2	160	6.400	12.800
	85	4	340	7.225	28.900
Total		20	1554	47.300	121.218

The result of fixi2 is 121.218 and fixi is 1490. The following is the result of mean and standar devation in experimental group.

a. mean (x)

$$(\ddot{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{\sum fixi}{\sum fi}$$

$$= \frac{1554}{20}$$
$$= 77.7$$

b. Variant (S2)²

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\sum fixi^{2} - (\sum fixi)^{2}}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{20x \ 121.218 - (1554)^2}{20(20 - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{2.424.360 - 2.414.916}{380}$$

$$= \frac{9.444}{380}$$

$$= 24.852$$

c. Deviation Standard

$$S = \sqrt{S^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{24.852}$$
$$= 8.985$$

Table 4.7
Frequency Distribution Pre-Test of Control Class

No	Score (Xi)	F	FiXI	Xi ²	FiXi ²
1	33	2	66	1.089	2.178
2	34	3	102	1.156	3.468
3	35	2	70	1.225	2.450
4	36	2	72	1.296	2.592
5	39	2	78	1.521	3.042
	43	4	172	1.849	7.396
	51	2	102	2.601	5.202
	57	3	171	3.249	9.747
Total		20	833	13.986	36.075

the result of FiXi² is 36.075 and FiXi is 833. The following is the result of mean and the standart deviation in pre-test control group

.a. Mean (x)

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum fixi}{\sum fi}$$

$$= \frac{833}{20}$$
$$= 41.65$$

d. Variant (S2)²

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\sum fixi^{2} - (\sum fixi)^{2}}{n(n-1)}$$

$$=\frac{20x36.075-(833)^2}{20(20-1)}$$

$$= \frac{721.500 - 693.889}{380}$$
$$= \frac{27.611}{380}$$
$$= 72.660$$

e. Deviation Standard

$$S = \sqrt{S^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{72.660}$$
$$= 8.524$$

Table 4.8

Frequency Distribution Post-Test of The Control Class

No	Score (Xi)	Fi	FiXi	Xi ²	FiXi ²
1	44	2	88	1.936	3.872
2	52	3	156	2.704	8.112
3	53	3	159	2.809	8.427
4	56	3	168	3.136	9.408
	59	2	118	3.481	6.962
	63	5	315	3.969	19.845
	64	2	128	4.096	8.192
7	otal	20	1.132	22.131	64.818

The result of FiXi² is 64.818 and FiXi is 1.132.the following calculation of mean, varian and standar D in posttest in control group.

a. Mean (x)

$$\mathbf{(x)} = \frac{\sum fixi}{\sum fi}$$
$$= \frac{1.132}{20}$$
$$= 56.6$$

b. Variant (S2)²

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\sum fixi^{2} - (\sum fixi)^{2}}{n(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{20x 64.818 - (1.132)^{2}}{20(20-1)}$$

$$= \frac{1.296.360 - 1.281.424}{380}$$

$$= \frac{14.936}{380} = 39.305.$$

c. Deviation Standard

Deviation standard was found by drawing root of variants that was:

$$S = \sqrt{S^2}$$

$$\sqrt{39.305}$$

$$=6.269$$

2. Normality testing pre-test and post-test of experimental group and control group

Table 4.8

Normality test of pretest of experimental class

No	Xi	Fi	F kum	Zi	Fzi	Szi	Fzi –szi
1	48	4	4	-1.578	0.057	0.133	0.076
2	53	3	7	-0.808	0.210	0.233	0.024
3	54	2	9	-0.654	0.257	0.300	0.043
4	58	2	11	-0.038	0.485	0.367	0.118
5	59	2	13	0.115	0.546	0.432	0.113
6	61	2	15	0.423	0.664	0.500	0.164
7	66	2	17	1.193	0.884	0.567	0.317
8	67	3	20	1.347	0.911	0.667	0.244

a. Finding z score

Formula : Z1 =
$$\frac{xi-x}{s}$$

$$Z1 = \frac{48 - 57.400}{6.847} = -1.578$$

$$Z 2 = \frac{53-57.400}{6.847} = -0.808$$

$$Z 3 = \frac{54 - 57.400}{6.847} = -0.654$$

$$Zi \ 4 = \frac{58 - 57.400}{6.847} = -0.038$$

$$Zi 5 = \frac{59 - 57.400}{6.847} = 0.115$$

$$Zi 6 = \frac{61 - 57.400}{6.847} = 0.423$$

$$Zi \ 7 = \frac{66 - 57.400}{6.847} = 1.193$$

$$Zi \ 8 = \frac{67 - 57.400}{6.847} = 1.347$$

b. Finding S(Zi)

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{i}) = \frac{fkum}{n}$$

$$\frac{4}{20}$$
 = 0.133

$$\frac{7}{20} = 0.233$$

$$\frac{9}{30} = 0.300$$

$$\frac{11}{20} = 0.367$$

$$\frac{13}{20} = 0.432$$

$$\frac{15}{20} = 0.500$$

$$\frac{17}{20} = 0.567$$

$$\frac{20}{20} = 0.667$$

From the data above which had been collected that liliefors observ or Lo= 0.317, N=20. And at real level α =0.05 from the list of critical value of liliefors table Lt=0.1618. from the list of critical value of liliefors table Lt= 0.1618. it was known that the koeficient from Lo(0.317) < Lt(0.1618). It concluded the distribution was normal.

0.199

0.207

0.209

0.284

0.400

0.467

0.533

0.667

Normality test of posttest in experimental class Fi Xi F Kum Zi Fzi Szi Fzi-Szi 69 2 2 -1.548 0.067 0.006 0.061 72 2 4 0.038 -0.949 0.171 0.133 74 2 6 -0.549 0.291 0.200 0.091 77 0.050 0.520 0.267 0.253

0.250

0.449

0.649

1.648

0.599

0.673

0.742

0.950

Table 4.9

Normality test of posttest in experimental class

12

14

16

20

a. Finding Z Score

78

79

80

85

4

2

2

4

No

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Formula : Zi $1 = \frac{xi-x}{s}$

$$Zi \ 1 = \frac{69 - 77.700}{4.985} = -1.548$$

Zi 2 =
$$\frac{72-77.700}{4.985}$$
 = - 0.949

$$Zi \ 3 = \frac{74 - 77.700}{4.985} = -0.549$$

Zi 4 =
$$\frac{77-77.700}{4.985}$$
 = 0.050

$$Zi \ 5 \ = \frac{78 - 77.700}{4.985} \ = 0.250$$

$$Zi 6 = \frac{79 - 77.700}{4.985} = 0.449$$

$$Zi 7 = \frac{80 - 77.700}{4.985} = 0.649$$

$$Zi \ 8 \ = \frac{85 - 77.700}{4.985} \quad = 1.648$$

b. Finding S(Zi)

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{i}) = \frac{F \, Kum}{n}$$

$$\frac{2}{20}$$
 = 0.067

$$\frac{4}{20} = 0.133$$

$$\frac{6}{20}$$
 = 0.200

$$\frac{8}{20} = 0.267$$

$$\frac{12}{20} = 0.400$$

$$\frac{14}{20}$$
 = 0.467

$$\frac{16}{20}$$
 = 0.533

$$\frac{20}{20} = 0.667$$

From the data above which had collected , the liliefors abservation or Lo= 0.284, N=20 and real level $\alpha=0.05$ from the list of critical value of liliefors table Lt= 0.1618, it was known that the ckoefficient of Lo(0.284) < Lt (0.1618). it concluded the distribution was normal.

Normality tset of pre test in control classs

No	Xi	Fi	F Kum	Zi	Fzi	Szi	Fzi-Szi
1	33	2	2	-0.913	0.181	0.067	0.114
2	34	3	5	-0.798	0.212	0.167	0.046
3	35	2	7	-0.684	0.247	0.233	0.014
4	36	2	9	-0.570	0.284	0.300	0.016
5	39	2	11	-0.228	0.410	0.367	0.043
6	43	4	15	0.228	0.590	0.500	0.090
7	51	2	17	1.141	0.873	0.567	0.306
8	57	3	20	1.825	0.966	0.667	0.299

a. Finding Z Score

Formula : Zi
$$1 = \frac{xi - x}{s}$$

$$Zi 1 = \frac{33 - 41.65}{8.524} = -0.913$$

$$Zi \ 2 = \frac{34 - 41.65}{8.524} = -0.798$$

$$Zi \ 3 = \frac{35 - 41.65}{8.524} = -0.684$$

$$Zi \ 4 = \frac{36 - 41.65}{8.524} = -0.570$$

$$Zi \ 5 = \frac{39 - 41.65}{8.524} = 0.228$$

$$Zi 6 = \frac{43 - 41.65}{8.524} = 0.228$$

$$Zi \ 7 = \frac{51 - 41.75}{8.524} = 1.141$$

$$Zi 8 = \frac{57 - 41.65}{8.524} = 1.825$$

b. Finding
$$S(Zi)$$

 $S(Zi) = \frac{F Kum}{n}$

$$\frac{2}{20} = 0.067$$

$$\frac{5}{20} = 0.167$$

$$\frac{7}{20} = 0.233$$

$$\frac{9}{20} = 0.300$$

$$\frac{11}{20} = 0.367$$

$$\frac{15}{20} = 0.500$$

$$\frac{17}{20} = 0.567$$

$$\frac{20}{20} = 0.667$$

From the data above which had collected that the liliefors observation or Lo= 0.667, n = 20 and at real level α = 0.05 from the list of critical value of liliefors table Lt = 0.1618. it was known that the coefficient of Lo (0.667) < Lt (0.1618). it concluded the data distribution iwas normal.

Table 4.11

Normality Testing of Post-Test In Control Group

No	Xi	Fi	F Kum	Zi	Fzi	Szi	Fzi-Szi
1	44	2	2	-1.703	0.044	0.057	0.022
2	52	3	5	-0554	0,.290	0.167	0.123
3	53	3	8	-0.410	0.341	0.267	0.074
4	56	3	11	0.021	0.508	0.367	0.142
5	59	2	13	0.451	0.674	0.433	0.241

6	63	5	18	1.026	0.848	0.600	0.248
7	64	2	20	1.170	0.879	0,657	0.212

a. Finding Z Score

Formula : Zi
$$1 = \frac{xi - x}{s}$$

Zi 1 =
$$\frac{44-56.600}{6.269}$$
 = -1.703

$$Zi \ 2 \ = \frac{52 - 56.600}{6.269} = -0.554$$

$$Zi \ 3 \ = \frac{53 - 56.600}{6.249} = 0.0410$$

$$Zi \ 4 \ = \frac{56 - 56.600}{6.269} \ = 0.021$$

Zi
$$5 = \frac{59 - 56.600}{6.269} = 0.451$$

Zi
$$6 = \frac{63 - 56.600}{6.269} = 1.026$$

Zi
$$7 = \frac{64 - 56.600}{6.269} = 1.170$$

b. Finding S (Zi)

$$S(Zi) = \frac{F Kum}{N}$$

$$\frac{2}{20} = 0.007$$

$$\frac{5}{20}$$
 = 0.067

$$\frac{8}{20} = 0.003$$

$$\frac{11}{20} = 0.142$$

$$\frac{13}{20} = 0.065$$

$$\frac{18}{20} = 0.066$$

$$\frac{20}{20} = 0.067$$

From the data above which collected thatt liliefors observation or Lo= 0.657 with n=20 and at real level $\alpha=0.05$ from the list of critical value of liliefors table Lt = 0.1618. it was known that the coeefficient of Lo (-0.667) < Lt (0.1618). it concluded the data distribution is normal.

3. Homogeneity Testing pre-test and post-test

fobs =
$$\frac{s\iota^2}{s\iota^2}$$

S₁²= highest variant

 $S_2^2 = lowest variant$

From the variants of two samples of post-tes concluded that;

$$S^2 ex = 24.852$$

$$n = 20$$

$$S^2 co = 39.305$$

$$n = 20$$

$$Fob \ \frac{24.852}{39.305} = \ 0.632$$

The coeficient from fob = is compare by Ftable, where Ftable is determined at real $\alpha = 0.05$ and the similaritas numerator dk N -1 = 20 - 1 that

was exist dk numerator 19. The Ftable can be calculated F(0.05 = 1.679). so, Fob < Ftable (0.632<1.679). it variants is homogen.

C. Testing hyphothesis

By using T-test formula So, to test hypothesis in this research, it is used two average similarity test by using statistical t, as the following:

Test t =
$$\frac{x_1 - x_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s}{n_1} + \frac{s}{n_2}}}$$

$$n_1 = 20$$

$$n_2 = 20$$

$$x_1 = 77.700$$

$$x_2 = 56.600$$

$$(S_{1^2}) = 39.305$$

$$(S_{2^2}) = 24.852$$

$$\frac{xi - x_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s}{n_1}} + \frac{s}{n_2}}$$

$$77.700 - 56$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{39.305}}{\sqrt{\frac{39.305}{20} + \frac{24.852}{20}}}$$

$$t = \frac{21.100}{64.157} \qquad t = 3.207$$

from result on calculated that, the cooefisient of t-obs = 3.207 was compared by t-table and the koeficients from t-table of real level $\alpha = 0.05$ by df =

20 + 20 - 2 = 38 gain the coefisien from t-table on the coefisien from t-observation 3.207 > t-table 1.671.

D. Discussion

Based on the data analysis, it was found that the using of peer feedback gave significaant effect on teaching narrative text. The stiudents got improvement on their score. The students score taught by using peer fedback was higher than score taught by using conventional method. It can be concluded that peer feedback is one of teaching strategy which can applied by teachers to improve students, achievement in writing. So it could be seen from the calculation of t-obs or t-observed= 3.207> t-table 1.671. So, the use of peer feedback gave significant effect than taught with conventional method.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSSION AND SUGGESTION

A. Conclussion

After the data had been analyze, it was concluded that peer feedback significantly affect the students ability in writing narrative text t-observation > t-table.the calculation of t-observed is 3.207 > 1.671 with df 38 and level of significant 0.05. from the result of the data, it can be seen that the highest score of experimental group in post-test was 85 and the lowest score was 69 and mean 77.700. meanwhile the highest score of control group was 64 and low was 44 and mean 56.600. Means that peer feedback significantly affected on students achievement in writing narrative text.

B. Suggestion

The result of this study shows that application of peer feedback is significantly affect the students' achievement in writing narrative. So, the following is some suggestion:

1. English teacher

It is suggested to teacher to use peer feedback because this approach held the teacher in monitoring and encouraging the students so that the students can expand the ideas easily by shared it to their friends.

2. The Students

It helps the students to write narrative text based their mistakes which were reviewed by their friends, and also to make the students more active in learning process.

3. Further Research

For the other researcher, may this research will bring a good understanding how make teaching learning process more efficient and usefull by applying peer feedback not only in writing narrative text, but also in each subject of study.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, M. & Anderson, K. (1997). Text types in English 3. Sydney: Mcmillan.
- Cambridge advance learner's dictionary. (2008). Cambridge university press
- Carrol, J. A. (2002). Writing and grammar. New Jerse: Prentice Hall
- Daulay, S. H. (2011). Introduction to general linguistics. Medan: La Tansa Press
- Dewi, U. (2013). How to write. Medan: La-Tansa Press
- Farah, M. (2012). The impact of peer feedback on improving the writing skills among hebron university students. *An Najah Univ. J. Res. (Humanities)*. 26.(1)
- Fithriani, R. (2018). Cultural influences on students perceptions of written feedback in L2 writing. *Journal of foreign language teaching and learning*.
- Fithriani, R. 2018. *Indonesian students' perceptions of written feedback in L2 language*. Mexico: University of New mexico.
- Haines, C. (2004). Assessing students writing work- marking essays and reports.New York: Taylor & Francais E- Library
- Harmer, J. (2004). How to teach writing. Malaysia: Stenton Associates
- Hasibuan, V. P., et.al. An analysis on factors causing English learning difficulties.

 Tanjungpura University
- Hyland, K. (2002). Teaching and researching writing. Great Britia: Longman
- Kane, T. S. (2000). The oxford essential guide to writing. New York:Oxford University Press Inc.

- Knapp, P & Watkins, M. (2007). Genre, text, grammar. Technology for teaching and assessing writing. University of New South Wales Press
- Lauder, A. (2008). The status and function of English in Indonesia: A Review of Key Factors. *Sosial Humaniora*. 12.(1)
- Nazir, M. (2005). Metode penelitian. Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Nishanthi, R. (2018). The importance of learning English in today world.

 International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development

 (IJTSRD).3.(1)
- Nunan, D. (1991). Language teaching methodology. Sydney: prentice hall.
- Oshima, A & Hogue, A. (2007). *Introduction to academic writing*. Third Edition.

 New York: Pearson Education Inc
- Pradiyono. (2007). Pasti bisa! teaching genre-based writing. Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- Rahmatunisa, W. (2014). Problem faced by Indonesian EFL learners in writing argumentative essay. *Journal of English Education*. 3.(1)
- Roy, J. R. (2014). *Sharpen your story or narrative writing skill*. USA: John Wiley And Sons Inc.
- Siburian, T. A. (2013). Improving students achievement on writing descriptive text through think pair share. Vol.3. **Www.1jillalw.Org.**
- Sugiono. (2016). Metode Penelitian kuantitatif dan kualitatif. Bandung: Alfabeta.

RENCANA PELAKSANAAN PEMBELAJARAN

RPP

Satuan Pendidikan : MA.SWASTA. PONPES At-taufiqurrahman

Kelas/ smester : X-2

Mata Pelajaran : Bahasa Inggris

Aalokasi Waktu : 4x 45 menit/ experimental class

A. Core competency

KI: inspire and apply the religion followed.

K2: inspire and apply honest behavior, discipline, ressponsibility, care (tolerance, mutual cooperation), polite, confident, interacting effectively with the social and natural environment within reach of association and existence.

K3: understanding, apply,analyzing knowledge(factual, conceptual, and procedural) based on his curiosity about science, technology, art, culture related to phenomena and visible events.

KI4: cultivating, think and provide in the realm of the concrete(using, unravelling, assembling, drawing and modification and crating abstract domains (writing, reading, drawing and composing) which had he learned in school and other source in the same theoretical point of view.

B. COMPENTENCY AND INDICATOR

Basic competence	Indicator
1.1 be grateful for the opportunity to	1.1 capturing gratitude for the opportunity
learn English as the language of	

instruction for international	to learn English
	to learn English
communication.	
2.3.developresponsible, carring,	2.3.1 do things that are said to be done
cooperative and peaceloving	without being remainded of others.
behavior in carrying out functional	2.3.2 admit when making mistakes.
communication.	
	2.3.3 do not blame others for their own
	actions.
2.10 analysis assist for the	2.10.1 indentify the angle of females of the
3.10.analyze social function, and	3.10.1 indentify the social function of the
structure text, and linguistic	narrative text.
elements in simple narrative	3.10.2 identify structure texts and context
text, with the context of its use.	use it.
	3.10.3 identify linguistic element of
	narative texs.
	4.15.1 retelling the contents of the
	narrative text its own words with the rules
	of the narrative structure.
4.15 capturing the meaning of oral	4.15.2 determine sturucture the narrative
and written narrative text in the form	text.
of simple short stories.	4.15.3 complete the narrative text with the
	right vocabulary.
	4.15.4 write narrative text is well.
	7.13.7 WHIC Harrative text is Well.

C. LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After followed learning activities, students able:

- 1. Identify the social function of the narrative text.
- 2. Identify the narrative structure of the text.
- 3. Identify the linguistic elements of the narrative text.
- 4. Retelling the contents of narrative text its own words.
- 5. Determine the structure of narrative text sturuktur text.
- 6. Complete the narrative text with owns words.
- 7. Write the narrative text.

D. Learning Material

Social function:

Narrative text as entertaind the reader, convey moral lesson and change social opinions attitude.

Structure texts:

Contoh narrative text:

Orientation

once upon a time. Lived a someone named is Malin Kundang. He lived with his mother. They were very poor but they lived quietly and peacefully.

Complication

one day, there was a large ship closed to shore near their village. They asked the people to join in working on their ship and go to the island cross. Malin kundang wanted to join them because he wanted to improve his family life. But his mother didn't allow him. She is worried about malin. Malin Kundang

was still determined and finally he sailed with the big ship. Several years later, Malin Kundang experienced success and he became a rich merchant . then, he came to his home village with a beautifull wife. But his wife did not know who was actually the descendant of Malin. With pleasure his mother quickly approached Malin and brought a plate of cakes, Malin's favorite cake. But Malin didnot recognize the woman as a bad mother as her mother, and then she kicked the cake that her mother had brought until it was scattered.

Resolution

His mother was hurt because Malin snapped his mother who has fast cchanging. And then, his mother damn malin to stone. Suddenly, the bigs ship was swayed by a big storm and all of its crew were thrown out. Malin realized this was the fault that damn his mother. Now he knelt and became a stone.

Language features of narrative text:

- Use the name of person, place etc.
- Use the past tense
- Using adjective : beautiful, poor, wicked, old, etc.
- Using time connectives : since then , many years later, one day, etc.
- Using action verbs: verbal, and mental process

Topic:

Legenda Rakyat

E. LEARNING METHOD

Discussion and Conventional Strategy

F. MEDIA

- Text book, dictionary
- white board, spidol, buku pegangan.

Steps of Learning Activities

Pertemuan 1

Meeting	Description	Time
Introduce	Orientation:	
	Teacher Greeted, praying and check attendence list	
	Apresepsion	
	Teacher given question by komunicative which relation	
	with material about narrative text to students.	
	Motivation	
	Students given explanation about the function for	10 menit
	learning narrtive text in daily activity.	
	Given acuan	
	Teacher inform the function about learning	
	Students make in group.	
	Students listent mechanism implementation about	
	learning	
	Mengamati:	
Inti	Observe and attention explanation from teacher with	
	example which relation with daily activity.	
	Question:	
	Given critict and question about narrative text.	
	Mengekplorasi :	
	 Taking information about narrative texts. 	
	Mengasosiasi :	
	Teacher give conclussion material about narrative text.	
	Written narrative text by individual with the same tittle	
	given by teacher and then correct together.	
	Students present the result of writing and the result of	
	criticism or corrections from each studen	

Meeting	Description	Time
	Collect produce writing	50 menit
Closing	 Teacher give instruction students make conclussin from their lesson. Teacher make reflection by answer question, about lesson has told by teacher and what the function from the lesson it. Teacher explain material for nextime and give material Teacher give feedback to students Teacher given assessment for students group project Teacher give study for next time and give assignment about narrative text. 	10 menit

Pertemuan 2

Meeting	Description	Time
Pendahuluan	 Orientasi Greeted, pray, and attendance list. Apersepsi Teacher given question by komunicative which relation with material about narrative text to students to know the able of students. Motivation Students given explanation about the function for learning narrtive text in daily activity. 	10 menit

Meeting	Description		
	Give Acuan		
Teacher inform the function about learning			
	Students make in group.		
	Students listent mechanism implementation about		
	learning		
	Observe		
Inti	Observe:		
	Observe and attention explanation from teacher with avample which relation with deily estivity.		
	example which relation with daily activity. Ask		
	 Give comment and question about definition, aims and 		
	•		
	structure text which relation with daily life. explore:		
	схриче.		
	Lookingfor information about narative text		
	•		
Associate:			
	Conclude the material.		
	Tell and summary by individual the narrative text by		
	with the tittle given by teacher.		
	Communicate:	50 menit	
	• Submit the task of narrative		
Closing	Teacher guides students to conclude learning material		
	through question and answer.		
	Teacher given reflection through as answer question		
	about what has learned, what the function learning, what		
	the change attitude made.		
	Teacher given peer feedback for the learning process.	10 menit	
	Teacher make assessment task the students.	10 mont	
	• Teacher explain the learning next time.		
		<u> </u>	

Description	Time
	Description

H. ASESSMENT

1. Technique for assessment : Written Test

2. The form of Instrument : Essay

Medan,2019

Mengetahui:

Guru Mata Pelajaran

The researcher

Senang Anjarwati, S.Pd

Hotna Dewi

Appendix II

RENCANA PELAKSANAAN PEMBELAJARAN

RPP

Satuan Pendidikan : MA.SWASTA. PONPES At-taufiqurrahman

Kelas/ smester : X-2

Mata Pelajaran : Bahasa Inggris

Aalokasi Waktu : 4x 45 menit/ control class

F. Core competency

KI: inspire and apply the religion followed.

K2: inspire and apply honest behavior, discipline, ressponsibilty, care (tolerance, mutual cooperation), polite, confident, interacting effectively with the social and natural environment within reach of association and existence.

K3: understanding, apply,analyzing knowledge(factual, conceptual, and procedural) based on his curiosity about science, technology, art, culture related to phenomena and visible events.

KI4: cultivating, think and provide in the realm of the concrete(using, unravelling, assembling, drawing and modification and crating abstract domains (writing, reading, drawing and composing) which had he learned in school and other source in the same theoretical point of view.

G. COMPENTENCY AND INDICATOR

Basic competence	Indicator
1.1 be grateful for the opportunity to	1.1 capturing gratitude for the opportunity
learn English as the language of	to learn English
instruction for international	
communication.	
2.3.developresponsible, carring,	2.3.1 do things that are said to be done
cooperative and peaceloving	without being remainded of others.
behavior in carrying out functional communication.	2.3.2 admit when making mistakes.
	2.3.3 do not blame others for their own
	actions.
3.10.analyze social function, and	3.10.1 indentify the social function of the
structure text, and linguistic	narrative text.
elements in simple narrative	3.10.2 identify structure texts and context
text, with the context of its use.	use it.
	3.10.3 identify linguistic element of
	narative texs.
	4.15.1 retelling the contents of the
	narrative text its own words with the rules
	of the narrative structure.
4.15 capturing the meaning of oral	4.15.2 determine sturucture the narrative
and written narrative text in the form	text.

of simple short stories.	4.15.3 complete the narrative text with the
	right vocabulary.
	4.15.4 write narrative text is well.

H. LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After followed learning activities, students able:

- 8. Identify the social function of the narrative text.
- 9. Identify the narrative structure of the text.
- 10. Identify the linguistic elements of the narrative text.
- 11. Retelling the contents of narrative text its own words.
- 12. Determine the structure of narrative text sturuktur text.
- 13. Complete the narrative text with owns words.
- 14. Write the narrative text.

I. Learning Material

Social function:

Narrative text as entertaind the reader, convey moral lesson and change social opinions attitude.

Structure texts:

Contoh narrative text:

Orientation

once upon a time. Lived a someone named is Malin Kundang. He lived with his mother. They were very poor but they lived quietly and peacefully.

Complication

one day, there was a large ship closed to shore near their village. They asked the people to join in working on their ship and go to the island cross. Malin kundang wanted to join them because he wanted to improve his family life. But his mother didn't allow him. She is worried about malin. Malin Kundang was still determined and finally he sailed with the big ship. Several years later, Malin Kundang experienced success and he became a rich merchant . then, he came to his home village with a beautifull wife. But his wife did not know who was actually the descendant of Malin. With pleasure his mother quickly approached Malin and brought a plate of cakes, Malin's favorite cake. But Malin didnot recognize the woman as a bad mother as her mother, and then she kicked the cake that her mother had brought until it was scattered.

Resolution

His mother was hurt because Malin snapped his mother who has fast cchanging. And then, his mother damn malin to stone. Suddenly, the bigs ship was swayed by a big storm and all of its crew were thrown out. Malin realized this was the fault that damn his mother. Now he knelt and became a stone.

Language features of narrative text:

- Use the name of person, place etc.
- Use the past tense
- Using adjective: beautiful, poor, wicked, old, etc.

- Using time connectives : since then , many years later, one day, etc.
- Using action verbs: verbal, and mental process

Topic:

Legenda Rakyat

J. LEARNING METHOD

Discussion and Conventional Strategy

F. MEDIA

- Text book, dictionary
- white board, spidol, buku pegangan.

G. Steps of Learning Activities

Pertemuan 3

Meeting	Description	Time
Introduce	Orientation:	
	Teacher Greeted, praying and check attendence list	
	Apresepsion	
	Teacher given question by komunicative which relation	
	with material about narrative text to students.	
	Motivation	
	Students given explanation about the function for	10 menit
	learning narrtive text in daily activity.	
	Given acuan	
	Teacher inform the function about learning	
	Students make in group.	
	Students listent mechanism implementation about	
	learning	

Meeting	Description	Time
	Mengamati:	
Inti	Observe and attention explanation from teacher with	
mu	example which relation with daily activity.	
	Question:	
	Given critict and question about narrative text.	
	Mengekplorasi :	
	Taking information about narrative texts.	
	Mengasosiasi :	
	Teacher give conclussion material about narrative text.	
	Written narrative text by individual with the same tittle	
	given by teacher and then correct together.	
	Students present the result of writing and the result of	
	criticism or corrections from each studen	50 menit
	Collect produce writing	30 memi
Closing	Teacher give instruction students make conclussin from	
	their lesson.	
	Teacher make reflection by answer question, about	
	lesson has told by teacher and what the function from the	
	lesson it.	
	Teacher explain material for nextime and give material	10 menit
	Teacher give feedback to students	10 mem
	Teacher given assessment for students group project	
	Teacher give study for next time and give assignment	
	about narrative text.	

Pertemuan 4

Meeting	Description	Time
Pendahuluan	Orientasi	
	Greeted, pray, and attendance list.	
	Apersepsi	
	Teacher given question by komunicative which relation	
	with material about narrative text to students to know the	
	able of students.	
	Motivation	10 menit
	Students given explanation about the function for	
	learning narrtive text in daily activity.	
	Give Acuan	
	Teacher inform the function about learning	
	Students make in group.	
	Students listent mechanism implementation about	
	learning	
	Observe :	
Inti	Observe and attention explanation from teacher with	
	example which relation with daily activity.	
	Ask	
	Give comment and question about definition, aims and	
	structure text which relation with daily life.	
	explore:	
	Lookingfor information about narative text	
	•	
	Associate:	
	Conclude the material.	

Meeting	Description	Time
	 Tell and summary by individual the narrative text by with the tittle given by teacher. Communicate: Submit the task of narrative 	50 menit
Closing	 Teacher guides students to conclude learning material through question and answer. Teacher given reflection through as answer question about what has learned, what the function learning, what the change attitude made. Teacher given peer feedback for the learning process. Teacher make assessment task the students. Teacher explain the learning next time. 	10 menit

H. ASESSMENT

3. Technique for assessment : Written Test

4. The form of Instrument : Essay

I. Rubric Assessment For Writing Narrative Text:

N	No	Components	Criteria	Score
	1.	Content	Excellent to very good: knowledge, substantive, thorough development thesis, relevant to assigned topic.	30-27
			Good to average: some knowledge of subject,	26-22

		adequate range, limited development of	
		thesis, mostly relevant to topic but lacks detail	
		Fair to poor: limited knowledge of subject,	
		title, substances, inadequate development of	21-17
			21-17
		topic.	
		Very poor : does not show knowledge of	16.13
		subject, non-substantive, not pertinent, or no	16-13
		enough to evaluate	
		Excellent to very good: fluent expression,	
		ideas clearly stated/supported, succinct, well	20- 18
		organized, logical sequencing, cohesive	
		Good to average: somewhat choppy, loosely	
		organized but mind ideas stand out, limited	17-14
2.	Organization	supported, logical but incomplete sequencing.	
		Fair to poor: not-fluent, ideas confused or	
		disconnected, lack logical sequencing and	13-10
		development.	
		Very poor: does not communicate, not	0.7
		organization, or not enough to evaluate.	9-1
		Excellent to very good: sophisticated range,	
		effective word/idiom choice and usage, word	20-18
		from mastery, appropriate register.	
		Good to average: adequate range, occasional	
		error of word/idiom from: choice, usage but	17-14
		meaning not obscured.	
3.	Vocabulary	Fair to poor: limited range, frequent errors of	17-14 13-10 9-7 20-18 17-14 13-10 9-7
		word/idiom form, choice, usage, meaning	13-10
		confused or obscured.	
		Very poor: essentially translation, little	
		knowledge of English vocabulary, idioms,	9-7
		word form, or not enough to evaluate.	
		Excellent to very good: effective complex	
		construction, few errors of agreement, tense,	
		number, word order/function, articles,	25-22
		pronouns, prepositions	
		Good to average: effective but simple	
4.	Language use	construction, minor problem in complex	
		constructions, several errors agreement, tense,	
		number, word order/function, articles,	21-18
		pronouns, preposition but meaning seldom	
		obscured	
		OUSCUIOU	

	Fair to poor: major problems in simple/complex construction, frequent errors of negation; articles, pronouns, preposition and fragment, run-ons, deletion, meaning confused or obscured.				
		Very poor: virtually no mastery of sentence construction rules, dominated by errors, does communicate, or enough to evaluate.	10-5		
		Excellent to very good: demonstrate mastery of conventions, few errors of spelling, punctuations, capitalization, paragraphing.	5		
		Good to average: occasional errors of spelling, punctuations, capitalization, paragraphing, but meaning not obscured.	4		
5.	Mechanical Skill	Fair to poor: frequent errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing, poor handwriting no mastery of conventions, dominated by errors of spelling.	3		
		Very poor: punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing, handwriting, illegible, or not enough to evaluate, meaning confused or obscured.	2		

The Classification of Students' Score

Sscore	Categories
80-100	Very good
66-79	Good
56-65	Enough
40-55	Less
30-39	Fail

Appendix III
Name:
Class:
PRE-TEST
Write Down Narrative Text About Cinderella!
(Tuliskan Text Narrative Tentang sindirella)

Appendix IV

Name:			
Class:			
	POST-TEST		
Write Down Narrative Te	xt About Snow Wh	ite!	
(Tuliskan Teks Naratif Te	entang Putri Salju)		
			 •••••
			 •••••

Appendixs V

TABLE OF LILIFORS

n\a	0.01	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.20
4	0.4129	0.3754	0.3456	0.3216	0.3027
5	0.3959	0.3427	0.3188	0.3027	0.2893
6	0.3728	0.3245	0.2982	0.2816	0.2694
7	0.3504	0.3041	0.2802	0.2641	0.2521
8	0.3331	0.2875	0.2649	0.2502	0.2387
9	0.3162	0.2744	0.2522	0.2382	0.2273
10	0.3037	0.2616	0.2410	0.2273	0.2171
11	0.2905	0.2506	0.2306	0.2179	0.2080
12	0.2812	0.2426	0.2228	0.2101	0.2004
13	0.2714	0.2337	0.2147	0.2025	0.1932
14	0.2627	0.2257	0.2077	0.1959	0.1869
15	0.2545	0.2196	0.2016	0.1899	0.1811

n\a	0.01	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.20
16	0.2477	0.2128	0.1956	0.1843	0.1758
17	0.2408	0.2071	0.1902	0.1794	0.1711
18	0.2345	0.2018	0.1852	0.1747	0.1666
19	0.2285	0.1965	0.1803	0.1700	0.1624
20	0.2226	0.1920	0.1764	0.1666	0.1589
21	0.2190	0.1881	0.1726	0.1629	0.1553
22	0.2141	0.1840	0.1690	0.1592	0.1517
23	0.2090	0.1798	0.1650	0.1555	0.1484
24	0.2053	0.1766	0.1619	0.1527	0.1458
25	0.2010	0.1726	0.1589	0.1498	0.1429
26	0.1985	0.1699	0.1562	0.1472	0.1406
27	0.1941	0.1665	0.1533	0.1448	0.1381
28	0.1911	0.1641	0.1509	0.1423	0.1358
29	0.1886	0.1614	0.1483	0.1398	0.1334
30	0.1848	0.1590	0.1460	0.1378	0.1315
31	0.1820	0.1559	0.1432	0.1353	0.1291
32	0.1798	0.1542	0.1415	0.1336	0.1274
33	0.1770	0.1518	0.1392	0.1314	0.1254
34	0.1747	0.1497	0.1373	0.1295	0.1236
35	0.1720	0.1478	0.1356	0.1278	0.1220
36	0.1695	0.1454	0.1336	0.1260	0.1203
37	0.1677	0.1436	0.1320	0.1245	0.1188
38	0.1653	0.1421	0.1303	0.1230	0.1174
39	0.1634	0.1402	0.1288	0.1214	0.1159
40	0.1616	0.1386	0.1275	0.1204	0.1147
41	0.1599	0.1373	0.1258	0.1186	0.1131
42	0.1573	0.1353	0.1244	0.1172	0.1119
43	0.1556	0.1339	0.1228	0.1159	0.1106
44	0.1542	0.1322	0.1216	0.1148	0.1095
45	0.1525	0.1309	0.1204	0.1134	0.1083
46	0.1512	0.1293	0.1189	0.1123	0.1071
47	0.1499	0.1282	0.1180	0.1113	0.1062
48	0.1476	0.1269	0.1165	0.1098	0.1047
49	0.1463	0.1256	0.1153	0.1089	0.1040
50	0.1457	0.1246	0.1142	0.1079	0.1030
	1.035	0.895	0.819	0.775	0.741
OVER 50					

Appendixs VI

TABLE OF DISTRIBUTION

Titik Persentase Distribusi t (df = 41 - 80)

Pr	0.25	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001
,	0.50	0.20	0.10	0.050	0.02	0.010	0.002
41	0.68052	1.30254	1.68288	2.01954	2.42080	2.70118	3.30127
42	0.68038	1.30204	1.68195	2.01808	2.41847	2.69807	3.29595
43	0.68024	1.30155	1.68107	2.01669	2.41625	2.69510	3.29089
44	0.68011	1.30109	1.68023	2.01537	2.41413	2.69228	3.28607
45	0.67998	1.30065	1.67943	2.01410	2.41212	2.68959	3.2814
46	0.67986	1.30023	1.67866	2.01290	2.41019	2.68701	3.2771
47	0.67975	1.29982	1.67793	2.01174	2.40835	2.68456	3.2729
48	0.67964	1.29944	1.67722	2.01063	2.40658	2.68220	3.2689
49	0.67953	1.29907	1.67655	2.00958	2.40489	2.67995	3.2650
50	0.67943	1.29871	1.67591	2.00856	2.40327	2.67779	3.2614
51	0.67933	1.29837	1.67528	2.00758	2.40172	2.67572	3.2578
52	0.67924	1.29805	1.67469	2.00665	2.40022	2.67373	3.2545
53	0.67915	1.29773	1.67412	2.00575	2.39879	2.67182	3.2512
54	0.67906	1.29743	1.67356	2.00488	2.39741	2.66998	3.2481
55	0.67898	1.29713	1.67303	2.00404	2.39608	2.66822	3.2451
56	0.67890	1.29685	1.67252	2.00324	2.39480	2.66651	3.2422
57	0.67882	1.29658	1.67203	2.00247	2.39357	2.66487	3.2394
58	0.67874	1.29632	1.67155	2.00172	2.39238	2.66329	3.2368
59	0.67867	1.29607	1.67109	2.00100	2.39123	2.66176	3.2342
60	0.67860	1.29582	1.67065	2.00030	2.39012	2.66028	3.2317
61	0.67853	1.29558	1.67022	1.99962	2.38905	2.65886	3.2293
62	0.67847	1.29536	1.66980	1.99897	2.38801	2.65748	3.2269
63	0.67840	1.29513	1.66940	1.99834	2.38701	2.65615	3.2247
64	0.67834	1.29492	1.66901	1.99773	2.38604	2.65485	3.2225
65	0.67828	1.29471	1.66864	1.99714	2.38510	2.65360	3.2204
66	0.67823	1.29451	1.66827	1.99656	2.38419	2.65239	3.2183
67	0.67817	1.29432	1.66792	1.99601	2.38330	2.65122	3.2163
68	0.67811	1.29413	1.66757	1.99547	2.38245	2.65008	3.2144
69	0.67806	1.29394	1,66724	1.99495	2.38161	2.64898	3,2126
70	0.67801	1,29376	1.66691	1,99444	2.38081	2.64790	3.2107
71	0.67796	1.29359	1.66660	1,99394	2.38002	2.64686	3.2090
72	0.67791	1.29342	1.66629	1.99346	2.37926	2.64585	3,2073
73	0.67787	1.29326	1,66600	1,99300	2.37852	2.64487	3.2056
74	0.67782	1.29310	1.66571	1,99254	2.37780	2.64391	3.2040
75	0.67778	1,29294	1,66543	1,99210	2.37710	2.64298	3,2024
76	0.67773	1.29279	1,66515	1,99167	2.37642	2.64208	3.2009
77	0.67769	1.29264	1.66488	1.99125	2.37576	2.64120	3.1994
78	0.67765	1.29250	1.66462	1,99085	2.37511	2.64034	3,1980
79	0.67761	1.29236	1.66437	1,99045	2.37448	2.63950	3,1966
80	0.67757	1.29222	1.66412	1.99006	2.37387	2.63869	3.1952

Appendixs VII

RESEARCH DOCUMENTATION









